FSGO Open-shell Calculations on Linear Triatomic and Tetra-atomic Hydrogen Complexes

By HSING HUA HUANG[†] and (the late) JOHN W. LINNETT

(Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EP)

Summary FSGO calculations on linear arrangements of hydrogen atoms are reported; the hydrogen atom, but not the hydrogen molecule, could stabilize the H_3 transition state in the $H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H$ exchange reaction.

THE Floating Spherical Gaussian Orbital (FSGO) model has been adapted for open-shell calculations and used with success on one-, two-, and three-electron systems.^{1,2} Of particular interest is the $H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H$ exchange

† On leave from University of Singapore, Bukit Timah Road, Singapore 10.

reaction, one of the simplest chemical processes, for which the transition state (H_3) is predicted to be linear and symmetric, in agreement with other ab initio calculations.^{3,4} For H₃ treated as a three-electron system occupying openshell orbitals a, b, and c, with the orbitals fixed to the nuclei, the optimised energy² calculated from the threedeterminant wavefunction (1) of the linear symmetric H_3 in its doublet state is -1.3890 a.u.[‡] with an r (H-H)

$$\psi = C_1 |\bar{\phi}_a \phi_b \phi_c| + C_2 |\phi_a \bar{\phi}_b \phi_c| + C_3 |\phi_a \phi_b \bar{\phi}_c| \qquad (1)$$

internuclear distance of 1.9080 a.u.[‡] (see Table).

We have now carried out an analogous calculation for linear H_4 in its singlet state, based on the open-shell FSGO six-determinant wavefunction (2), with the four electrons

$$\begin{split} \psi &= C_1 \left| \phi_{\mathbf{a}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{b}} \phi_{\mathbf{c}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{d}} \right| + C_2 \left| \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{a}} \phi_{\mathbf{b}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{c}} \phi_{\mathbf{d}} \right| + C_3 \left| \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{a}} \phi_{\mathbf{b}} \phi_{\mathbf{c}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{d}} \right| \\ &+ C_4 \left| \phi_{\mathbf{a}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{b}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{c}} \phi_{\mathbf{d}} \right| + C_5 \left| \phi_{\mathbf{a}} \phi_{\mathbf{b}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{c}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{d}} \right| + C_6 \left| \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{a}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{b}} \phi_{\mathbf{c}} \phi_{\mathbf{d}} \right| \tag{2}$$

singly occupying the four orbitals a, b, c, and d which are fixed to the nuclei. The optimised energies for various linear configurations of H_4 (H-H-H····H) were calculated as a function of the $H_3 \cdots H$ distance, with the symmetric linear H₃ (H–H–H) unit fixed at the internuclear distance r (H–H) of 1.9080 a.u. The resultant energy curve showed a pronounced minimum (-1.9176 a.u.) at an r (H₃ · · · · H) distance of 1.5846 a.u. This result suggests that hydrogen atoms could act as catalysts of the exchange reaction $H + H_2 = H_2 + H$ by lowering the energy of the H₃ transition state. It would not be necessary for the hydrogen atom to be very close to the H_3 complex to exert some stabilising effect. The calculated binding energy of H_3 and H is 0.1042 a.u. (273.6 kJ mol⁻¹). The above hydrogen exchange reaction is followed by isotopic exchange or by ortho-para conversion. The rate of the above exchange reaction is proportional to $[H][H_2]$. If there were a catalytic effect, there would be added to this a term proportional to $[H]^{2}[H_{2}]$; this would be associated with a lower activation energy than the uncatalysed process, and this activation energy could be zero. However, it could only compete with the uncatalysed process at high con-

centrations of hydrogen atoms. Even at 1400 K, it has been estimated⁵ that the maximum concentration of hydrogen atoms generated under typical shock tube conditions is extremely small, being only ca. 10⁻¹⁵ mol cm⁻³. However, it is possible that the catalysed reaction might have some importance under plasma conditions or in hydrogen-oxygen flames where much higher temperatures occur and the hydrogen atom concentration is consequently higher.

TABLE

FSGO open-shell energies for the free hydrogen atom and linear di-, tri-, and tetra-atomic hydrogen molecules or complexes.ª

System				Energy/a.u.	Internuclear distance/a.u.
н				-0·4244b	
H_2			• •	-0·9869°	1.5450
H ₃ (symmetric)				-1.3890°	1.9080c
$H_3 +$		••		-1.8134	
H³∙H	[••	• •	-1.9176	1.9080 for $H \cdot H \cdot H \cdot H$
					1.5846 for $H_{\bullet} \cdots H_{\bullet}$

^a All calculations were performed with the orbitals fixed to their respective nuclei. ^b A. H. Pakiari, Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge, 1974. ^c L. P. Tan, Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge, 1974.

The question then arises as to whether the hydrogen molecule could act as a catalyst for the exchange reaction. To investigate this, open-shell FSGO calculations of the optimised energies of the linear system of five hydrogen atoms were performed for different $H_3 \cdots H_2$ distances, using an appropriate ten-determinant wavefunction. The results of these calculations, in which the internuclear distances for H₃ and H₂ given in the Table were used, did not show any stabilisation of H₃ by H₂. This was also true of calculations for perpendicular or parallel modes of approach between H_3 and H_2 .

We thank the Inter-University Council for a Senior Fellowship (to H.H.H.), and Drs. R. A. Suthers and A. H. Pakiari for helpful discussions.

(Received, 8th December 1975; Com. 1363.)

 $\ddagger 1 \text{ a.u. of energy} = 4.3598 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}; 1 \text{ a.u. of distance} = 0.5292 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}.$

- ¹ P. H. Blustin and J. W. Linnett, J.C.S. Faraday II, 1974, 70, 327.
- ² L. P. Tan, Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge, 1974.
 ³ I. Shavitt, R. M. Stevens, F. L. Minn, and M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys., 1968, 48, 2700.
- ⁴ B. Liu, J. Chem. Phys., 1973, 58, 1925. ⁵ S. H. Bauer and E. Ossa, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 45, 434.