## Composition and Staging in the Graphite–AsF<sub>6</sub> System and its Relationship to Graphite–AsF<sub>5</sub>

By EUGENE M. MCCARRON and NEIL BARTLETT\*

(The Chemistry Department, University of California, and the Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720)

Summary Interconversion, of graphite-AsF<sub>5</sub> intercalates and  $C_{12n}$ +AsF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> salts, establishes the equilibrium:  $3AsF_5 + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2AsF_6^- + AsF_3$ , for AsF<sub>5</sub> intercalation, and simple staging-stoicheiometry relationships exist for both the AsF<sub>5</sub> and AsF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> intercalates.

INTERCALATION of  $AsF_5$  into pyrolytic graphite<sup>1</sup> produces an excellent metal<sup>2</sup> and there has been much speculation on the nature of the guest species. Our interest in related  $AsF_6^-$  salts<sup>3</sup> prompted our examination of the  $AsF_5$  materials and from synchrotron-radiation As-absorption edge studies, we concluded<sup>4,5</sup> that  $AsF_5$  was entering graphite by oxidation according to equation (1). The observation<sup>6</sup> that  $AsF_5$  can be

$$3AsF_{5} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow 2AsF_{6}^{-} + AsF_{3}$$
(1)

recovered from the intercalate, implied reversibility of (1), but the As-absorption edge studies<sup>4</sup> did indicate essentially complete conversion into  $AsF_6^-$  and  $AsF_3$ . There has been much reluctance to accept this interpretation. Some of this has derived from failure to find  $AsF_3$  in the gases from graphite-AsF<sub>5</sub>.<sup>6</sup> The failure to observe more than one <sup>19</sup>F n.m.r. resonance,<sup>7</sup> and the large electron withdrawal from the graphite (which extensive conversion into  $AsF_6^-$  requires) have also presented difficulties.<sup>8</sup>

Our investigation of the volatile products from  $C_xAsF_5$ shows that the earlier findings<sup>6</sup> were misleading. A sample of  $C_8AsF_5$ , was prepared from powdered high-purity pyrolytic graphite, which had been well dried, and pretreated with fluorine to remove any reducing species. I.r. spectroscopy showed other i.r.-active components to be absent from the  $AsF_5$  used in the preparation. The volatile products from a C<sub>8</sub>AsF<sub>5</sub> sample at 20 °C, held under vacuum, were monitored by i.r. spectroscopy as a function of pumping time. Within the first minute the volatile product was largely  $AsF_5$ , but AsF<sub>3</sub> was also detected. As the composition of the intercalate approached  $C_{10}AsF_{5-6}$ , the volatile products proved to be approximately equimolar quantities of AsF<sub>5</sub> and AsF<sub>3</sub>. From  $C_{10}AsF_{5-6}$  to  $C_{14}AsF_{6}$  (after several hours of pumping) the only volatile product detected was AsF<sub>3</sub>. Removal of  $AsF_3$  requires an increase in the  $AsF_6^-$  fraction of the arsenic species remaining in the graphite and all indications are that the vacuum stable product is an AsF<sub>6</sub>salt. Although, at 20 °C, the major initial volatile product from C<sub>8</sub>AsF<sub>5</sub> is AsF<sub>5</sub>, the only one from the third stage compound  $C_{24}AsF_5$  is  $AsF_3$ . This is consistent with a higher positive charge and electron affinity of the carbon network in the first stage  $C_8$  compound, relative to the third stage material. Of course the reversal of (1), to produce  $AsF_5$ , requires that the carbon network should recapture electrons from the AsF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>.

