Polydiacetylene

Peter J. Russo and Mortimer M. Labes*

Department of Chemistry, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, U.S.A.

Polydiacetylene films and powders have been prepared from the AsF_5 -induced polymerization of butadiyne in both the solid and gas phases at low temperatures; the charge transfer interaction can be explosive if large quantities of the reactants are mixed.

Polymerization of butadiyne (diacetylene), C_4H_2 , can be induced with AsF₅ under controlled experimental conditions. The polymer is formed as either a thin or thick film on a glass substrate or as an amorphous powder. C_4H_2 in the gas or liquid state undergoes uncatalysed autopolymerization very slowly (months),¹ whereas typical catalysed (free radical, cationic, anionic, heat, and light) polymer formation in solution is reasonably rapid at room temperature. The resultant polymer always had a high degree of inorganic ash on combustion.² Likewise, Zeigler–Natta co-ordination catalysts have been found to produce polymer with high (30–40%) ash content.^{2,3} In contrast with such catalysts, AsF₅, which, we recently reported, acts as a radical cation generator,⁴ causes essentially instantaneous polymerization at low temperature with a relatively low ash content.

Butadiyne has usually been synthesized from double dehydrohalogenation of 1,4-dichlorobut-2-yne in aqueous KOH.⁵ Contrary to a previous report,⁶ the content of the chlorinated impurity, C₄H₃Cl, could be reduced to no less than 2–3% as determined by mass spectroscopic analysis. Another preparative route involves the generation of gaseous C₄H₂ when bis(trimethylsilyl)diacetylene was added to an aqueous KOH⁷ or borax solution.⁸ Polymer formation after fractional distillation of C₄H₂ at low temperatures was accomplished by first condensing a small amount of prepurified AsF₅ at -196 °C and then condensing C₄H₂ above the AsF₅ at this temperature on a vacuum line. It should be noted that even though the rate of polymerization is slow at -196 °C, it is nearly uncontrollable at slightly elevated temperatures. AsF₅ is energetic enough to generate a substantial number of radical cation centres that react in such a manner as to detonate the entire reaction mixture. Several explosions occurred following a flash of light within the reaction vessel when large quantities of both reactants (ca. 200 mg) were allowed either to mix rapidly in the gas phase or to warm quickly from -196 °C. To avoid explosions with such quantities, the $-196 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, \text{AsF}_{5} - \text{C}_{4}\text{H}_{2}$ mixture was surrounded as quickly as possible with a -78 °C dry-ice slush bath. Apparently, the dissipation of heat from reaction at -78 °C was sufficient to reduce the internal pressure build-up but did not prevent detonation. Caution is therefore advised when combining materials like $C_4H_2(C_2H_2)$ with catalysts such as $AsF_5(PF_5)$, SnF_4 , or $BF_3(AlF_3)$ in the solid or gas state.

The polymeric material which results is in the form of a thin film and amorphous-like aggregates. The polymer as a film adheres to a glass substrate and is blue-black in transmitted light and black in reflected light. The experimentally-

determined elemental atomic ratios were as follows: C/H =1.9-2.2, C/As = 15.1-15.4, and F/As = 4.85. That the F/As ratio is different from 6.0 is owing to residual AsF_3 in the polymer that was not released during prolonged pumping under high ($<10^{-4}$ Torr) vacuum and was detected by mass spectroscopic means when the polymeric material was heated. The film is sensitive to oxygen and moisture (owing to residual radical cation centres and bound AsF₃) and heat which, when applied to the substrate's surface, causes the film to peel (via contraction) and roll onto itself thus giving the appearance of cigar-like needles. This thermally-treated polymer is highly reflective, appearing either bronze or silver at various reflective angles. Preliminary differential scanning calorimetric studies revealed two exotherms: ca. 150 °C and ca. 550 °C. The transition at 150 °C is tentatively assigned to a structural modification for which the *cis-trans* isomerization is known to occur for polyacetylene at ca. 147 °C.⁹ The transformations at 550 °C involve charring (aromatization) since the ratios of C/H and C/As were found to increase to 3.4 and 2801, respectively.

