## Molecular Complexes for Efficient Metathesis of Olefins. The Oxo-ligand as a Catalyst–Cocatalyst Bridge and the Nature of the Active Species

By JACKY KRESS, MARCEL WESOLEK, JEAN-PIERRE LE NY, and JOHN A. OSBORN\*

(Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique Moléculaire et de Catalyse, Institut Le Bel, Université Louis Pasteur, 4, rue Blaise Pascal, 67000 Strasbourg, France)

Summary Three families of molecular complexes for the homogeneous metathesis of olefins are described; the role of the oxo- or imido-ligand as bridge for binding the Lewis acid and the nature of the active species in metathesis are discussed.

**PREVIOUSLY<sup>1</sup>** we have reported the preparation of complexes of type (1) and their conversion into active olefin metathesis catalysts by addition of  $WOCl_4$ . We now find that complexes of type (1) and two other closely related series of complexes (5) and (6) interact very strongly with a variety of Lewis acids to produce well defined, extremely active molecular catalysts for olefin metathesis.<sup>2</sup>

Addition of 1 mol. equiv. of  $AlBr_3$  to (1; X = Br) in hexane leads to the precipitation of the adduct (2) which can be recrystallised from toluene at -78 °C. The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum shows clean 1:1 adduct formation with notably a shift of the  $\alpha$ -CH<sub>2</sub> resonances *ca.* 1 p.p.m. to lower field. Similar behaviour was found for BBr<sub>3</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, GaCl<sub>3</sub>, and  $SnCl_4$  with (1; X = Cl, Br, or OR) (Table) and for MgBr<sub>2</sub> and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> with (1; X = OR). I.r. data in all cases showed the y(W=O) to shift on complexation to lower frequencies [e.g. from 978 cm<sup>-1</sup> (X = Cl or Br) to 952 cm<sup>-1</sup> (with AlCl<sub>3</sub>) or 840 cm<sup>-1</sup> (with GaCl<sub>3</sub>)]<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> whereas  $\nu$ (W-X) increased in frequency by +10-25 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Structure (2) is therefore proposed for this series of complexes<sup>+</sup> where, importantly, the Lewis acid is bound to the oxo-ligand. Such complexes are very active, long-lived catalysts in solution; e.g.  $W(OAlBr_3)Br(CH_2R)_3$  in PhCl under ambient conditions metathesises cis-pent-2-ene (500 mol. equiv.) at an initial rate of ca. 60 mol min<sup>-1</sup>.



However only those adducts (2) which release neopentane in solution (however slowly) are active catalysts. Importantly we find that neopentane release occurs photochemically (ambient neon or sun light) and hence catalytic activity is photoinitiated. The transformation of (2) to (3) is thus indicated as the initiation step in the metathesis using these catalysts. The proposition that the Lewis acid  $AY_n$  continues to co-ordinate to the carbone complex [as in (3)] is supported by two lines of evidence. (a) In the metathesis of cis-pent-2-ene, the initial cis/trans ratio of the but-2-ene product varies with  $AY_n$  for a given starting product (1). (b) The photolysis of (1) in PhCl also leads principally to loss of neopentane with the apparent formation of (4). This species is *inactive* as a catalyst, but on addition of AlBr<sub>3</sub>, cis-pent-2-ene is exceedingly rapidly metathesised (initial rate  $>5000 \text{ mol min}^{-1}$ ) and i.r. spectra show cleavage of the oxo-bridges to occur by co-ordination to aluminium.