In a separate series of experiments, graphite was intercalated by  $AsF_5-F_2$  mixtures to yield  $C_xAsF_6$ . At the intercalation limit,  $\dagger$  tensimetry of the  $F_2$  and  $AsF_5$  consumption satisfies the equation:  $8C + AsF_5(g) + 1/2F_{2}(g) \rightarrow C_8AsF_6$ . The  $AsF_6^-$  salts are stable in a vacuum at 20 °C. By monitoring highly oriented (*c* axis) pyrolytic-graphite slabs (of thickness *t*) both by micrometry and X-ray diffraction, it has been established that the composition for each stage is  $C_{12n}AsF_6$  (*n* the stage), up to the first stage onset of  $C_{12}AsF_6$ . This means that each occupied gallery up to the first stage, has a composition  $C_{12}AsF_6$ , as indicated in Figure 1. The micrometer  $t/t_0$  ( $t_0$  being the thickness of the original graphite) compares closely with the  $t/t_0$  (ideal) derived from the X-ray diffraction gallery-height measurements. With sufficient  $AsF_5/F_2$  the first-stage composition can be taken



FIGURE 1. Composition/staging relationships for  $C_xAsF_5$  and  $C_xAsF_6$ . Dashed line =  $C_xAsF_6$ , solid line =  $C_xAsF_5$ .  $t/t_0$  = [thickness  $C_xAsF_5(or_6)$ ]/(thickness parent graphite).

from  $C_{12}AsF_6$  [with a gallery height, c = 8.04(2) Å] to a limit of  $C_8AsF_6$  [c = 7.86(2) Å].<sup>‡</sup> Single crystal work establishes that the latter is hexagonal with a = 4.92(2), c = 7.86(2), V = 165 Å<sup>3</sup>, the volume of which requires that the  $AsF_6^-$  be in close-packed 'planar' array. The *c*-axis contraction from  $C_{12}AsF_6$  to  $C_8AsF_6$  can be attributed to the increased Coulomb attraction of guest and host, with the change from  $C_{12}^+$  to  $C_8^+AsF_6^-$ .



FIGURE 2. Structural models for (a)  $C_{12}AsF_6$  or  $C_{12}AsF_6$ . 1/2  $AsF_3$ ;  $\blacktriangle AsF_6^-$  in  $C_{12}AsF_6$ ;  $\bigoplus AsF_3$  in  $C_{12}AsF_6$ . 1/2  $AsF_3$  and (b)  $C_8AsF_6$ ;  $\bigstar AsF_6^-$ .

In a gallery occupancy of  $C_{12}AsF_6$ , each anion need have only three anion neighbours, whereas in  $C_8AsF_6$  each is constrained to have six (see Figure 2). We attribute the  $C_{12n}AsF_6$  staging-composition relationship to the reduced repulsive interactions offered by the  $C_{12}AsF_6$  gallery occupancy. Evidently, opening of virgin galleries is preferred over filling beyond  $C_{12}AsF_6$ , as long as virgin galleries are available.

 $\uparrow$  At lower guest concentrations (e g., C<sub>12</sub>AsF<sub>6</sub>) the material takes up fluorine to a limit C<sub>2</sub>AsF<sub>6</sub>· $\frac{1}{2}$ F<sub>2</sub>. This F<sub>2</sub> presumably occupies vacancies in the galleries and can be 'titrated' with more AsF<sub>5</sub> or AsF<sub>3</sub> to yield AsF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> We have noted similar effects of charging on the gallery height ('c') in other systems (N. Bartlett, E. M. McCarron, B. W. McQuillan, and T. E. Thompson, Synthetic Metals, 1980, 1, 221). Thus  $C_{12}^{2+}PtF_{6}^{2-}$  and  $C_{8}^{+}IrF_{6}^{-}$  have 'c' values of 7.56 and 8.06 Å, respectively. Since the formula unit volumes of NO<sup>+</sup>IrF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sup>+</sup>PtF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> are 129.32 and 129.25 Å, respectively (N. Bartlett, Angew. Chem., 1968, 7, 433. IrF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and PtF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> must have almost the same volume. The volume of PtF<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> must be greater than that of PtF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>, therefore we can be sure that the smaller value of 'c', for  $C_{12}^{+}PtF_{6}^{+2-}$  relative to  $C_{8}^{+}IrF_{6}^{-}$ , must be a consequence of the greater Coulomb attraction, between guest and host, in the platinum salt.

