## Monomeric Bivalent Group 4B Metal Dialkylamides $M[NCMe_2(CH_2)_3CMe_2]_2$ (M = Ge or Sn), and the Structure of a Gaseous Disilylamide, $Sn[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ , by Gas Electron Diffraction<sup>†</sup>

By MICHAEL F. LAPPERT,\* PHILIP P. POWER, and MARTIN J. SLADE (School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ)

and LISE HEDBERG, KENNETH HEDBERG,\* and VERNER SCHOMAKER (Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331)

Summary Reaction of Li[NCMe<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CMe<sub>2</sub>] (LiNR<sub>2</sub>) in n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>12</sub> at 20 °C with GeCl<sub>2</sub> dioxan or SnCl<sub>2</sub> affords the corresponding coloured crystalline diamagnetic metal(II) dialkylamide, M(NR<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, which is monomeric (cryoscopy in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>), has a low first ionisation potential (6.90 eV for M = Ge, 6.80 eV for M = Sn), and furnishes the 2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidyl radical :NR<sub>2</sub> upon photolysis; electron diffraction analysis of gaseous Sn[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> shows only the monomer at *ca*. 100 °C and 10<sup>-2</sup> atm, which has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry,  $\angle NSnN = 96.0^{\circ}$ , and Sn-N (av.) = 2.09 Å.

The orange diamagnetic title  $\operatorname{Sn}^{II}$  bis(silyl)amide<sup>1,2</sup> is monomeric in both cyclohexane and benzene,<sup>1,3</sup> as are some related compounds having at least one  $\beta$ -silicon or  $\beta$ -germanium atom per ligand molecule, *e.g.* M[N(M'R\_3)\_2]<sub>2</sub> (M = Ge or Sn; M' = Si or Ge; R = Me, Et, or Ph),<sup>3</sup> Pb[N(SiMe\_3)\_2]<sub>2</sub>,<sup>1,3</sup> M[N(CMe\_3)SiMe\_3]<sub>2</sub> (M = Ge, Sn, or Pb), and compound (2), which in solution is a monomer (R = Bu<sup>t</sup>) or dimer



 $(R = Pr^{1})$  and in the crystal for  $R = Bu^{t}$  has both a monomeric and a dimeric phase (X-ray).<sup>4</sup> The amides (1) are monomeric in cyclohexane (cryoscopy) and are related to the as yet unknown carbon compounds,  $M[N(CMe_{3})_{2}]_{2}$ . By contrast, tin(II) dimethylamide is a colourless dimer, probably with a  $\mu$ -(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-bridged structure.<sup>5</sup>



The amides (1) (the tin compound has been mentioned at a conference<sup>6</sup>) were prepared from GeCl<sub>2</sub>·dioxan or SnCl<sub>2</sub> and LiNCMe<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CMe<sub>2</sub> at *ca.* 20 °C in n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>12</sub>, and analysed

LINCMe<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CMe<sub>2</sub> at *ca*. 20 °C in n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>12</sub>, and analysed satisfactorily. The lead(II) amide is markedly less stable and decomposed below 0 °C, in contrast to  $Pb[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ .<sup>3</sup>

## 370

Noteworthy properties of the orange Ge<sup>II</sup> and the darkred Pb<sup>11</sup> dialkylamides are (i) their colour, which clearly does not arise from an electronic transition into a vacant ndorbital on  $\beta$ -Si or  $\beta$ -Ge [ $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$ ) in n-C\_6H\_{14}: Ge, 426 (670), 250 (7.6  $\,\times\,$  103), and 217 (1.16  $\times\,$  103); Sn 475 (720) and 222 (8  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>)]; (ii) the exceptionally low first ionisation potentials (ca. 6.8 eV), compared with M[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> or M[N(CMe<sub>3</sub>)SiMe<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>;<sup>7</sup> and (iii) their photolysis in  $n-C_6H_{12}$  to afford the 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidyl radical, identified by its e.s.r. spectrum  $g_{av}$  2.006,  $a(^{14}N)$ 1.525 mT,  $t_1$  ca. 0.5 min at 25 °C (cf. ref. 8). Observation (iii) shows that compounds (1) are among the most hindered amides; by contrast, photolysis of M[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> affords the persistent metal(III) amide.<sup>3</sup> Consistent with (ii), which strongly suggests significant SiN( $d \leftarrow p$ )  $\pi$ -bonding amides (1) are more responsive to oxidative addition (e.g., with MeI) than M[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>.9



FIGURE. Schematic drawing showing important molecular parameters for gaseous  $Sn[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ , obtained from an electron diffraction study.

