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Summary Syntheses and structural characterizations (including $X$-ray crystallographic determinations) of $[\mathrm{Na}(2,2,2$-crypt $)]+\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\right]-[$ where $(2,2,2-$ crypt $\left.)=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}$ ( $\left.\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}$ have resulted in (at least) a partial resolution of the contradiction between previously available structural data and theory in correlating metal-metal bond-length differences and bond orders (B.O.) for structurally analogous nitrosyl- and carbonyl-bridged cyclopentadienyl metal dimers; a distinct contraction of $0.034 \AA$ in the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond length is observed upon going from the paramagnetic $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\right] \div$ monoanion $[2.372(1) \AA ;$ B.O. $=1.5]$ to its oxidized neutral dimer $[2 \cdot 338(2) \AA ; B . O=2 \cdot 0]$, which is consistent with the presumed removal of the unpaired electron from an M.O. primarily composed of out-of-plane antibonding $d \pi^{*}$ dimetal character.

The first example of a cyclopentadienyl cobalt carbonyl anion was the $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\right]$. radical anion (1) which was synthesized and structurally characterized as
its $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}$salt $(\mathbf{1 a})^{1}$ and independently as its $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As}\right]^{+}$ salt ( $\mathbf{1 b}$ ). ${ }^{2}$ This dimeric monoanion (1) is of particular interest not only in terms of its possible chemical utility [e.g., it reacts with MeI to produce $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}$

$$
[\mathrm{X}]+\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\eta^{5}\right)\right]=
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { a; } \mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As} \\
{[\mathrm{Na}(2,2,2-\mathrm{crypt})]^{+}\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}-\eta^{5}\right)\right]}
\end{gather*}
$$

(2)

$$
\text { 2,2,2-crypt }=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

$$
\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}-\eta^{5}\right)\right]
$$

(3)
which thermally decomposes to acetone in $85 \%$ yield ${ }^{3}$ ] but also from a theoretical viewpoint in that from electronic considerations it may be considered to possess a multiple metal-metal bond order (B.O.) of $\mathbf{1 . 5}$. Our attempts to obtain crystals of its oxidized neutral dimer ${ }^{1,4}$ for a structural analysis were not successful. On the basis of other work, we believed that replacement of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligands of (1) with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ would significantly change its redox and solubility properties so as to allow the isolation and characterization of the dimeric monoanion and its oxidized neutral analogue. We have now prepared the complexes (2) and (3), and the new tetrameric cluster $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{4}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\right] .{ }^{5}$ Here we report the syntheses, structures, and properties of (2) and (3).

The pentamethylcyclopentadienyl monoanion of (2) was prepared by the reduction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ with sodium in tetrahydrofuran (THF). The red solid isolated from the decanted liquid was washed with 7:1 $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ hexane to remove the $\mathrm{NaCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ by-product. Crystals of (2) were obtained by solvent diffusion with hexane over a glyme solution, to which 2,2,2-crypt had been added, of the foregoing red sodium salt; spectral data: i.r.: $\nu_{\mathrm{co}}(\mathrm{THF})$, $1670 \mathrm{~s} ; \nu_{\mathrm{co}}$ (Nujol mull), 1715 w and $1655 \mathrm{sm}^{-1}$; e.s.r.(THF): 15 -line dicobalt hyperfine pattern centred at 3200 G , $A_{\text {iso }}=45 \mathrm{G}$, and $g_{\text {iso }}=2 \cdot 10$.

Slow oxidation of the red sodium salt by anhydrous $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ in THF produced the green neutral dimer (3), which was recrystallized by slow evaporation from hexane: i.r.: $\nu_{\mathrm{co}}$ (hexane), $1760 \mathrm{~s} ; \nu_{\mathrm{co}}$ (Nujol mull): $1750 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \tau 8 \cdot 60 \mathrm{~s}$; mass spectra ( 70 eV ; inlet temp., $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $M^{+} m / e 444(34 \cdot 3 \%)$. Complex (3) was also found to be a minor product in the synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ ] by the reaction of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Crystal data: (2), $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}, M=843$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / a, \quad a=17.364(8), \quad b=17.948(4), \quad c=$ $13.903(3) \AA, \beta=90.82(3)^{\circ}, \quad U=4332(2) \AA^{3}, \quad D_{\mathrm{c}}=$ $1.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, Z=4$. For 4033 diffractometry-collected reflections $[I \geqslant 2.0 \sigma(I)], R_{1}(F)=0.060$ and $R_{2}(F)=$ $0 \cdot 069 . \dagger$ (3), $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, M=443 \cdot 8$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=9.693(5), \quad b=14 \cdot 722(7), \quad c=14.912(6) \AA, \beta=$ $101.86(4)^{\circ}, U=2083(2) \AA^{3}, J_{\mathrm{c}}=1.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, Z=4$. For 1720 independent reflections $[I \geqslant 2 \cdot 0 \sigma(I)], R_{1}(F)=$ 0.072 and $R_{2}(F)=0.078 . \dagger$

