Chiral and Environmental Fluorine Non-equivalence in Aminofluorophosphoranes

By MICHEL SANCHEZ and ALAN H. COWLEY*

(Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712)

Summary Of the new aminofluorophosphoranes, PhPF₃N-(H)CHMePh (1), Bu^gPF₃NHPrⁱ (2), PhPF₃NHPrⁱ (3), and Bu^gPF₃NMe₂ (4), compounds (1) and (2) are diastereomeric owing to the presence of a chiral centre at carbon and a chiral axis along the N-P bond.

SEVERAL fluorophosphoranes of the types $R^1R^2NPF_4$, RSPF₄, and $R^1R^2NP(R^3)F_3$ exhibit anisochronous axial fluorine atoms when both N-P or S-P bond rotation and ligand positional interchange are slow on the n.m.r. scale.¹ This anisochrony stems from the fact that the axial fluorine ligands (F_a) reside in different chemical environments. In turn this is due to the preferential coplanar arrangement of the F_a -P- F_a and R^1R^2N - or RS- units within a trigonal bipyramidal phosphorane skeleton. Recently it has been demonstrated² that a second type of F_a anisochrony arises in chiral oxyfluorophosphoranes of the type $R^{1*}OP(R^2)F_3$ owing to the fact that the F_a -P- F_a group is prochiral³ when ligand permutation is slow on the n.m.r. time scale. We now report the syntheses and n.m.r. spectra of some novel aminotrifluorophosphoranes which exhibit both chiral and environmental non-equivalence of the axial fluorine ligands.

The aminotrifluorophosphoranes (1), (2), (3), and (4) were prepared by the liquid-phase reaction between the tetrafluorophosphorane RPF_4 , and the appropriate amine or aminosilane $R^1R^2NSiMe_3$.

TABLE. ¹⁹F n.m.r. chemical shift^a and coupling constant^b data for aminotrifluorophosphoranes

