Efficient Syntheses of 'Ellipticine Quinone' and the Other Three Isomeric 5H-Pyrido[x,y-b]carbazole-5,11(6H)-diones¹

By WILLIAM R. ASHCROFT, MICHAEL G. BEAL, and JOHN A. JOULE*
(Chemistry Department, Manchester University, Manchester M13 9PL)

Summary 6*H*-Pyrido[x',y': 5,6]oxepino[3,2-b]indol-5-(12*H*)-ones (3) are efficiently converted by hot alcoholic base in air into the corresponding 5*H*-pyrido[x,y-b]-carbazole-5,11(6*H*)-diones (5).

GREAT interest² has been shown in syntheses of the anticancer alkaloid ellipticine (1) and related systems. 'Ellipticine quinone' (2a) and its N-methoxymethyl-analogue (2b) have been prepared by different routes^{3,4} and both transformed into ellipticine^{3,4} and (2a) into 5,11-differently substituted analogues;⁴ the N-methyl (2c) and N-benzyl (2d) quinones correspondingly gave³ N-substituted ellipticines. Clearly compounds of the pyrido-carbazole-quinone type have considerable potential for the synthesis of ellipticine analogues and we describe here a simple method for their synthesis.

The four pyrido-oxepino-indolones (3), available from

precursors (4) via efficient cyclisations involving intramolecular indole- β -nucleophilic substitution reactions, were transformed, with varying speed but eventually in each case, in good yield into the quinones (5) by refluxing in

MeOH-3M ag. NaOH (2:1) in the presence of air. Thus the quinone (5, N at position a) (89% after 12 h reflux) had m.p. 365 °C, decomp., λ_{max} (EtOH-NaOH) 272, 327, and 445 nm (log ϵ 4·23, 4·16, and 3·75); the quinone (5, N at position b) (46% after 93 h reflux), was identical to that obtained previously; 4 the quinone (5, N at position c) (76% after 1 h reflux) had m.p. 317—320 °C, λ_{max} (EtOH– NaOH) 270, 303, and 450 nm (log ϵ 4·30, 4·37, and 3·72); and the quinone (5, N at position d) (71% after 33 h reflux) had m.p. 315—319 °C, λ_{max} (EtOH-NaOH) 260, 330, and 448 nm (log ϵ 4.26, 4.06, and 3.72).

The two quinones which formed most readily, (5, N at c and a), were those in which the pyridine nitrogen was γ - (1 h reflux) or α - (12 h reflux) to the methylene of the methylene-oxy-bridge respectively. Because of this we envisage the transformations as involving initial deprotonation at the methylene and then the intermediacy of (6) and (7) which were aerially oxidised.

We thank the S.R.C. for maintenance grants (W.R.A. and M.G.B.) and Glaxo Group Research Limited, Ware, for their interest and support in that part of this work (M.G.B.) which was undertaken as part of an S.R.C. CASE project.

(Received, 24th June 1981; Com. 741.)

¹ For Part 3 of the series Indole- β -nucleophilic Substitution, see M. G. Beal, W. R. Ashcroft, M. M. Cooper, and J. A. Joule, J.

Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1981, submitted for publication.

2 For a review see M. Sainsbury, Synthesis, 1977, 437 and for recent contributions see R. B. Millar and T. Moock, Tetrahedron Lett., 1980, 3319; J. Bergman and H. Goonewardena, Acta. Chem. Scand., Sect. B, 1980, 34, 763; references 3 and 4 and references contained

M. Watanabe and V. Snieckus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 1457.
 D. A. Taylor, M. M. Baradarani, and J. A. Joule, J. Chem. Research, 1979, (S), 387; (M), 4801.