Treatment of C<sub>12</sub>AsF<sub>6</sub> with AsF<sub>3</sub> leads to consumption of the latter according to equation (2):

$$2C_{12}AsF_6 + AsF_3 \rightarrow 3C_8AsF_5 \tag{2}$$

In a vacuum it loses AsF<sub>5</sub> and AsF<sub>3</sub> as in C<sub>8</sub>AsF<sub>5</sub> made directly from graphite and  $AsF_5$ . Similar conversions can be made at other compositions. There is no perceptable change in  $t/t_0$  when AsF<sub>3</sub> is added to or removed from a monolithic sample and X-ray diffraction findings indicate no change in stage. It had previously been observed<sup>9</sup> that the graphite/AsF<sub>5</sub> stages obey the relationship  $C_{8n}$ AsF<sub>5</sub> where n is the stage (see Figure 1). Since  $C_8AsF_5$  can be represented as  $C_{12}^+AsF_6^- 1/2AsF_3$  [if the equilibrium for (1) is far to the right] it is reasonable to suppose that the neutral AsF<sub>3</sub> molecules occupy the vacancies in the  $C_{12}AsF_{6}$  anion arrangement, as proposed in Figure 2(a). The equality (within experimental error) of the c spacing for  $C_8AsF_5$  [8.05(3) Å] with that for  $C_{12}$ +AsF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> [8.04(3) Å] also agrees with essentially complete conversion of AsF<sub>5</sub> into AsF<sub>6</sub>- and AsF<sub>3</sub>. Recent EXAFS studies for  $C_xAsF_5$ ,  $C_yAsF_6$ ,  $AsF_5$ ,  $AsF_3$ , and a variety of  $AsF_6^-$  salts<sup>10</sup> also indicate that there is unlikely to be more than 5% of free AsF<sub>5</sub> in C<sub>10</sub>AsF<sub>5</sub> and are fully consistent with the guest species being  $AsF_6^-$  and  $AsF_3$ .

With the demonstration that  $AsF_3$  can be removed from  $C_xAsF_5$ , attention must be paid to the preparative conditions for ' $C_x AsF_5$ ' samples, since  $AsF_3$  departure will result in  $AsF_6^-$  salt formation. We suggest that the residual compounds are such salts.

We thank the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, EXXON Corporation, and the University of California, Berkeley, Committee on Research for support, and Dr. A. Moore of Union Carbide for a supply of pyrolytic graphite.

## (Received, 7th January 1980; Com. 009.)

- <sup>1</sup> Lin Chun-Hsu, H. Selig, M. Rabinovitz, I. Agranat, and S. Sarig, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1975, 11, 601.
  <sup>2</sup> E. R. Falardeau, G. M. T. Foley, C. Zeller, and F. L. Vogel, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1977, 389.
  <sup>3</sup> T. J. Richardson and N. Bartlett, J.Chem.Soc., Chem. Commun., 1974, 427; and N. Bartlett, R. N. Biagioni, E. M. McCarron, B. W. McQuillan, and F. Tanzella, 'Molecular Metals,' ed. W. E. Hatfield, Plenum, New York, 1979, p. 293.
  <sup>4</sup> N. Bartlett, R. N. Biagioni, B. W. McQuillan, A. S. Robertson, and A. C. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1978, 200.
  <sup>5</sup> N. Bartlett, B. W. McQuillan, and A. S. Robertson, Mat. Res. Bull., 1978, 13, 1259.
  <sup>6</sup> H. Selig, M. J. Vasile, F. A. Stevie, and W. H. Sunder, J. Fluorine Chem., 1977, 10, 299.

  - <sup>7</sup> L. Ebert and H. Selig, *Mat. Sci. Eng.*, 1977, **31**, 177. <sup>8</sup> M. J. Moran, J. E. Fischer, and W. R. Salaneck, personal communication.

  - <sup>9</sup> E. R. Falardeau, L. R. Hanlon, and T. J. Thompson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, 17, 301.
     <sup>10</sup> A. S. Robertson, B. W. McQuillan, M. P. Klein, and N. Bartlett, to be published.