Regioselective Allylation of a Grignard Reagent Catalysed by Phosphine-Nickel and -Palladium Complexes

By **TAMIO HAYASHI,** MITSUO KONISHI, KAN-ICHI YOKOTA, and MAKOTO **KUMADA*** *(Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Kyoto University, Kyoto* **606,** *Japan)*

Summary Nickel and palladium complexes of the 1,l'- siloxybut-2-ene **(la)** and 3-triethylsiloxybut- l-ene **(2a).** catalysed the regioselective cross-coupling of allylic ethers with phenylmagnesium bromide; use of the nickel catalyst leads to carbon-carbon bond formation giving the terminal alkene while the palladium catalyst gives the non-terminal alkene.

THZRE has been intense interest in the control of regiochemistry in coupling reactions of allylic systems with organometallics.^{1,2} Here we report a new type of regioselective and high-yielding allylation reaction of a Grignard reagent catalysed by nickel- or palladium-phosphine complexes, where the regiochemistry of the product is dependent entirely on the catalyst; either of the isomeric products **(3)** or **(4)** shown in equation (1) can be obtained selectively by the choice of an appropriate catalyst from either of the starting allylic compounds **(1)** or **(2).**

Some phosphine-nickel and -palladium complexes were tested for catalytic activity and regioselectivity in the reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with *(E)*-1-triethyl-

bis(dipheny1phosphino)ferrocene ligand effectively The reaction conditions and results are summarized in the Table.

> TABLE. Reactions of allyl silyl ethers with phenylmagnesium bromide in the presence of nickel and palladium complexes.^a

a To a mixture of the ally1 ether **(2** mmol) and catalyst **(0.04** mmol) was added the Grignard solution **(4** mmol) in ether. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for a given period, hydrolysed, and then analysed by g.l.c. **b** i, NiCl₂(dppf); ii, NiCl₂(dppp); iii, NiCl₂(PPh₃)_z; iv, PdCl₂(dppf); v, PdCl₂(dppe); vi, PdCl_e(dppp); vii, Pd(PPh₃), ^c Determined by g.1.c. using an internal standard. Isolated yields are given in parentheses. d Isomeric purity was determined by n.m.r. and g.1.c. analysis.

The reaction of $(1a)$ in the presence of NiCl₂ $(dppf)^3$ catalyst [dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]⁴ gave 3-phenylbut- l-ene **(4a)** selectively rather than its isomer l-phenylbut-2-ene **(3a).** The reaction of **(2a)** catalysed by NiCl,(dppf) also gave **(4a)** as the main product. Thus $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ is a unique catalyst in that it can give rise to regioselective carbon-carbon bond formation yielding the terminal alkene starting with either of the regioisomeric allylic ethers **(la)** or **(2a).** Use of the nickel complexes $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2^5$ and $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppp})^6$ [dppp = 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino) propane] resulted in the formation of both regioisomers **(3a)** and **(4a)** in comparable amounts. Felkin

and co-workers have reported' similar results in that $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_{2}$ catalyst lacks regioselectivity in the reaction of PhMgBr with α - and γ -methylallyl alcohols.

Palladium complexes exhibited the opposite regioselectivity to NiCl,(dppf), *i.e.* they preferred the formation of the carbon-carbon bond to give the non-terminal alkene **(3a)** selectively from either **(1a)** or **(2a)**.[†] Of the palladium complexes, $PdCl₂(dppf)⁸$ is by far the best catalyst, having both high catalytic activity and high regioselectivity . Similar high regioselectivity was observed in the reaction with PdCl₂(dppp)^{\dagger} or Pd(PPh₃)₄,⁹ but they catalysed the reaction only sluggishly. PdCl₂(dppe) \dagger [dppe = 1,2**bis(dipheny1phosphino)ethanel** was almost inactive, as has been found for other Grignard cross-coupling reactions.⁸

The reaction of the other but-2-enyl derivatives (1c-f) was also examined in the presence of $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ or PdCl,(dppf) catalyst. The phenyl ether **(lc),** tetrahydropyranyl ether **(Id),** and chloride **(le)** were all converted into the phenylated products $(3a)$ or $(4a)$ in $> 80\%$ yield (for **20** h at room temperature). The ratios of **(3a)** to **(4a)** obtained in the NiCl₂(dppf)-catalysed reactions of (1c), (1d), and **(le)** were 14: **86,** 19: 81, and 25: **75,** respectcively, and those obtained in the $PdCl₂(dppf)-catalysed$ reactions were 90: 10, 89: 11, and 82: 18, respectively. The lower regioselectivity observed with the chloride **(le)** is ascribed to competing noncatalysed reactions, which give both **(3a)** and **(4a)** in a **2** : **1** ratio. The alcohol **(If)** was also phenylated regioselectively with $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppf})$ $[(3a):(4a) = 20:80]$ or PdCl₂(dppf) catalysts $[(3a):(4a) = 91:9],$ though the conversion was low **(30-50%).** Thus, the regioselectivity in the present catalytic allylation is independent of the nature of the leaving group. The nearly identical product distribution from all the starting allylic compounds with each catalyst suggests the formation of a common intermediate, most likely π -allyl(phenyl)ML₂ (M = Ni⁷ or Pd¹⁰) species. The nature of the metal and the phosphine ligand must influence the regiochemistry of carbon-carbon bond formation in the reductive elimination from the intermediate.

(Received, 8th December 1980; Ccm. 1304.)

t Copper salts have been reported to catalyse the Grignard coupling with allylic ethers, sulphides, and sulphones to form carboncarbon bonds at the less substituted position (A. Commercon, M. Bourgain, M. Delaumeny, J. F. Normant, and J. Villieras, *Tetrahedron*
Lett., 1975, 3837; Y. Gendreau, J. F. Normant, and J. Villieras, *J. Organomet. Chem.*,

² PdCl₂(dppe) and PdCl₂(dppp) were prepared by the reaction of dichlorobis(acetonitrile)palladium with a stoicheiometric amount of the corresponding diphosphine ligand in benzene. See also W. L. Steffen and G. J. Palenik, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1976, **15,** 2432.

³The reaction of **(If)** with l-phenylethylmagnesium chloride in the presence of PdCl,(dppf) gave a mixture of coupling products with lower regioselectivity: T. Hayashi, M. Konishi, and M. Kumada, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* 1980, **186,** C1.

- For a review, see R. M. Magid, *Tetrahedron,* 1980, **36,** 1901.
- For example, see Y. Yamamoto, S. Yamamoto, H. Yatagai, and K. Maruyama, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1980, **102,** 2318.
- **3** T. Hayashi, M. Konishi, K. Yokota, and M. Kumada, *Chem. Lett.,* 1980, 767.
- J. J. Bishop, A. Davison, M. L. Katcher, D. W. Lichtenberg, R. E. Merrill, and J. C. Smart, J. Organomet. Chem., 1971, 27, 241.
K. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1954, 27, 501.
-
- G. **R.** Van Hecke and W. D. Horrocks, Jr., *Inorg. Chem.,* 1966, **5,** 1968. H. Felkin and G. Swierczewski, *Tetrahedron,* 1975, **31,** 2735, and references cited therein.
- 8.T. Hayashi, M. Konishi, and **M.** Kumada, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1979, 1871.
-
- D. R. Coulson, *Inorg. Synth.,* 1972, **13,** 121. **lo S.** Numata and H. Kurosawa, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* 1977, **131,** 301.