From their sharp <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectra, diamagnetism, and absence of e.s.r. signals, the monomeric amides are expected to have a singlet electronic ground state with an approximate  $p^2$  bonding at the metal. This model is essentially borne out by the electron diffraction results (obtained and analysed by techniques previously described; see ref. 10) on gaseous Sn[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> at 100 °C and 10<sup>-2</sup> atm which are consistent with a wholly monomeric molecule of  $C_{2v}$  symmetry with planar bonding at nitrogen. It thus differs from the  $D_{2d}$  Be[N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11</sup> The essential molecular parameters are shown in the Figure, R = 9.6%.

In the light of the size of the  $N(SiMe_3)_2$  ligand, it may be surprising to find the small angle at Sn,  $\angle NSnN = 96^{\circ}$ . This compares with  $95^{\circ}$  in gaseous SnCl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>12</sup> The Sn-N bond length (2.089 Å) is unexceptional; cf., 2.045 in  $Sn(NMe_2)_4$ ,<sup>13</sup> 2.033(5) in Sn[N(Bu<sup>t</sup>)SiMe<sub>2</sub>NBu<sup>t</sup>]<sub>2</sub>,<sup>4</sup> and 2.09 Å in (2)  $(R = Bu^{t}, monomer; or 2.39 Å in the dimer).^{4}$  The  $N \cdots N$  distance of *ca*. 3.1 Å makes  $N \cdots N$  interaction unlikely, as is also clear from the considerable torsional motion around the Sn-N bonds, which further indicates that there is little steric interaction between the N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> groups. Although electron diffraction data are not available on the isoelectronic compound Sn[CH(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, we note that the latter is a Sn-Sn-bonded dimer in the crystal,<sup>14</sup> and a monomer in cyclohexane solution or in the gas.

We thank the S.R.C. for the award of a studentship (to M.J.S.) and for other support, Mr. A. W. Coleman for measurement of the photoelectron spectra, and Dr. A. G. Robiette for his interest. The OSU workers thank the N.S.F. for support of the diffraction work.

(Received, 22nd January 1979; Com. 066.)

- <sup>1</sup> D. H. Harris and M. F. Lappert, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1974, 895.
- <sup>2</sup> C. D. Schaeffer and J. J. Zuckerman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 7160.
   <sup>3</sup> M. J. S. Gynane, D. H. Harris, M. F. Lappert, P. P. Power, P. Rivière, and M. Rivière-Baudet, J.C.S. Dalton, 1977, 2004.
- <sup>4</sup> M. Veith, Z. Naturforsch., 1978, 33b, 1, 7.
- <sup>5</sup> P. Foley and M. Zeldin, Inorg. Chem., 1975, 14, 2264.

<sup>6</sup> J. J. Zuckerman, paper A5 in the abstracts of 2nd International Conference on 'The organometallic and co-ordination chemistry of germanium, tin, and lead,' Nottingham, July, 1977.
<sup>7</sup> D. H. Harris, M. F. Lappert, J. B. Pedley, and G. J. Sharp, J.C.S. Dalton, 1976, 945.
<sup>8</sup> T. Toda, E. Mori, H. Horuichi, and K. Murayama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1972, 45, 1802.
<sup>9</sup> M. J. S. Gynane, M. F. Lappert, S. J. Miles, and P. P. Power, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 192.
<sup>10</sup> G. Gundersen and K. Hedberg, J. Chem. Phys., 1969, 51, 2500.
<sup>11</sup> A. H. Clark and A. Haaland, Chem. Comm., 1969, 912.
<sup>12</sup> M. J. Lark and A. Haaland, Chem. Excedence Sca. 1041, 37, 406.

- 12 M. W. Lister and L. E. Sutton, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1941, 37, 406.
- L. V. Vilkov, N. A. Tarasenko, and A. K. Prokof'ev, J. Struct. Chem., 1970, 11, 114.
   J. D. Cotton, P. J. Davidson, and M. F. Lappert, J.C.S. Dalton, 1976, 2275.