The configuration of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl monoanion of (2) shown in the Figure is considerably different from the centrosymmetric $C_{2 h^{-2}} / m$ geometry of the corresponding cyclopentadienyl monoanion (1) [of crystallographic $C_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ site symmetry in both (1a) and (1b)] which has a planar $D_{2 h} \mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ core with perpendicular $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ligands. Firstly, the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ core in (2) is nonplanar as shown by torsion angles of $168 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ between the two fused $\mathrm{CoC}_{2}$ planes and of $169 \cdot 6^{\circ}$ between the two fused $\mathrm{CCO}_{2}$ planes. Secondly, the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligands are inclined with an angle of $153.5^{\circ}$ between the ring normals. Thirdly, one of the two bridging carbonyl ligands exhibits extremely large out-of-plane thermal ellipsoids indicative of an averaged structure in which this one bridging carbonyl ligand occupies at least two bent orientations in the crystalline state.


(3)

Figure. Configurations of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\right]$ - 'monoanion of (2) and its oxidized neutral dimer (3). The considerable geometrical differences between (2) and (3) include the distinctly non-perpendicular vs. essentially perpendicular dispositions, respectively, of the two $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ rings relative to their $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ cores. The unusually elongated out-of-plane thermal ellipsoids of $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)$ in (2) relative to those of the other bridging atoms in either (2) or (3) have been interpreted in terms of a disorder-averaged structure of this one carbonyl ligand. Interatomic distances (in $\AA$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses are given for each $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ core : for the monoanion of (2): $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2) 2 \cdot 372(1)$; $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) \quad 1.820(6) ; \mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) \quad 1 \cdot 834(6) ; \mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 1 \cdot 800(7) ;$ $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{l} \cdot 792(7) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) 1 \cdot 204(6)$; and $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 1 \cdot 204(8)$; for structure (3): $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2) 2.338(2) ; \mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.860(12)$; $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.856(12) ; \mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.841(11) ; \mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.847(12)$; $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) 1 \cdot 190(13)$; and $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 1 \cdot 188(13)$.

In contrast, the configuration of the neutral pentamethylcyclopentadienyl dimer (3) depicted in the Figure closely conforms to an ideal $C_{2 v}-2 m m$ geometry with the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligands being eclipsed and bisected by one of the two pseudo vertical mirror planes which are perpendicular to the mean $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ plane. The eclipsed (rather than the normally staggered) $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ rings together with the observed small but significant puckering of the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ core (corresponding to the above-mentioned torsional angles of $\mathbf{1 7 6 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 5 \cdot 4 ^ { \circ }}$, respectively) may be attributed to a minimization of steric interactions between the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ rings and the bridging carbonyl ligands.
$\dagger$ The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.

The presence in the solid-state i.r. spectra of two bridging carbonyl frequencies for (2) and only one frequency for (3) is in accordance with their different crystalline configurations, while the one solution i.r. band for both (2) and (3) is in harmony with an essentially planar centrosymmetric $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ core, which in the absence of crystal packing forces is stabilized by the multiple Co-Co bonding. The 15 -line e.s.r. spectra of (3) in THF, glyme, acetone, and acetonitrile are analogous to those previously found ${ }^{1,2}$ for (1) and are characteristic of a hyperfine interaction of the unpaired electron with both ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Co}$ nuclei ( $100 \%$ abundance, $I=7 / 2$ ).

The salient structural feature emerging from this comparative study is that the observed $0.034 \AA$ decrease between the Co-Co bond length of $2 \cdot 372(1) \AA$ in the monoanion (3) (with assumed B.O. of 1.5 ) and that of $2 \cdot 338(2) \AA$ in its oxidized neutral dimer (4) (with assumed B.O. of $2 \cdot 0$ ) provides direct experimental support for the multiple metal-metal bond-order model ${ }^{1,6}$ over the previously untested bond-order range of $1.5-2 \cdot 0$ for the same metal atoms. The near constancy in the metal-metal bond lengths determined from prior $X$-ray studies by Bernal et al. ${ }^{7}$ of $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{NO}\right)_{2}\right][2 \cdot 372(1) \AA$, B.O. $=$
$\mathrm{I} \cdot 0]$ and the paramagnetic $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{NO}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)\right]$ $[2 \cdot 370(1) \AA$, B.O. $=1.5]$, which are both isomorphic and isostructural in the crystalline state with $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{NO}\right)_{2}\right][2 \cdot 326(4) \AA$, B.O. $=2 \cdot 0],{ }^{8}$ had led to the conclusion ${ }^{7}$ that 'the successive increase in bond order predicted by the EAN rule is not observed.' Despite this insensitivity of $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond lengths to an alteration of B.O. from 1.0 to 1.5 , the (bond length)-(bond order) correlation predicted by theory ${ }^{6}$ is observed in the two directly related dimers (2) and (3) for a change of B.O. from 1.5 to 2.0 . It is apparent that the analogously short M-M distances in this series of dimers are mainly influenced by the particular electronic and steric constraints of the carbonyl- and nitrosyl-bridged ligands (i.e., the direct $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ interactions are much weaker), which thereby effectively resist a further shortening in the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ distance upon an increase in metal-metal B.O. $>1 \cdot 0$.
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