## TABLE. Selected spectroscopic data.

Compound	$^{1}$ H N.m.r. <sup>a</sup> $\delta$ (W–CH <sub>2</sub> )	v(W=O) <sup>c</sup>	I.r. <sup>b</sup> v(W–X)	$\mathbf{v}(\mathrm{OAY}_n)$
W(O)CIR,	2.59	978	<b>284</b>	
W(OAICI <sub>3</sub> )CIR <sub>3</sub>	3.53	952	308	536, 454, 362, 347
W(O)BrR,	2.62	979	$<\!200$	_
W(OAlBr.)BrR.	3.54	939	$<\!200$	441, 416, 338
W(OBBr <sub>3</sub> )BrR <sub>3</sub>	3.66	978	$<\!200$	1014, 999, 667, 658, 642
W(O)(OR)R,	1.95	945	648	
W(OAlBr.)(OR)R,	2.85	925	680	429
W(OSnCl,)(OR)R,	2.68	860	<b>67</b> 0	372, 345, 318
W(OMgBr,)(OR)R,	2.57	898	661	349
WOZnCl, (OR)R.	2.52	915	669	345, 318, 244

 $a \text{ In } C_6 D_6$ ,  $\delta(W-CH_2-CMe_3)$ ;  $Me_4Si$  internal reference.  $b \text{ In } cm^{-1}$  (Nujol mull).  $c \text{ This vibration is coupled with } v(OAY_n)$  vibrations on complexation.

† This large variation results from mixing of  $\nu(W=O)$  with  $\nu(OAY_n)$  modes.

‡ X-Ray structural studies confirm this conclusion (J. Fischer and L. Ricard, to be published).

complex (4) can be observed spectroscopically (i.r. and <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r.) but has proved difficult to obtain free from impurities in the solid state.



Two other related families of complexes show like behaviour.  $WO(OR)_2(CH_2R)_2$  (5) is prepared by treating WOCl<sub>2</sub>(OR)<sub>2</sub> with (RCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Mg in ether. Adduct formation is again observed with AlBr<sub>3</sub>, via an oxo-bridge, but subsequent loss of neopentane and catalytic activity occur in the absence of light.

Other ligands can serve a bridging role; hence the isoelectronic imido-group in (6), prepared by alkylation of W(NMe)Cl<sub>4</sub>, also binds Lewis acids to form (7). Interestingly, for X=Br, photoinitiation is necessary for catalytic activity; for X=Cl, the catalyst functions in absence of light.

The Lewis acid thus plays a role in both initiation and propagation in these catalysts. The co-ordination of the Lewis acid via a ligand bridge such as an oxo-bridge<sup>3</sup>



creates greater electron deficiency at the transition metal centre.<sup>4</sup> This facilitates (a) reductive  $\alpha$ -elimination processes of  $(W^{VI} \rightarrow W^{IV})$  causing neopentane loss and carbene formation;<sup>5</sup> and (b) easier electrophilic attack of the carbene complex on the reactant olefin. Further, co-ordination of the Lewis acid to the most electron-rich ligand impedes dimerisation via this ligand which would otherwise block a vacant site and hence prevent olefin co-ordination.

We thank the C.N.R.S. and the ATP 'Catalyse Homogène' for continued support.

(Received, 5th May 1981; Com. 526.)

<sup>1</sup> J. Kress, M. J. M. Russell, M. G. Wesolek, and J. A. Osborn, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 431. Note MOR<sub>4</sub> must be <sup>1</sup> J. Kress, M. J. M. Kussell, M. G. Wesolek, and J. A. Osborn, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. Commun., 1900, 102.
<sup>2</sup> See also J. H. Wengrovius, R. R. Schrock, M. R. Churchill, J. R. Missert, and W. J. Youngs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 4515;
<sup>2</sup> E. L. Muetterties and E. Band, *ibid.*, p. 6572; J. McGinnis, T. J. Katz, and S. Hurvitz, *ibid.*, 1976, 98, 605.
<sup>3</sup> For an alternative view see: A. K. Rappé and W. A. Goddard, III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 5114.
<sup>4</sup> See also: F. N. Tebbe, G. W. Parshall, and G. S. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3611.
<sup>5</sup> C. C. D. West, S. L. Mellein, and R. R. Schrock, I. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 3210.

<sup>5</sup> Cf. C. D. Wood, S. J. McLain, and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 3210.