Applications of Bromolactones in Synthesis. Stereospecific Syntheses of cis-2-Hydroxy-1-methylcyclohex-5-ene-1-carboxylic Acid and Related Compounds

By Talat Mah, Hasnah M. Sirat, and Eric J. Thomas*
(Department of Chemistry, University of London King's College, Strand, London WC2R 2LS)

Summary cis-2-Hydroxy-1-methylcyclohex-5-ene-1-carboxylic acid (6; R = Me) is prepared in over 60% yield from the bromo- β -lactone (2; R = Me); attempted hydrolysis of the bromo- β -lactone (2; R = Me) gave the γ -isomer (3; R = Me), and lithium aluminium hydride reduction of both the bromo- β -lactone (2; R = Me) and the bromo- γ -lactone (3; R = Me) gave cis-2-hydroxymethyl-2-methylcyclohex-3-en-1-ol (8).

The bromolactonization of suitably substituted 1,4-dihydrobenzoic acids (1) is known to give bromo- β -lactones (2).¹ These bromolactones have recently been used in an elegant approach to benzene oxides and related natural products, and have been shown to isomerize on heating to the related bromo- γ -lactones (3).² We here demonstrate the use of these β - and γ -lactones in the stereospecific synthesis of substituted cyclohexenes.

Treatment of the bromo- β -lactone (2; R = Me) with toluene-p-sulphonic acid in refluxing methanol gave a bromohydrin (88%) to which structure (4; R = Me) was tentatively assigned, although the isomeric structure (5; R = Me) was not excluded by the spectroscopic data.†

† Satisfactory spectroscopic and analytical or accurate mass data were obtained for all new compounds.

Reduction of the bromohydrin with Bun₃SnH³ followed by hydrolysis of the crude product (10% KOH-MeOH) gave a hydroxy-acid (75%) to which structure (6; R = Me) was provisionally assigned although the isomeric structure (7; R = Me) could not be excluded.

The possibility that the bromohydrin obtained on methanolysis of the bromo- β -lactone (2; R = Me) was the rearranged isomer (5; R = Me), was suggested by the results obtained on attempted hydrolysis of (2; R = Me). Treatment of this bromo- β -lactone with refluxing aqueous acid gave the isomeric γ -lactone (3; R = Me) as the only isolable product in good yield.

Moreover reduction of either (2; R = Me) or (3; R = Me) with excess of LiAlH₄ gave the same diol product; the spectroscopic data of this diol were consistent with it being either cis-2-hydroxymethyl-2-methylcyclohex-3-en-1ol (8) or its isomer (9).

The 1,3-diol structure (8) for the reduction product was confirmed by several methods. Firstly, the diol was selectively monotosylated, reduced (LiAlH₄), and oxidized to give a dimethylcyclohexenone whose ¹H n.m.r. spectrum was consistent with the 2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1one structure (10) rather than the isomeric (11).5 Also spin decoupling experiments suggested that the intermediate alcohol was 2,2-dimethyl- and not 5,5-dimethyl-cyclohex-3-en-1-ol. Secondly, reduction of (3; R = Me) with less LiAlH₄ gave the hydroxybromohydrin (12) which gave a new diol, the 1,4-diol (9), on reduction with Bun₃SnH. This same diol was also prepared, but less efficiently, by reduction with LiAlH₄ of the bromo-γ-lactone (13).

Therefore reduction with LiAlH₄ of both (2; R = Me) and (3; R = Me) gave the same 1,3-diol, (8). Reduction of the methyl ester of the hydroxy acid tentatively identified as (6) also gave (8) so confirming the structure of this hydroxy acid.

Since the methanolysis-reduction and reduction with $LiAlH_4$ of (2; R = Me) provided easy access to the cishydroxy-acid (6) and the cis-1,3-diol (8), the generality of these reactions was briefly explored. Acid catalysed methanolysis followed by reduction with Bun3SnH of (2; R = H) gave the methyl ester of cis-2-hydroxycyclohex-5-ene-1-carboxylic acid (6; R = H), and reduction with LiAlH₄ gave a diol tentatively identified as the cis-1,3diol (14).

We thank the S.R.C. (for support to T.M.) and the Malaysian Government (for support to H.M.S.).

(Received, 16th August 1978; Com. 903.)

‡ Structure confirmed by hydrogenation to the known methyl cis-2-hydroxycyclohexane-1-carboxylate, (E. E. Smissman and R. A. Mode, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 3447; J. Castells and J. Palau, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 4938; H. Baumann, N. C. Franklin, and H. Möhrle, Tetrahedron, 1967, 23, 4331).

¹ W. E. Barnett and L. L. Needham, J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 2843. ² G. W. Holbert, L. R. Weiss, and B. Ganem, Tetrahedron Letters, 1976, 4435; G. W. Holbert and B. Ganem, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 352,

³ H. G. Kuivila, Synthesis, 1970, 2, 499.

⁴ W. S. Johnson, J. C. Collins, R. Pappo, M. B. Rubin, P. J. Kropp, W. F. Johns, J. E. Pike, and W. Bartmann, J. Amer. Chem.

⁵ G. H. Hiegel and P. Burk, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 3637.