	$\delta(\mathbf{F}_a)$	δ(F a')	δ(F,)	$J(\mathrm{PF}_a)$	$J(\mathrm{PF}_{a'})$	$J(\mathrm{PF}_{e})$	$J(\mathbf{F_aPF_a'})$	$J(F_{a}PF_{e}) = J(F_{a}'PF_{e})]$	J(F 'PNH)	J(FPCH)
(1)	38.8	48·3	70-9	780	812	980	15	52	23	
	39.8	47.6	70.0	775	806	972		,,	,,	
(2)	46·4	57·1	78-6 76-6	784 792	828 836	1009	21	52		13
(3)	39·0	50 ^{.0}	70.7	764	796	980	" 12	52	27	,,
(4)	45·6	46·3	85.0	818	820	994	23	52		13
	 (1) (2) (3) (4) 	$ \begin{array}{c} & \delta(F_a) \\ (1) & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ 39\cdot8 \\ (2) & & \\ & & \\ 46\cdot4 & \\ & & 47\cdot0 \\ (3) & & 39\cdot0 \\ (4) & & 45\cdot6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} \delta(\mathbf{F}_a) & \delta(\mathbf{F}_a') \\ (1) & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 \\ 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 \\ (2) & & \\ & & \\ 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 \\ & & 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 \\ (4) & 45\cdot6 & 46\cdot3 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \delta(F_a) & \delta(F_a') & \delta(F_a) \\ (1) & & & \\ 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 & 70\cdot9 \\ 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 & 70\cdot0 \\ (2) & & & \\ 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 \\ 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 & 76\cdot6 \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 70\cdot7 \\ (4) & 45\cdot6 & 46\cdot3 & 85\cdot0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \delta(\mathbf{F}_a) & \delta(\mathbf{F}_a') & \delta(\mathbf{F}_b) & J(\mathbf{PF}_a) \\ \end{array} \\ (1) & & & & \\ 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 & 70\cdot9 & 780 \\ 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 & 70\cdot0 & 775 \\ \end{array} \\ (2) & & & & & \\ 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 & 784 \\ & 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 & 76\cdot6 & 792 \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 70\cdot7 & 764 \\ (4) & 45\cdot6 & 46\cdot3 & 85\cdot0 & 818 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \delta(F_a) & \delta(F_a') & \delta(F_o) & J(PF_a) & J(PF_a') \\ \end{array} \\ (1) \\ & 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 & 70\cdot9 & 780 & 812 \\ 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 & 70\cdot0 & 775 & 806 \\ \end{array} \\ (2) \\ & 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 & 784 & 828 \\ & 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 & 76\cdot6 & 792 & 836 \\ \end{array} \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 70\cdot7 & 764 & 796 \\ (4) & 45\cdot6 & 46\cdot3 & 85\cdot0 & 818 & 820 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \delta(\mathbf{F}_a) & \delta(\mathbf{F}_a') & \delta(\mathbf{F}_e) & J(\mathbf{PF}_a) & J(\mathbf{PF}_a') & J(\mathbf{PF}_e) \\ \end{array} \\ (1) \\ & 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 & 70\cdot9 & 780 & 812 & 980 \\ 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 & 70\cdot0 & 775 & 806 & 972 \\ \end{array} \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ & 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 & 784 & 828 & 1009 \\ & 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 & 76\cdot6 & 792 & 836 & 1009 \\ & 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 & 76\cdot6 & 792 & 836 & 1009 \\ \end{array} \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 70\cdot7 & 764 & 796 & 980 \\ (4) & 45\cdot6 & 46\cdot3 & 85\cdot0 & 818 & 820 & 994 \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \delta(F_a) & \delta(F_a') & \delta(F_o) & J(PF_a) & J(PF_a') & J(PF_o) & J(F_aPF_a') \\ \end{array} \\ (1) \\ & 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 & 70\cdot9 & 780 & 812 & 980 & 15 \\ & 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 & 70\cdot0 & 775 & 806 & 972 & ,, \end{array} \\ (2) \\ (4) & 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 & 784 & 828 & 1009 & 21 \\ & 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 & 792 & 836 & 1009 & ,, \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 76\cdot6 & 792 & 836 & 1009 & ,, \\ (3) & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 70\cdot7 & 764 & 796 & 980 & 12 \\ (4) & 45\cdot6 & 46\cdot3 & 85\cdot0 & 818 & 820 & 994 & 23 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \delta(\mathbf{F}_{a}) & \delta(\mathbf{F}_{a}') & \delta(\mathbf{F}_{e}) & J(\mathbf{PF}_{a}) & J(\mathbf{PF}_{a}') & J(\mathbf{PF}_{e}) & J(\mathbf{F}_{a}\mathbf{PF}_{e}) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (1) \\ & & & \\ 38\cdot8 & 48\cdot3 & 70\cdot9 & 780 & 812 & 980 & 15 & 52 \\ & & & 39\cdot8 & 47\cdot6 & 70\cdot0 & 775 & 806 & 972 & ,, & ,, \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (2) \\ & & & \\ 46\cdot4 & 57\cdot1 & 78\cdot6 & 784 & 828 & 1009 & 21 & 52 \\ & & & 47\cdot0 & 58\cdot0 & 76\cdot6 & 792 & 836 & 1009 & ,, & ,, \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (3) & & & & 39\cdot0 & 50 & 70\cdot7 & 764 & 796 & 980 & 12 & 52 \\ \hline (4) & & & & & & & & \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

^{a 19}F chemical shifts, δ , in p.p.m. from CCl₃F. ^b Coupling constants, J, in Hz. ^c Composition of (+)-(-) mixture.

