η^{6} -Fluorene and η^{5} -Fluorenyl Complexes of Iron and Manganese; X-Ray Structure of (η^{5} -Cyclopentadienyl)(η^{6} -fluorenyl)iron

By J. W. JOHNSON and P. M. TREICHEL*

(Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706)

Summary Reversible deprotonation of $[Fe(C_5H_5)(\eta^6-fluo$ $rene)]PF_6$ and $[Mn(\eta^6-fluorene)(CO)_3]PF_6$ initially produces zwitterionic complexes wherein co-ordination to the six-membered ring is retained, and the manganese complex very slowly reverts to the isomeric η^5 complex, $[Mn(\eta^6-fluorenyl)(CO)_3]$; the X-ray structure of the iron compound $[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{13}H_9)]$ has been determined.

THE complexes $[Mn(\eta^{6}-fluorene)(CO)_{3}]PF_{6}$ and $[Fe(C_{5}H_{5}) (\eta^{6}$ -fluorene)]PF₆ can be prepared by standard routes, the former from [Mn(CO)₅Br], AlCl₃, and fluorene, and the latter from $[Fe(C_5H_5)_2]$, AlCl₃, Al, and fluorene. Using t-butoxide we have deprotonated each of the species, producing neutral compounds wherein metal co-ordination to one of the six-membered rings is maintained. Structures were initially ascertained by ¹H n.m.r. data which showed the related protons on the two six-membered rings on each fluorenyl ligand to be non-equivalent; subsequently the structure of the iron compound, $[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{13}H_9)]$, green crystals, m.p. 105 °C, was confirmed by a crystallographic study. Crystal data: space group $P2_1/c$, a = 8.944(3), b = 14.600(4), c = 20.201(5) Å, $\beta = 100.08(2)^\circ$, U = 2597(1) Å³, Z = 8, $D_c = 1.46$ g cm⁻³, $D_m = 1.45$ g cm⁻³. A total of 2323 independent $[F > 2\sigma(F)]$ observations were collected by diffractometric techniques. The structure, solved by direct methods, was refined by anisotropic blockdiagonal least-squares techniques to an R_1 value of 0.045.

The molecular geometry of this compound is shown in the Figure.



FIGURE. X-Ray structure of $[Fe(\eta^6-C_8H_8)(\eta^6-C_{18}H_9)]$. Distances in the two crystallographically non-equivalent molecules (A) and (B) are: Fe–C(1), (A) 2·122(5), (B) 2·104(6); Fe–C(2), (A) 2·053(5), (B) 2·047(6); Fe–C(3), (A) 2·039(5), (B) 2·032(6); Fe–C(4), (A) 2·072(5), (B) 2·074(6); Fe–C(10), (A), 2·316(5), (B) 2·300(5); Fe–C(11), (A) 2·152(5), (B) 2·175(5); C(9)–C(10), (A) 1·372(7), (B) 1·397(7); C(9)–C(13), (A) 1·435(8), (B) 1·413(7) Å.

The metal atom remains co-ordinated to one six membered ring, slightly displaced from C(10) which also lies 0.142(8) Å above a plane defined by the other co-ordinated carbon atoms. The best representation of bonding for the iron compound appears to be (i), wherein a positive charge is retained on the metal and negative charge remains substantially on C(9).

Reactions of the iron compound seem to be in accord with this representation of bonding. A reaction with $MeOSO_2F$ occurs in minutes giving stereospecifically the *exo-9*-methylfluorene-iron compound; similar reactions occur with MeI and *p*-BrC₆H₄CH₂Br. The product is protonated readily, but non-stereospecifically.

The chemistry of the analogous manganese complex, $[Mn(C_{13}H_{\theta})(CO)_3]$, offers some interesting contrasts. It can be formed from $[Mn(\eta^8-C_{13}H_{10})(CO)_3]PF_6$ using weaker bases such as Et₃N, suggesting that $[Mn(C_{13}H_{\theta})(CO)_3]$ is a weaker



¹ R. B. King and A. Efraty, J. Organometallic Chem., 1970, 23, 527.

base than $[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{13}H_9)]$. The complex is also a poorer nucleophile. Thus reaction with MeOSO₂F at 25 °C requires 3 days rather than minutes to reach completion. Possibly, these data are better in accord with a structure represented by (ii).

After 2 weeks in the solid state or 1.2 h in refluxing hexane, the manganese compound rearranges to the known¹ isomeric species $[Mn(\eta^{5}-C_{13}H_{9})(CO)_{3}]$ [equation (1)]. No



rearrangement is found to occur with the iron compound. This second compound, $[Mn(\eta^{5}-C_{13}H_9)(CO)_3]$, does not undergo the reactions of its isomeric species.

J.W.J. thanks the National Science Foundation for a predoctoral fellowship.

(Received, 10th May 1976; Com. 518.)