Mechanism of Proton Transfer from an Intramolecularly Hydrogen-bonded Acid in Aqueous Solution

By FRANK HIBBERT* and ABDUL AWWAL

(Department of Chemistry, Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX)

Summary Proton transfer from intramolecularly hydrogenbonded 4-(*m*-nitrophenylazo)salicylate ion to bases occurs by a two-step mechanism through a low concentration of the non-hydrogen-bonded species from which the proton is removed; a one-step attack by base on the hydrogenbonded species is ruled out.

Two mechanisms have been suggested for proton transfer from intramolecularly hydrogen-bonded acids. Direct attack by base on the hydrogen-bonded proton may occur,¹ as shown in Scheme 1 for a substituted salicylate ion. An alternative mechanism² (Scheme 2) involves a rapid equilibrium between the hydrogen-bonded acid and a nonhydrogen-bonded species, followed by proton transfer from the latter which is present in low concentration. The choice between these two possibilities is of considerable interest³ and we now present firm evidence in favour of Scheme 2. Rate coefficients for the reaction in Scheme 3, with $R = m-NO_2 \cdot C_6 H_4 \cdot N=N-$, were obtained from temperature-jump



SCHEME 1

measurements on aqueous solutions of 4-(*m*-nitrophenylazo)-salicylate ion (pK 11·13) in the presence of excess of amine

buffers (B) at 6.5 °C and an ionic strength of 0.5 M. Equilibrium constants were measured spectrophotometrically under the same conditions.



Scheme 2

For reactions (c)—(f), see Figure, values of both $k_{\rm B}$ and $k_{\rm BH}^+$ were obtained from the temperature-jump experiments in amine buffers and the ratios $k_{\rm B}/k_{\rm BH}^+$ are consistent with the measured equilibrium constants for these reactions. For reactions (a) and (b), the measured equilibrium constant was needed to calculate individual values for $k_{\rm B}$ and $k_{\rm BH}^+$ from



the kinetic results. Temperature-jump measurements in sodium hydroxide solutions gave a value $k_{\rm OH} = 2.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^7 \ 1 \ \rm mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}$ for the reaction in Scheme 3 with B = OH⁻, and this is in good agreement with a previous measurement.⁴

The results for proton transfer to amines are shown in the Figure in which $\log_{10}k_{\rm B}$ and $\log_{10}k_{\rm BH}$ are plotted against $\Delta pK = pK(4\text{-}m\text{-}nitrophenylazosalicylate ion) - pK(BH^+)$. The values of ΔpK were obtained from the measured equilibrium constants for reaction (3). The plots are linear with least-square slopes $\alpha = 1.0 \pm 0.1$ (for $\log_{10}k_{\rm BH}$) and $\beta = 0.0 \pm 0.1$ (for $\log_{10}k_{\rm B}$). The values of $k_{\rm B}$ which are practically independent of the basic strength of B are about three orders of magnitude below the diffusion limit. These results can be explained by Scheme 2 but are incompatible with Scheme 1.

For a reaction with the mechanism in Scheme 1, it would be expected that when the salicylate ion and BH⁺ have similar acidities ($\Delta pK = 0$) the proton in the transition state will be roughly half-transferred. In this case plots of $\log_{10}k_{BH}$ and $\log_{10}k_{B}$ against ΔpK would have slopes around $\Delta p K = 0$ of α and $\beta = ca. 0.5.^{2a}$ The results are not compatible with this expectation. If the mechanism in Scheme 2 holds, the measured rate coefficients are $k_B = Kk_1$ and $k_{BH}^+ = k_{-1}$. Assuming normal proton transfer behaviour^{2a} for the second step in Scheme 2, k_1 will be diffusion-limited with values² $k_1 = ca. 2 \times 10^{10}$ and $2 \times 10^9 \ 1 \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}$ for $B = OH^-$ and B = amine respectively. Hence the equilibrium constant for breakage of the intramolecular hydrogenbond has the value $K = ca. 1 \times 10^{-3}$. This result is compatible with a difference in pK of three units between the hydroxy groups in phenol and salicyclic acid.^{2b} If $K = 10^{-3}$ this means that the second step in Scheme 2 is strongly thermodynamically favourable in the forward direction for all our reactions even though $\Delta pK = ca. 0$. Hence k_1 and therefore k_B will be independent of the base strength of B



FIGURE. Plots of $\log_{10}k_{BH}$ and $\log_{10}k_B$ against ΔpK for reaction (3) where the points refer to B = (a) 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine, (b) 2,6-dimethylpiperidine, (c) triethylamine, (d) *cis*-1,2,6-trimethylpiperidine, (e) *N*-methylpiperidine, and (f) trimethylamine.

and independent of ΔpK in this region. Plots of $\log_{10}k_{BH}^+$ and $\log_{10}k_B$ against ΔpK should therefore have slopes $\alpha = 1.0$ and $\beta = 0$. Within experimental error, this is what is observed in the Figure. In a previous study,⁵ rate coefficients for proton transfer to bases from an intramolecularly hydrogen-bonded ammonium ion in 70% Me₂SO-H₂O were also found to be independent of the pK of the base. The results were explained in terms of the mechanism in Scheme 2 but since the reactions were in the range ΔpK < -1.8, the mechanism in Scheme 1 was not definitely ruled out. This mechanism is excluded by the present study referring to reactions around $\Delta pK = 0$ and both sets of data are consistent with the mechanism shown in Scheme 2. Proton transfer to the bulky base 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine is not sterically hindered. This result can be explained by Scheme 2 involving a normal proton-transfer step since normal proton transfers between oxygen and nitrogen acids in aqueous solution show almost negligible steric effects.2a

We thank the Royal Society for an equipment grant.

(Received, 13th September 1976; Com. 1049.)

¹ M. H. Miles, E. M. Eyring, W. W. Epstein, and R. E. Ostlund, J. Phys. Chem., 1965, 69, 467. ² (a) M. Eigen, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1964, 3, 1, (b) M. Eigen, W. Kruse, G. Maass, and L. de Maeyer, Progr. Reaction Kinetics, 1964, 2, 285.

¹⁹⁰⁴, 2, 265.
³ A. J. Kresge, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1973, 2, 475; Accounts Chemical Res., 1975, 8, 354.
⁴ M. C. Rose and J. E. Stuehr, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 5532.
⁵ C. F. Bernasconi and F. Terrier, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute 'Chemical and Biological Applications of Relaxation Spectrometry,' ed. E. Wyn-Jones, Reidel, Holland, 1975, 379.