The Electronic Configuration of 1,3-Dialkyltriazenyl Radicals

By JOHN C. BRAND and BRIAN P. ROBERTS*

(Christopher Ingold Laboratories, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ)

Summary It is concluded from the e.s.r. spectra of RNNNR $(R = Me \text{ or } Bu^{t})$ that these species are σ radicals, rather than π radicals as proposed previously.

THERE has been much interest recently in the electronic configurations of nitrogen-centred free radicals and particular attention has been given to theoretical and experimental studies of π and σ states of amidyl and imidyl radicals.^{1,2}

In 1978 Lunazzi and coworkers³ reported that photolysis of di-t-butyl peroxide in the presence of 1,3-dimethyltriazene gave rise to an e.s.r. spectrum which they assigned to the 1,3-dimethyltriazenyl radical [equation (1; R = Me)].

$$Bu^{t}O \cdot + RN(H)N = NR \rightarrow Bu^{t}OH + RNNR \qquad (1)$$
(1)

These authors analysed the spectrum which they obtained at 173 K in terms of $a(1N) 3 \cdot 9$, $a(2N) 7 \cdot 7$, and $a(6H) 11 \cdot 6$ G and thus concluded that (1; R = Me) is a π -radical [see (2)] in which the unpaired electron occupies a non-bonding allyltype molecular orbital and is centred mainly on the two terminal nitrogen atoms. The σ electronic state (3) (analogous to the ground states⁴ of the isoelectronic \dot{NO}_2 and \dot{N}_3^{2-}) was rejected, since smaller proton and larger central nitrogen splittings would be expected if the unpaired electron were to occupy the anti-bonding three-centre σ molecular orbital.³



However, we have pointed out that the g-factor (2.0020) of (1; R = Me), reported by Lunazzi *et al.*, is difficult to reconcile with the π electronic configuration (2). Furthermore, we have shown that addition of trialkylsilyl radicals to alkyl or trialkylsilyl azides gives 1,3-triazenyl radicals [equation (2)] and we have proposed that these have σ ground

$$R_3Si_{\bullet} + RN_3 \rightarrow R_3Si_{NNR}$$
 (2)

states analogous to (3).⁵ For example, the e.s.r. spectrum

of Et₃SiNNNMe shows $a(1N_{central})$ 17.1, a(1N) 3.6, a(1N') 1.8 G, g 2.0009 at 260 K.

We now report that u.v. photolysis in the temperature range 160—260 K of a cyclopropane solution containing di-t-butyl peroxide and 1,3-di-t-butyltriazene,[†] whilst the sample was in the cavity of an e.s.r. spectrometer, gave rise to a spectrum showing splitting by three ¹⁴N nuclei and which we assign to the triazenyl radical (1; $R = Bu^{\dagger}$). Thermal generation of t-butoxyl radicals, from di-t-butyl hyponitrite⁶ at 335 K, in the presence of the triazene also afforded the spectrum of (1; $R = Bu^{\dagger}$) (see the Figure).



FIGURE. E.S.T. spectrum of (1; $R = Bu^t$) obtained during thermolysis of di-t-butyl hyponitrite (*ca.* 0.5 M) in the presence of 1,3-di-t-butyltriazene (*ca.* 1 M) in benzene at 335 K.

The e.s.r. parameters are a(1N) 12.4, a(2N) 3.3 G, g 2.0019 at 335 K and, since the larger splitting is presumably from the central nitrogen, these imply that (1; $R = Bu^{t}$) adopts the σ electronic configuration (3).

