

New Examples of the Metal Basicity of Iron Pentacarbonyl

By PHILIP A. W. DEAN,* DAVID G. IBBOTT, and G. MICHAEL BANCROFT

(Department of Chemistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, N6A 5B7, Canada)

Summary $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, though a weak base, forms adducts with strong acid salts including $\text{Cd}(\text{AsF}_6)_2$, $\text{Sn}(\text{SbF}_6)_2$, and $\text{Fe}(\text{SbF}_6)_2$; in the adducts, the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ behaves as a weak Fe-donor ligand to the metal cation.

THOUGH metal basicity of transition metal carbonyls is well-known,¹ very few adducts of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ with Lewis acids have been reported, a marked contrast with the extensive chemistry of the isoelectronic $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]^-$. One of the main factors responsible for the limited acid-base chemistry of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is undoubtedly its weak basicity which is exemplified by its protonation only in strong acids² and by the ease of dissociation of its HgCl_2 adduct.³

During our investigations of the interaction of weak bases with metal salts of strong acids we have found that $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ has a much more extensive acid-base chemistry than the foregoing suggests, forming adducts with a wide range of metal cations in the weak donor solvent liquid sulphur dioxide. Thus, for example, the recently prepared⁴ unsolvated hexafluoroarsenate of cadmium(II)† and hexafluoroantimonates of tin(II) and iron(II) react with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in liquid SO_2 ;‡ the products are shown in the Table

† Cadmium(II) hexafluoroantimonate^{4b} behaves similarly.

‡ In agreement with the work of other workers (W. Strohmeier, J. F. Guttenberger, and G. Popp, *Chem. Ber.*, 1965, **98**, 2248; E. H. Bray and W. Hubel, *Angew. Chem.*, 1963, **75**, 345), we find $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ in SO_2 solution to be stable for a matter of hours.

together with pertinent Mössbauer data. Using an excess of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, the pale yellow cadmium compound precipitates on mixing solutions of the components, insoluble $\text{Sn}(\text{SbF}_6)_2$ is converted into the insoluble orange tin adduct on stirring and the SO_2 -soluble brown iron product is isolated by removal of volatile components *in vacuo*.

TABLE

Compound ^a	Mössbauer parameters ^b	
	Centre shift	Quadrupole splitting
$[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_5]\text{PF}_6$	0.11	1.32 ^c
$[\text{Cd}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}_2](\text{AsF}_6)_2$	0.22	1.30 ^c
$[\text{Fe}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}_2](\text{SbF}_6)_2$	Fe ⁰ 0.29	0.98 ^c
	Fe ^{II} 1.69	2.15 ^d
$[\text{Sn}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}](\text{SbF}_6)_2$	Fe ⁰ 0.29	0.74 ^c
	Sn ^{II} 3.76 ^d	1.40 ^d

^a All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical data. ^b In mm s^{-1} at 80 °C. ^c ⁵⁷Fe and ¹¹⁹Sn centre shifts are given relative to sodium nitroprusside and barium stannate, respectively, at room temperature. Error $\pm 0.01 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ except where noted. ^d The QS of $[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_5]^+$ is probably negative (ref. 6a) and this is assumed to be the case for the other examples of co-ordinated $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ also. ^e Error $\pm 0.03 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$.

The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra demonstrate the equivalence of both pentacarbonyl units in the cadmium and iron compounds. In none of the products is the ^{57}Fe Mössbauer quadrupole splitting (QS) of the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ species large enough to be reasonably attributed to a five-co-ordinate iron [*cf.*, the reported ^{57}Fe QS of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is 2.57 mm s^{-1}]. Rather the centre shifts (CS) and QS are of similar magnitude to those we find for $[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_5]^+$; the parameters for this cation are close to those expected^{6a,b} for the usually assumed octahedral geometry, confirming its C_{4v} symmetry. Evidently the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is bound to the cation *via* iron in the metal salts also. The variation in the ^{57}Fe Mössbauer parameters of the Fe-bound $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is consistent with $\text{H}^+ < [\text{Cd}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}]^{2+} < [\text{Fe}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}]^{2+} \sim \text{Sn}^{2+}$ being the order of σ -accepting ability,^{6b} though this order will include any attenuation due to cation-anion interactions.

As expected the new compounds all have $\nu(\text{CO})$ at higher frequencies than the parent $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$. In the common mulling agents, the i.r. spectra of all the products {and $[\text{HFe}(\text{CO})_5]^+$ } give evidence for some dissociation of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, showing the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ unit to be intact in them.

None of the adducts have i.r. bands in the region where a carbonyl bound by both C and O might be expected to absorb;^{1b} thus the iron atom of the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is the only point of attachment.

The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer parameters of the iron cation in $[\text{Fe}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}_2](\text{SbF}_6)_2$ are consistent with its being a quite ionic high-spin iron(II) ion, probably 6-co-ordinate.^{6c} (This compound therefore contains an unusual metal-metal bond involving high-spin divalent iron; the co-ordination sphere of the Fe^{II} must be completed by fluorine-bridging with the anion). Similarly the ^{119}Sn Mössbauer CS of $[\text{Sn}\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5\}](\text{SbF}_6)_2$ is characteristic of a relatively ionic tin(II) compound.^{5b} On the basis of these results it seems best to regard the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ adducts prepared here as complexes of the divalent metal ions in which $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ is behaving as a weak Fe-donor ligand.

We are grateful to the National Research Council of Canada for financial support.

(Received, 8th July 1976; Com. 774.)

¹ (a) J. C. Kotz and D. C. Pedrotty, *Organometallic Chem. Rev. (A)*, 1969, **4**, 479 and references therein; (b) D. F. Shriver, *J. Organometallic Chem.*, 1975, **94**, 259, and references therein.

² A. Davison, W. McFarlane, L. Pratt, and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, 3653; A. F. Clifford and M. D. Campbell, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission TID-18199, 1962 (*Chem. Abs.*, 1964, **60**, 15321f); Z. Iqbal and T. C. Waddington, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1968, 2958.

³ H. Hock and H. Stuhlmann, *Chem. Ber.*, 1928, **61B**, 2097; D. M. Adams, D. J. Cook, and R. D. W. Kemmitt, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1968, 1067.

⁴ (a) R. J. Gillespie and P. K. Ummat, unpublished observations quoted in B. D. Cutforth, R. J. Gillespie, and P. K. Ummat, *Rev. Chim. minérale*, 1976, **13**, 119; T. Birchall, P. A. W. Dean, and R. J. Gillespie, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1971, 1777; P. A. W. Dean, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1975, **5**, 499; (b) P. A. W. Dean and D. G. Ibbott, unpublished results.

⁵ N. N. Greenwood and T. C. Gibb, 'Mössbauer Spectroscopy,' Chapman and Hall, London, 1971: (a) p. 222; (b) p. 381, and references therein.

⁶ G. M. Bancroft, 'Mössbauer Spectroscopy: An Introduction for Inorganic Chemists and Geochemists,' McGraw-Hill, London, 1973: (a) p. 127; (b) p. 102; (c) p. 156.