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Summary Syntheses of $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}{ }_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{ClPdC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}^{2}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}{ }_{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}^{-}}\right.$ $\operatorname{Pd}\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}^{2}\right]_{2}(2)$, the first $\alpha$-diazomethyl complexes of group 8 transition metals, are reported; their structures were determined from spectroscopic data and by $X$-ray crystallography of chloro[diazo(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]bistriphenylphosphinepalladium (1a).
$\alpha$-Metallodiazo-compounds are of interest as precursors of carbene and carbyne complexes and as intermediates in the synthesis of substituted diazo-compounds. ${ }^{1}$ However, the diazo-complexes of this type reported so far are limited to those of non-transition metals ${ }^{2}$ and group $1 B^{3}$ and $2 \mathrm{~B}^{2}$ transition metals. We report the synthesis of the complexes (1) and (2) and their structural characterization by $X$-ray analysis of (1a) and spectroscopy. Complexes (1) and (2)
are the first $\alpha$-diazomethyl complexes of group 8 transition metals, which are good catalysts for the decomposition of diazo-compounds. ${ }^{1}$

(1)
a, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ b, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CO} \mathrm{CO}^{2} \mathrm{Me}$

(2)
a, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$
$\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$
c, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$
d. $R^{1}=E t, \quad R^{2}=P h$

Table. Physical data and yields of (1) and (2).

| Complex | Yield ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ \% | Colour | $\begin{gathered} \text { M.p. (decomp.) } \\ /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { I.r.v }\left(\mathrm{CN}_{2}\right)^{d}}{/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ N.m.r. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (diazo)/p.p.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1a) | 39 | Red | 146-148 | 2035 | $37 \cdot 63$ (8.8) |
| (1b) | 22 | Red | ca. 142 | 2010 | - |
| (2a) | $21^{\text {b }}$ | Orange | 138-139 | 2025 | 36.34 (11.8) |
| (2b) | $73^{\text {c }}(14)^{\text {b }}$ | Orange | 125-127 | 2025 | 32.82 (11.8) |
| (2c) | $27^{\text {b }}$ | Orange | 137-138 | 2005 | - |
| (2d) | $58{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Violet | 110-111 | 1985 | $37 \cdot 05$ (11.8) |

a Yields after purification by chromatography and recrystallization. b Method B. c Method A. din Nujol. e Measured in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under argon; chemical shifts are relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si} .{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{c}}$ (in Hz ) in parentheses.

Typical examples of (1) and (2), which are air-stable in the solid state but decompose in nondeoxygenated solutions, are summarized in the Table. Complexes (1) were prepared by treatment of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Hg}\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}^{2}\right]_{2}$ in dry benzene at room temperature under argon, and purified by Florisil short column chromatography (argon; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) in the dark, followed by recrystallization ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane). Complexes (2) were prepared by similar treatment of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}{ }_{3}\right)_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{LiC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}^{2} \dagger$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (method A ) and also by oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Hg}\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}^{2}\right]_{2}$ to $\left(\mathrm{PR}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$ (method B).

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectra of (1) and (2), the diazocarbon resonances appear at $\delta 33-38$ p.p.m. as a triplet ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{P}-\mathrm{C} 9-12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), suggesting that this atom is $\alpha$-bonded to Pd . The i.r. spectra of (1) and (2) exhibit strong $v\left(\mathrm{CN}_{2}\right)$ absorptions at $1985-2035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are shifted by $c a$. $50-90 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to longer wavelength compared with the parent diazo-compounds, $\mathrm{RCH}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \quad\left[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \quad 2110\right.$; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}, 2100$; and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, 2037 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ], indicating a decrease in the triple bond character of the nitrogennitrogen bond. The structure of (1a) has been determined by $X$-ray diffraction.

Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}, M=779 \cdot 5$, monoclinic, $F(000)=1592$, space group $P 2_{1} / c$ (No. 14), $a=11 \cdot 973(2)$, $b=29.710(6), c=10.310(2) \AA, \beta=94.71(2)^{\circ}, U=3656.3-$ (10) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.416 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=6.98 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Intensity data $\left(2 \theta<50.5^{\circ}\right)$ were collected on a Rigaku four-circle diffractometer ( $\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation; Zr-filter; $\theta-2 \theta$ scan). The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined anisotropically by block-diagonal least-squares to $R=0.084$ for 3977 reflexions $[F>3 \sigma(F)] . \ddagger$

The geometry around the Pd atom is square-planar. The least-squares plane through the almost planar $\alpha$-diazomethyl group ( $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ) [except for $\mathrm{C}(4)$ ] inclines at $81 \cdot 6^{\circ}$ to the co-ordination plane of Pd. The Pd-C(1) bond length $[2 \cdot 010(11) \AA]$ is as expected for a $\mathrm{Pd}{ }^{1 L} \mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$ bond, and the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ angle is almost linear $\left[177 \cdot 0(13)^{\circ}\right]$. It is noteworthy that the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ bond length $[1 \cdot 17(2) \AA]$ is $0.04-0.07 \AA$ longer, and the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ bond length


Figure. Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. Thermal ellipsoids correspond to $30 \%$ probability levels. Six phenyl groups of the triphenyl phosphine ligands are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and bond angles are: $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ $2 \cdot 345(4)$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1) 2 \cdot 324(3), \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2) 2 \cdot 321(3), \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1) 2 \cdot 010(11)$, $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2) 1 \cdot 17(2), \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1 \cdot 27(2), \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1 \cdot 45(2), \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ $1 \cdot 22(2), \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 1 \cdot 32(2), \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.47(3), \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) 1 \cdot 43(4) \AA$; $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2) \quad 177 \cdot 0(13), \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 122 \cdot 1(9), \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ $124 \cdot 3(9)$, and $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 113 \cdot 5(11)^{\circ}$.
[ $1.27(2) \AA$ ] is $0.04-0.09 \AA$ shorter than the corresponding $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths in diazomethane ${ }^{4}$ and diazoketones. ${ }^{5}$ This result, which is compatible with the i.r. spectral data, shows that the resonance structure (3) plays an important contribution in the complex (1). ${ }^{6}$

(3)
(4)
(Received, 13th February 1979; Com. 143.)
$\dagger$ The lithiation products of $\operatorname{RCF}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{LiN}\left(\operatorname{Pr}^{1}\right)_{2}$ in tetrahydrofuran at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were used in situ.
$\ddagger$ Atomic co-ordinates are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.
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