New System for 'Activation' of Dimethyl Sulphoxide in Pummerer-like Reactions

By ARNALDO DOSSENA, ROSANGELA MARCHELLI, and GIUSEPPE CASNATI* (Istituto di Chimica Organica dell'Università, 43100 Parma, Italy)

Summary t-Butyl bromide was found to be a particularly effective 'activator' of dimethyl sulphoxide in reactions with nucleophiles (carboxylic acids, benzyloxycarbonyl N-protected amino-acids, and phenols) in the presence of NaHCO₃ at room temperature.

In reactions involving suitable electrophilic 'activators,' dimethyl sulphoxide undergoes the Pummerer rearrangement¹ or other well known reactions with a variety of nucleophiles (carboxylic acids,² phenols,³ amines,⁴ alcohols,⁵ *etc.*). We have now discovered that t-butyl bromide acts as a particularly effective 'Me₂SO activator' in reactions with nucleophiles under weakly basic conditions. This is surprising, since it is generally accepted that alkyl bromides are inert to Me,SO in the absence of metal ion assisting agents even at high temperatures.⁶ In a typical procedure Bu^tBr (10 mmol) was added slowly to a suspension of a carboxylic acid (1 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (10 mmol) in Me₂SO (5 ml) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 16 h, extracted with Et₂O, and the extracts were reduced to a small volume and distilled. The methylthiomethyl esters (2) were obtained in quantitative yields, presumably via the unstable alkoxysulphonium salt intermediate[†] (1), according to reaction (1). The reaction appears to be general for

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Me_{2}SO} + \mathrm{Bu^{t}Br} & \longrightarrow [\mathrm{Me_{2}S^{+}OBu^{t}Br^{-}}] \\ & (1) \\ & & & \underbrace{\mathrm{NaHCO_{3}}}_{\mathrm{RCO_{2}CH}} & \mathrm{RCO_{2}CH_{2}SMe} & (1) \end{array}$$

a variety of carboxylic acids; the results are reported in the Table.

The extremely mild conditions allowed the reaction to be extended to Z-N-protected amino-acids (tryptophan and phenylalanine), the corresponding methylthiomethyl esters being obtained in very high yields without racemization, thus providing a substantial improvement over methods currently used for the protection of acids7 and amino-acids8 in peptide synthesis.

While investigating the mechanism of the reaction, we felt that extension to other nucleophiles should provide information on the effectiveness and the limitations of this

TABLE. Synthesis of the methylthiomethyl esters (2).^a

% Yield of (2)	B.p. (θ/°C) [p/mmHg]
98c	50 [15]
99c	55 [0.1]
96°	40 [0·1]
96°	48 [0·1]
98c,e 15.	4
96°	Oil
93a	Solid
90d	Oilg
	% Yield of (2) 98° 96° 96° 98°.° 15 96° 934 904

^a Compounds were identified by their elemental analysis and spectral data. b Z = benzyloxycarbonyl. ° Yields are based on g.l.c. analysis in the presence of an internal standard (benzophenone). ^d Yields are based on the isolated products (pre-parative t.l.c.). ^e Bis(methylthiomethyl) ester. ^f M.p. 127-128 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 23.0^{\circ}$ (c 1, EtOAc). $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 22.3^{\circ}$ (c 1, EtOAc).

hitherto unreported 'activator.' Thus, the reaction of phenol was studied under essentially identical conditions leading in good conversion (75%) into the following products; MeSCH₂OPh (49%), o-MeSCH₂C₆H₄OH (31%), o-MeSCH₂- $C_{6}H_{4}OCH_{2}SMe$ (11%), and oo'-(MeSCH₂)₂ $C_{6}H_{3}OH$ (9%), identified by comparison of their spectral data with those reported.³ Similarly, o-cresol (conversion 55%) gave the corresponding products⁺ o-MeC₆H₄OCH₂SMe (56%), o-Me- $SCH_2(o'-Me)C_6H_3OH$ (40%), and $o-MeSCH_2(o'-Me)C_6H_3$ -OCH₂SMe (4%).

The partial support of this work by a grant from the C.N.R. is gratefully acknowledged.

(Received, 23rd January 1979; Com. 071.)

† This hypothesis, still under study, is supported by chemical and spectroscopic evidence.

‡ Relative proportions (in parentheses) were determined by combined spectrometric and g.l.c. analyses, using t-butylphenol as internal standard.

¹ R. Pummerer, Ber., 1909, 42, 2282; 1910, 43, 1401; L. Horner and P. Kaiser, Annalen, 1959, 626, 19; C. R. Johnson and W. G. Phillips, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 682.

² U. Lerch and J. G. Moffatt, J. Org. Chem, 1971, 36, 3391.
 ³ M. G. Burdon and J. G. Moffatt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 5855; 1967, 89, 4725.

⁴ U. Lerch and J. G. Moffatt, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 3861.

⁶ K. E. Pfitzner and J. G. Moffatt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 5661; K. Omura, A. K. Sharma, and D. Swern, J. Org. Chem., 1976, ⁴ 1, 957; S. L. Huang, K. Omura, and D. Swern, *ibid.*, 1976, **41**, 3329.
⁶ B. Ganem and R. K. Boeckman, Jr., *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1974, 917.
⁷ T. L. Ho and C. M. Wong, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1973, 224; L. G. Wade, J. M. Gerdes, and R. P. Wirth, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 224; L. G. Wade, J. M. Gerdes, and R. P. Wirth, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 224; L. G. Wade, J. M. Gerdes, and R. P. Wirth, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 224; L. G. Wade, J. M. Gerdes, and R. P. Wirth, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 224; L. G. Wade, J. M. Gerdes, and R. P. Wirth, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 224; L. G. Wade, J. M. Gerdes, and R. P. Wirth, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1975

1978, 731.

⁸ M. J. S. A. Amaral, G. C. Barrett, H. N. Rydon, and J. E. Willett, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1966, 807.