Conversion of Averufin derived from [1,2-13C]Acetate into Aflatoxin B₁

By AMELIA E. DE JESUS, CHARLES P. GORST-ALLMAN, PIETER S. STEYN,* ROBERT VLEGGAAR, and PHILIPPUS L. WESSELS (National Chemical Research Laboratory, Council for Scientific and Industria! Research, Pretoria 0001, Republic of South Africa)

and Chiu Chi Wan and Dennis P. H. Hsieh

(Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California, Davis, California 95616)

Summary The incorporation of label from averufin derived from $[1,2^{-13}C]$ - and $[1^{-14}C]$ -acetate supports the intermediacy of averufin in the biosynthesis of aflatoxin B_1 .

BIOSYNTHETIC studies have shown that aflatoxin B_1 (1) is produced via the acetate-polymalonate biosynthetic pathway by Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus.^{1,2} The sequence of biochemical events leading to the aflatoxins was elucidated by using metabolic inhibitors and blocked mutants of A. parasiticus.³ Averufin (2) is recognized as a pivotal intermediate in aflatoxin biosynthesis owing to its accumulation in the mutant, A. parasiticus ATCC 24551,4 and its efficient conversion into aflatoxin B_1 by wild-type cultures e.g., A. parasiticus ATCC 15517.5 Its intermediary role in the biosynthetic pathway leading to aflatoxin B_1 was recently supported by its ready conversion into sterigmatocystin, a well-established precursor of aflatoxin B₁.⁶ This study on the biosynthesis of the aflatoxins was undertaken to provide further evidence that averufin (2) is incorporated intact into aflatoxin B_1 (1). Previous studies have been questioned since enzymatic degradation of the side-chain of averufin could give rise to labelled acetate which, on incorporation, would give aflatoxin B_1 with the observed activity and labelling pattern.

Averufin (1), derived from [1,2-13C]acetate,⁷ (21.8 mg) was admixed with [14C]averufin, derived from [1-14C]acetate,



 $(1.043 \ \mu\text{Ci})$ to give averufin with a specific activity of 17.23 μ Ci mmol⁻¹. This ¹³C/¹⁴C-labelled averufin in methanol was introduced into aflatoxin-producing cells of A. parasiticus ATCC 15517, which had been resuspended in the resting cell medium of Hsieh and Mateles.8 The incubation was performed at 30 °C and the culture was shaken at 200 r.p.m. for 20 h. The formed aflatoxin B₁ (0.193 μ Ci, 17.7 mg), purified by thin layer and high pressure liquid chromatography, had a specific activity of $3.40 \ \mu \text{Ci} \ \text{mmol}^{-1}$. The efficient conversion of averufin into aflatoxin B₁ (absolute incorporation 18.5%) and the low dilution value (5.1) observed for the process indicates that the precursor is incorporated intact. This dilution value was corroborated by the decrease (80%)in the ratio of the satellite peaks compared to the natural abundance peaks in the proton noise decoupled (p.n.d.) ¹³C n.m.r. spectra of the labelled averufin and the derived aflatoxin B1. The p.n.d. 13C n.m.r. spectrum of the aflatoxin B_1 showed the same labelling pattern and directly bonded coupling constants, ${}^{1}J(CC)$, as that of $[1,2-{}^{13}C]$ acetate-derived aflatoxin B₁.²

In a subsequent experiment, [¹⁴C]averufin (3.36 mg; $10.88 \ \mu \text{Ci mmol}^{-1}$) in acetone was added to a replacement medium,⁹ which contained no carbon source (500 ml in ten 250 ml flasks) over a period of 8 h. The incubation was performed at 25 °C and the culture was shaken at 160 r.p.m. tor 22 h. The averufin (1.8 mg) and formed aflatoxin B₁ $(0.33 \text{ mg}; 6.04 \,\mu\text{Ci mmol}^{-1})$ were isolated. A lower dilution value (1.8) was obtained while the absolute incorporation of averufin into aflatoxin B_1 was similar (14%) (corrected for recovered precursor).

These data, and the more efficient incorporation of averufin (18.5%) into a flatoxin B₁ than acetate (1.0%), support the central role of averufin in aflatoxin biosynthesis. The established labelling pattern in the following metabolites support the sequence: acetate-polymalonate \rightarrow averufin⁷ \rightarrow versiconal acetate¹⁰ \rightarrow versicolorin A¹¹ \rightarrow sterigmato $cystin^2 \rightarrow aflatoxin B_1^2$.

(Received, 8th January 1980; Com. 016.)

- ¹ M. Biollaz, G. Büchi, and G. N. Milne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1035.
- ² K. G. R. Pachler, P. S. Steyn, R. Vleggaar, P. L. Wessels, and D. B. Scott, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1976, 1182. ³ R. Singh and D. P. H. Hsieh, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1977, 178, 285.

- J. A. Donkersloot, R. I. Mateles, and S. S. Yang, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 1972, 47, 1051.
 M. T. Lin, D. P. H. Hsieh, R. C. Yao, and J. A. Donkersloot, Biochemistry, 1973, 12, 5167.
 D. P. H. Hsieh, R. Singh, R. C. Yao, and J. W. Bennett, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 1978, 35, 980.
 C. P. Gorst-Allman, K. G. R. Pachler, P. S. Steyn, P. L. Wessels, and D. B. Scott, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1977, 2181.
 D. P. H. Hsieh and R. I. Mateles, Appl. Microbiol., 1971, 22, 79.
 J. Adva and R. J. Mateles, Tabelage Biochem Acta, 1964, 96, 419.

- ¹ J. Adye, and R. I. Mateles, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1964, 86, 418.
 ¹⁰ P. S. Steyn, R. Vleggaar, P. L. Wessels, and D. B. Scott, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 460.
 ¹¹ C. P. Gorst-Allman, P. S. Steyn, P. L. Wessels, and D. B. Scott, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1978, 961.