Compounds (1) and (2) are particularly interesting because they exhibit four different F_a resonances in the ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra at room temperature (Figure and Table). This observation is attributed to the combined effects of

Another interesting facet of compounds (1) and (2) is the fact that the ground-state structures are diastereomeric owing to the presence of a chiral centre at carbon and a chiral axis along the N-P bond. For (1) the composition



inhibited N-P bond rotation and chirality of the C(H)MePh or Bu^s group within a nonfluxional trigonal bipyramidal skeleton. This conclusion is supported by the following observations. Firstly, compound (3), an achiral analogue of (1), exhibits only two F_a resonances (Table). In (3) the anisochrony must derive from the fact that F_a and F_a' reside in different chemical environments.¹¹

Secondly, two types of F_a resonance are also apparent in the ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum of (4). However, in this case the F_a anisochrony is a consequence of the prochiral relationship of the F_a -P- F_a group to the chiral Bu⁸ unit. Environmental F_a anisochrony is not observed in (4) because of the high (effectively C_{2v}) skeletal symmetry of the Me₂N group and the absence of N-H \cdots F_a intramolecular hydrogen bonding.^{1b,4} Finally, heating (1) from 30 to 90 °C (Figure) effects considerable simplification of the F_a and F_e resonances. These changes are attributed to an increase in the N-P rotation rate relative to the n.m.r. time scale and the consequent loss of environmental F_a anisochrony.



FIGURE. The 94.1 MHz ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra of PhPF₃N(H)CH-MePh, (1), at room temperature and 90 °C. The resonances marked F_a and F_a are the downfield components of the P-F_a and P-F_a doublets. The resonance marked F_a' is a superposition of the upfield component of the F_a resonance and the downfield component of the F_a resonance.

of the diastereomeric (+)-(-) mixture is *ca.* 40:60 whilst for (2) the composition is *ca.* 50:50. In the case of (1) this results in the observation of two different F_e resonances and two P-F_e coupling constants at 30 °C. We thank the National Science Foundation and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for generous support and Professor Jean G. Riess for helpful discussions. Michel Sanchez thanks N.A.T.O. for a fellowship and for sabbatical

leave (1974-5) from the Université Paul Sabatier de Toulouse.

(Received, 12th April 1976; Com. 399.)

¹ (a) M. A. Landau, V. V. Scheluchenko, G. I. Drozd, S. S. Dubov, and S. Z. Ivin, *Zhur. Strukt. Khim.*, 1967, **8**, 1097; *ibid.*, 1969, **10**, **736**; M. A. Sokal'skii, G. I. Drozd, M. A. Landau, and S. S. Dubov, *ibid.*, 1969, **10**, 1113; S. C. Peake and R. Schmutzler, *J. Chem. Soc.* (*A*), 1970, 1049; J. S. Harman and D. W. A. Sharp, *ibid.*, p. 1138; (b) J. S. Harman and D. W. A. Sharp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1971, **10**, 1538; (c) M. J. C. Hewson and R. Schmutzler, *Z. Naturforsch.*, 1972, **27**B, 879; (d) A. H. Cowley, R. W. Braun, and J. W. Gilje, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 434.

² D.U. Robert, D. J. Costa, and J. G. Riess, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1973, 745; D. U. Robert, Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Nice, 1974; D. U. Robert, D. J. Costa, and J. G. Riess, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1975, 29; D. U. Robert, D. J. Costa, and J. G. Riess, Org. Magnetic Resonance, 1975, 7, 791.
 ³ The term 'prochiral' was first proposed by K. R. Hanson (J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 2731) for tetrahedral arrays of the

⁸ The term 'prochiral' was first proposed by K. R. Hanson (*J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 2731) for tetrahedral arrays of the specific type R_2CXY . In the present manuscript we use the term 'prochiral' with specific reference to trigonal bipyramidal structures of the type R_2MXYZ where the R groups occupy axial sites. For a review of prochirality see W. B. Jennings, *Chem. Rev.*, 1975, 75, 307.

⁴ A. H. Cowley and J. R. Schweiger, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 560.