Conductivity of the unheated film at room temperature was ca. $10^{-10} \Omega^{-1}$ cm⁻¹, the order of magnitude being comparable with that reported for polyacetylene,¹⁰ poly-*p*-phenylene,¹¹ and substituted polydiacetylenes.¹² The conductivity of the film did not increase upon further exposure to AsF₅. Attempts to induce solid state topochemical polymerization¹³ of single crystals of C₄H₂ were performed via γ - or u.v.-irradiation. γ -Irradiation (6·3 Mrad/h; 3 h exposure; -78 °C) produced a brittle black material assumed to be polydiacetylene. In contrast, u.v.-irradiation (1000 W Xe-Hg lamp; 24–48 h) yielded trace amounts of a rusty-brown film. Azobisisobutyronitrile as a u.v.-sensitive free radical initiator was not effective under these conditions.

Previous i.r. studies characterising the polymer of butadiyne as aromatic were used to propose mechanisms of polymerization involving not only isotactic linearization via 1,2-addition at the triple bonds, but also cyclization (via cis-trans isomerization) with or without hydrogen migration.^{2a} I.r. absorptions^{2a} and X-ray^{2b} patterns of the heated polymer (400 °C) were viewed as suggestive of sheet-like carbonaceous macromolecules.

Although the polymerization mechanism of butadiyne is complex, it is apparent that AsF_5 is crucial in the initiation of the solid state polymerization and involves the charge transfer interaction which generates, *via* a one-electron transfer process, radical anions and cations (both detectable at 77–100 K). The highly reactive radical cations, $C_4H_2^{+}$, undergo autopolymerization as described above. It seems reasonable that analogous AsF₅-induced polymerizations (C_2H_2 ;¹⁴ *p*-phenylene oligomers¹⁵) share the common radical cation initiation mechanism.

We acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation, technical assistance from Dr. W. Becker, Brookhaven National Laboratory, and synthetic suggestions from Dr. F. Wudl, Bell Laboratories.

Received, 29th September 1981; Com. 1144

References

- R. S. Slysh, Ph.D. Dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University, College Park, PA 1960.
- 2 (a) J. M. Georgiana, M.Sc. Thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, College Park, PA, 1960; (b) N. L. Desai, Ph.D. Dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University, College Park, PA, 1965.
- 3 A. Snow and J. R. Griffith, Abstract of Papers, Polym. Chem., 15th ACS MARM, Washington, D.C., 1981, Abstract No. 387.
- 4 P. J. Russo, M. M. Labes, and G. E. Kemmerer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 701.
- 5 J. B. Armitage, E. R. H. Jones, and M. C. Whiting, J. Chem. Soc., 1951, 44.
- 6 K. K. Georgieff and Y. Richard, Can. J. Chem., 1958, 36, 1280.
- 7 G. Eglinton and A. R. Gailbraith, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 889;
 R. Eastmond and D. R. M. Walton, Chem. Commun., 1968, 204;
 C. Eaborn and D. R. M. Walton, J. Organomet. Chem., 1965, 4, 217.
- 8 D. R. M. Walton and F. Waugh, J. Organomet. Chem., 1972, 37, 45.
- 9 T. Ito, H. Shirakawa, and S. Ikeda, J. Polym. Sci., 1975, 13, 1943.
- 10 D. J. Berets and D. S. Smith, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1968, 64, 823; H. Shirakawa, T. Ito, and S. Ikeda, *Makromol. Chem.*, 1978, 179, 1565.
- 11 L. Shacklette, R. R. Chance, D. M. Ivory, G. G. Miller, and R. H. Baughman, *Synth. Met.*, 1980, 1, 307.
- 12 R. R. Chance and R. H. Baughman, J. Chem. Phys., 1976, 64, 3889.
- 13 R. H. Baughman, J. Appl. Phys., 1972, 43, 4362; G. Wegner, Pure Appl. Chem., 1977, 49, 443.
- 14 K. Soga, Y. Kubayashi, S. Ikeda, and S. Kawakami, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 931.
- 15 L. W. Shacklette, H. Eckhardt, R. R. Chance, G. G. Miller, D. M. Ivory, and R. H. Baughman, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 73, 4098.