In order to resolve the apparent discrepancy between our result and that reported previously, we generated the 1,3dimethyltriazenyl radical by the published method [equation (1)]. Our spectrum (g 2.0021) at 190 K was essentially the same as that reported by Lunazzi et al., but computer simulation using a(1N) 11.6, a(2N) 3.9, a(6H) 7.7 G resulted in equally good agreement with the experimental spectrum as was obtained using the values given previously³ (see above). At 170-200 K all the coupling constants are almost exact multiples of 3.9 G, leading to line superposition and hence to an apparently simple spectrum of equally-spaced lines (see the figure in ref. 3). However, slight temperature dependence of the coupling constants and a decrease in linewidth at higher temperatures permits much more fine structure to be resolved in the spectrum of (1; R = Me) obtained at 226 K [a(1N) 11.5, a(2N) 4.0, a(6H) 8.0 G].‡

Our analysis was confirmed by generating the 1,3-bis-(trideuteriomethyl)triazenyl radical (1; $R = CD_3$) by hydrogen abstraction from the parent triazene. The spectrum obtained at 225 K was analysed and computer simulated using a(1N) 11.5, a(2N) 4.0, and a(6D) 1.2 G, whereas simulation of the experimental spectrum based on

† Made by reaction of t-butyl-lithium with t-butyl azide, followed by hydrolytic work-up; satisfactory spectroscopic data and elemental analysis were obtained for this new compound (b.p. 64 °C at 45 Torr).

[‡] The solvent was cyclopropane-benzene (4:1 v/v). Weaker spectra of (1; R = Me or Bu⁴) were obtained when the appropriate triazene was photolysed in the absence of di-t-butyl peroxide. Photolysis of 1,3-dimethyltriazene, either alone or with the peroxide, gave rise to a weak spectrum of the methyl radical in addition to that of (1; R = Me).

J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1981

the coupling constants given by Lunazzi et al.3 for the protioanalogue was not possible.

We conclude that the 1,3-dialkyltriazenyl radicals (1; $R = Me \text{ or } Bu^{\dagger}$ are σ radicals (3) rather than π radicals (2) as previously³ reported. The energy difference between σ and π configurations may be relatively small and recent ab initio molecular orbital calculations² have predicted that

for HNNNH these states are very close in energy with the σ radical more stable by only 6.7 kJ mol⁻¹.

The unpaired electron in (1; $R = Me \text{ or } Bu^{t}$) occupies a three-centre molecular orbital composed primarily of an anti-bonding combination of nitrogen σ atomic orbitals, as shown in (3), and the largest coupling constant arises from the central ¹⁴N nucleus.§ Both of these nitrogen-centred radicals have g-factors less than the free-spin value (2.0023), implying (for each) mixing-in by spin-orbit coupling of an excited state in which the unpaired electron is promoted to the empty π^* molecular orbital.

We thank the S.R.C. for financial support and we are grateful to Mr. C. J. Cooksey for preparing t-butyl azide.

(Received, 16th April 1981; Com. 452.)

§ In valence bond terms, the triazenyl radicals may be represented as a hybrid of the canonical structures (A)—(D), in which σ electrons are shown above the formulae.

(A)
$$R\ddot{N}=\dot{N}-\ddot{N}R \leftrightarrow R\ddot{N}-\dot{N}=\ddot{N}R$$
 (B)
 $\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$
(C) $R\ddot{N}=\ddot{N}-\dot{N}R \leftarrow R\dot{N}-\ddot{N}=\ddot{N}R$ (D)

¹ P. S. Skell and J. C. Day, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 381; T. Clark, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 7746; J. Lessard, D. Griller, and K. U. Ingold, *ibid.*, 1980, 102, 3262; Y. Apeloig and R. Schreiber, *ibid.*, p. 6144.
² N. C. Baird and K. F. Taylor, Can. J. Chem., 1980, 58, 733.
³ F. Bernardi, M. Guerra, L. Lunazzi, G. Panciera, and G. Placucci, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 1607.
⁴ P. W. Atkins and M. C. R. Symons, 'The Structure of Inorganic Radicals,' Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1967; G. W. Neilson and M. C. R. Symons, L. Chem. Soc. L. Chem. Soc. 1978, 1072, 68, 1772.

Symons, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 1972, 68, 1772. ⁶ B. P. Roberts and J. N. Winter, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1979, 1353.

⁶ H. Kiefer and T. G. Taylor, Tetrahedron Lett., 1966, 6163.