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Summary The $X$-ray. crystal structures of bis(cyclopentadienyl)tin (1) and the new compound bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)lead (2) show that (i) both compounds are monomeric in the solid state and (ii) the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ rings in compounds (1) and (2), respectively, are bonded in the pentahapto-manner.

The solid-state structures of the bis(cyclopentadienyl) compounds of Group 4 representative elements have generally been assumed to comprise polymeric zigzag
chains with bridging cyclopentadienyl rings. ${ }^{1}$ However, we have now found that bis(cyclopentadienyl)tin (1) is monomeric in the solid state. Single crystals of compound $(\mathbf{1})^{2}$ were grown by sublimation in vacuo.

Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Sn}, M=248 \cdot 9$, orthorhombic, space group $\mathrm{Pbcm}, a=5 \cdot 835(3), b=25 \cdot 384(9), c=12 \cdot 784(5) \AA$, $U=1893.5 \AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.75 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=960$, $\bar{\lambda}\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=0.71069 \AA, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=25.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}$
(1)
(2)
structure was solved by direct methods. Full-matrix leastsquares refinement using 1193 observed reflections yielded a conventional $R$ value of 0.073 . $\dagger$


Figure 1. Molecular structure and unit cell packing of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(1)$. Important bond distances $(\AA)$ are $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ $2 \cdot 58(2), \mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 2 \cdot 62(2), \mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3) 2 \cdot 71(3), \mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4) 2 \cdot 75(3)$, and $\operatorname{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5) 2 \cdot 68(3)$ in molecule $A$, and $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-C(6) 2 \cdot 56(2)$, $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7) \quad 2 \cdot 67(2), \quad \operatorname{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad 2 \cdot 79(3), \quad \mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad 2 \cdot 59(2)$, $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10) 2 \cdot 74(2)$, and $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11) 2 \cdot 85(3)$ in molecule B.

Each unit cell contains four pairs of molecules. The molecules which comprise the pairs each feature a plane of symmetry (Figure 1); in molecule $B$ the plane passes through the Sn atom and bisects both the cyclopentadienyl rings and in molecule A the plane passes through the Sn atom and reflects one cyclopentadienyl ring into the other.
The most interesting aspect of the structure of compound (1) is the fact that it is monomeric in the solid phase. The closest approach of the tin atom of one molecule to a carbon atom of another is $4.02 \AA$. The structure of compound (1) is therefore in sharp contrast to that of the lead analogue, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$, which possesses a zigzag polymeric arrangement with alternating bridging and terminal cyclopentadienyl groups. ${ }^{3}$

A second noteworthy feature of the structure of compound (1) is that the ring centroid-metal-ring centroid angle V is rather large ( $148.0^{\circ}$ in molecule A and $143.7^{\circ}$ in molecule B). In an electron diffraction study of the
vapour-phase structure of compound (1), ${ }^{4}$ this angle was found to be ca. $125^{\circ}$; however, as the authors noted, the data were of poor quality on account of extraneous scattering. Interestingly, the average value of V in (1) is ca. $1^{\circ}$ larger than that in $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn},{ }^{5}$ which suggests that this angle may be governed by electronic rather than steric factors.

Because of the polymeric nature of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$, we synthesized the permethyl analogue $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$ (2) in the hope that this species would be monomeric in the solid state. Compound (2) was prepared by the slow addition of $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}$ $(0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Li}(1.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 45 ml ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Filtration of the reaction mixture afforded a deep red solution, evaporation, under reduced pressure, of which produced compound (2) as a deep red solid, m.p. $100-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single crystals of compound (2) were prepared by sublimation in vacuo.

Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~Pb}, M=477 \cdot 7$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / n, a=9.771(4), b=14.751(7), c=14.517(7) \AA$, $\beta=94.75(3)^{\circ}, U=2085.2 \AA,^{3} Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.52 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $F(000)=928, \bar{\lambda}\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=$ $79.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by Patterson methods using 1848 observed reflections and was refined to a final $R$ value of $0.031 . \dagger$ Unlike $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$, compound (2) is monomeric in the solid phase. Another interesting feature of the structure of compound (2) (Figure 2) is the fact that V is $151^{\circ}$, and thus larger than that in the analogous tin compound $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn} .{ }^{5}$ This observation suggests the dominance of the inert pair effect over steric factors.


Figure 2. Molecular structure of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$ (2). Important bond distances ( $\AA$ ) are $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(1) 2 \cdot 87(1), \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(2) 2 \cdot 87(1), \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ $2.80(1), \quad \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad 2 \cdot 75(1), \quad \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(5) \quad 2 \cdot 77(1), \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(6) \quad 2 \cdot 79(1)$, $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(7) 2 \cdot 69(1), \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(8) 2 \cdot 69(1), \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(9) 2 \cdot 81(1)$, and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}(10)$ 2.90(1).

In compounds (1) and (2) there is a scatter in the ring carbon-element bond distances due to 'ring slippage'. The range for compound (1) is $2 \cdot 56-2 \cdot 85 \AA$, whilst that for compound (2) is $2 \cdot 69-2 \cdot 90 \AA$. Values such as these have
been observed for substituted cyclopentadienyl groups in transition-metal compounds, where the bonding is clearly pentahapto, ${ }^{6}$ hence compounds (1) and (2) are also best regarded as involving pentahapto- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $-\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ rings, respectively.
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