## Selective Isomerization of Methylpentanes on Iridium Catalysts

By FRANÇOIS WEISANG and FRANÇOIS G. GAULT\*

(Laboratoire de Catalyse, Université Louis Pasteur, 4 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg, France)

Summary The very fast isomerization of 2-methyl[2-1<sup>3</sup>C]pentane to 3-methyl[3-1<sup>3</sup>C]pentane and the selective hydrogenolysis of methylcyclopentane to methylpentanes on iridium suggest the participation of metallocarbenes and metallocarbynes as reaction intermediates.

IRIDIUM is a very active catalyst for the hydrogenolysis of alkanes<sup>1</sup> and the isomerization of neopentane to isopentane.<sup>2</sup> However, isomerization of n-butane, n-pentane, and n-hexane was not observed under similar conditions.<sup>3</sup> We therefore decided to investigate in detail the isomerization of hexanes on 10% Ir-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and on iridium sponge catalysts.

Isomerization of 2-methylpentane to 3-methylpentane and the reverse reaction occur with rates comparable to that of hydrogenolysis, while interconversion between methylpentanes and n-hexane is almost undetectable (Table 1).





The hydrogenolysis of methylcyclopentane, which yields 2-methylpentane and 3-methylpentane, but not n-hexane (Table 1), the fast interconversion of 3-methylhexane and ethylpentane, and the failure of 2-methylhexane and n-heptane to isomerize confirm that the major isomerization

TABLE 1. Initial product distributions.

			Reactio	n products (	weight %)					
		2-Methyl	3-Methyl- Methylcy			Reactivity factors: b cleavage of				
Reactant	Catalyst <sup>a</sup>	pentane	pentane	n-Hexane	pentane	Shc	Ct-Cp	Čt-Cs	$C_8 - C_8$	Č₅–Cp
2-Methylpentane	Α		28.1	$2 \cdot 0$	0.5	67	0.4	0.5	$2 \cdot 5$	1.2
"	в		43.5	0.0	2.5	48	0.35	0.3	2.25	1.75
3-Methylpentane	Α	44.1		0.3	1.2	50	0.15	0.6		1.8
"	в	49.7		0.0	0.1	40	0.1	0.2		2.25
n-Hexane	Α	0.2	0.2		0.0	98.3		_	1·1q	0.5
"	в	0.4	0.3		0.0	89.3			1.82°	1.0
									0.85d	
Methylcyclopentane	Α	73.4	26.0	0.3		99.7			1.25e	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	в	69.7	28.7	0.4		98.2				

<sup>a</sup> A: Ir-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 433 K; B: Ir sponge at 443 K. <sup>b</sup> Observed rate divided by statistical rate of hydrogenolysis (G. Leclercq, L. Leclercq and R. Maurel, J. Catalysis, 1977, 50, 87). <sup>c</sup> Percentage of the products obtained by a one-bond rupture mechanism. <sup>d</sup> C(2)-C(3) and C(4)-C(5) bonds. <sup>e</sup> C(3)-C(4) bond.

Isomerizations of 2-methyl[2-<sup>13</sup>C]pentane, 3-methyl[1-<sup>13</sup>C] pentane, and 3-methyl[2-<sup>13</sup>C]pentane were therefore investigated to distinguish between the two reaction mechanisms commonly believed to be involved in skeletal rearrangements on metal:<sup>4</sup> carbocyclic and bond shift.

mechanism on iridium is a selective carbocyclic mechanism in which only secondary-secondary  $CH_2-CH_2$  cyclic bonds are formed and ruptured. Since the dehydrocyclisation step requires the presence in the molecule of two primary carbon atoms in 1 and 5 positions, it is possible that the

TABLE 2. Isomerization: distribution (%) of the isotopic varieties.<sup>8</sup>



reaction proceeds via a dicarbyne intermediate attached to two metal atoms (Scheme 2).



Metallocarbenes have been proposed as possible intermediates in exchange of hydrocarbons with deuterium.<sup>6</sup> Also, the importance of metallocarbenes and metallocarbynes in selective and extensive hydrogenolysis of hydrocarbons on cobalt has been emphasized.7 On iridium, where hydrogenolysis occurs mainly via cleavage of one carbon-carbon bond, secondary-secondary  $(C_8-C_8)$ and secondary-primary  $(C_s-C_p)$  bonds are ruptured much more rapidly than tertiary-primary  $(C_t-C_p)$  and tertiary-secondary  $(C_t-C_s)$  bonds (Table 1). This suggests that hydrogenolysis of alkanes, like that of alkylcyclopentanes, involves formation of two double bonds between the metal and two contiguous carbon atoms (Scheme 3).



(Received, 15th January 1979; Com. 035.)

- <sup>1</sup> J. L. Carter, J. A. Cusumano, and J. H. Sinfelt, J. Catalysis, 1971, 20, 223.

- <sup>4</sup> J. L. Carter, J. A. Cusumano, and J. H. Smith, J. Catalysis, 1971, 20, 200.
  <sup>5</sup> M. Boudart and L. D. Ptak, J. Catalysis, 1970, 16, 90.
  <sup>8</sup> E. Kikuchi, M. Tsurumi and Y. Morita, J. Catalysis, 1971, 22, 226; T. J. Plunkett and J. K. A. Clarke, *ibid.*, 1974, 35, 330.
  <sup>4</sup> J. R. Anderson and N. R. Avery, J. Catalysis, 1966, 5, 446; Y. Barron, G. Maire, J. M. Muller, and F. G. Gault, *ibid.*, 1966, 5, 428.
  <sup>5</sup> C. Corolleur, S. Corolleur, and F. G. Gault, J. Catalysis, 1972, 24, 385.
  <sup>6</sup> C. Kemball, Adv. Catalysis, 1959, 11, 223.
  <sup>7</sup> F. G. Cault and J. Roopey, J. C. S. Favadav J. 1979, 75 in the press.

- <sup>7</sup> F. G. Gault and J. J. Rooney, J.C.S. Faraday I, 1979, 75, in the press.