Mechanism of the Reaction of Hydrogen and Phenylacetylene with IrH(C.O)(PPh,),. Kinetic Evidence for the Co-ordinatively Unsaturated IrH(CO)(PPh,) Species in Solution as Reactive Intermediates and for the Reaction of Hydrogen with the Co-ordinatively Saturated IrH(CO)(PPh,), Species

Dirk J. A. de Waal, Thomas, 1. A. Gerber, and Wynand J. Louw"

National Chemical Research Laboratory, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Pretoria 0001, Republic of South Africa

A kinetic study of the reaction between $IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₃$ and H₂ or PhCCH provided evidence for the existence of the co-ordinatively unsaturated intermediate IrH(CO)(PPh₃) in solution, as well as a direct attack of hydrogen on the co-ordinatively saturated IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₃.

The oxidative addition of hydrogen and addition of acetylenes and olefins to low-valent transition-metal complexes are important reaction steps in the homogeneous catalytic hydrogenation and hydroformylation of unsaturated hydrocarbons.¹ Although hydrogen,² acetylenes,³ and olefins,³ are believed to be inert towards co-ordinatively saturated complexes, an associative mechanism *1i.e.* addition of olefin to the assumed key intermediate $HRh(CO)_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$] for the RhCl- $(PPh_a)_a$ -catalysed hydroformylation of olefins has been postulated.⁴ On the other hand, Halpern⁵ obtained kinetic evidence for the existence of the very reactive 14-electron species $RhCl(PPh₃)₂$ in benzene solution, inferring its importance in the RhCl(PPh₃)₃-catalysed hydrogenation of olefins and related reactions. We have now investigated

$$
IrH(CO)(PPh_3)_3 + Y \rightarrow IrYH(CO)(PPh_3)_2 + PPh_3 \qquad (1)
$$

reaction (1) kinetically $(Y = H_2 \text{ or } PhCCH)$ since we believed that the controversial *trans*-oxidative addition of $H₂$ to IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₃ observed by Harrod⁶ could be due to the very reactive three-co-ordinate IrH(CO)(PPh₃) intermediate in solution.

Our kinetic measurements on reaction (1) were performed in dioxygen-free benzene solutions at 25 ± 0.05 °C. The rates of reaction (1) were determined spectroghotometrically (Cary 210) by monitoring the decay of the absorption due to IrH(CO)(PPh,), at **330** nm. The hydrido-acetylene product of reaction (1) $(Y = \text{PhCCH})$ further reacts to insert the π bonded acetylene into the Ir-H bond, giving a four-co-

ordinated vinyl complex which subsequently reacts with a second molecule of acetylene.' The latter two reactions are fast, however, and could not be detected kinetically. The kinetic measurements encompassed the concentration ranges (a) $(Y = H_2)$ [H₂] = 3.5 \times 10⁻⁴ to 2.8 \times 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³, $[PPh_3] = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ to 5.5 \times 10⁻² mol dm⁻³, [IrH(CO)- $(PPh_3)_3$] = 6.3 × 10⁻⁵ to 4.1 × 10⁻⁵ moldm⁻³: (b) (Y = PhCCH) [PhCCH] = 5.9×10^{-3} to 2.45×10^{-1} mol dm⁻³, $[PPh_3] = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ to 2.78×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³, $[IrH(CO)$ - $(PPh_3)_3$] (constant) = 1.05 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³.

The kinetic data can only be accommodated by the reactions in Scheme 1, with minor simplifications depending on the incoming group **Y.** Dimer formation can be excluded since the reaction rate has a first-order dependence on complex concentration.

Application of the steady-state approximation to IrH(C0)- (PPh,) yields the rate law described by equation **(2),** which on

$$
k_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k_1[\text{PPh}_3][\text{Y}]}{([\text{PPh}_3] + K_{\text{e}})} + \frac{k_4 K_{\text{e}}[\text{Y}]}{([\text{PPh}_3] + K_{\text{e}})} + \frac{k_2 k_3 K_{\text{e}}[\text{Y}]}{(\text{k}_{-2}[\text{PPh}_3] + k_3[\text{Y}])([\text{PPh}_3] + K_{\text{e}})} + \frac{k_{-1}[\text{PPh}_3]^2}{(K + [\text{PPh}_3])} + \frac{k_{-2} k_{-3} K[\text{PPh}_3]}{(K + [\text{PPh}_3])} + \frac{k_{-2} k_{-3} K[\text{PPh}_3]}{([\text{PPh}_3] + K)(k_2[\text{Y}] + k_{-3}[\text{PPh}_3])} \tag{2}
$$

application of the SPSS programme8 was found to fit our kinetic data accurately for the following values.

(a) Reaction (1), $Y = H_2$; $k_1 = (4.47 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-1}$ dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹, $k_2K_0 = (2.61 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-8}$ s⁻¹, $k_{-2}/k_3 = 104 \pm 1.04$ 8, $k_4K_0 = ca. 0$, $k_{-4} = (1.61 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{-1} = ca.$ 0, $k_{-3}K = ca$. 0. The values of the rate constants k_1, k_2, k_2K_e , k_{-2}/k_3 , and k_{-4} obtained from the SPSS programme with rate law (2) as the model are almost identical with those obtained when the terms containing $k_4K_{\rm e}$, k_{-1} , and $k_{-3}K$ are omitted, indicating that the reaction paths described by k_{-1} , k_{4} , and k_{-3} do not contribute significantly to the overall reaction. For all [PPh₃] used $K_e \ll$ [PPh₃] and $K \ll$ [PPh₃] so that rate law **(2)** simplifies to equation (3).

$$
k_{\rm obs} = k_1 [\rm H_2] + \frac{k_2 k_3 K_{\rm e} [\rm H_2]}{k_{-2} [\rm PPh_3]^2 + k_3 [\rm H_2] [\rm PPh_3]} + k_{-4}
$$
 (3)

These results thus imply a direct attack of hydrogen on the five-co-ordinate complex $IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₃$, and the existence of a very reactive fourteen-electron species $IrH(CO)(PPh₃)$. Surprisingly, the kinetic contribution from the four-coordinate complex IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₂ is negligible. From the kinetic data it also follows that the reverse process mainly is described by k_{-4} , with no significant contribution from paths k_{-1} and $k_{-3}K$. The intimate mechanism of attack of

hydrogen on the five-co-ordinate complex $IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₃$ and subsequent dissociation of a triphenylphosphine molecule with simultaneous formation of two iridium-hydride bonds is not clear, but **a** radical mechanism appears to be inoperative, since no change in reaction rate was observed on addition of the radical scavenger galvinoxyl.

trans-Addition of hydrogen is not expected to occur through the reaction path k_1 , but attack of hydrogen on the threeco-ordinated species IrH(CO)(PPh₃) could initially form a trigonal bipyramidal intermediate with a $cis-H₂$ arrangement, followed by the fast bonding of a second triphenylphosphine ligand, resulting in both the *cis-* and trans-isomers of $IrH₃(CO)(PPh₃)₂$.

(b) Reaction (1), Y = PhCCH: $k_4K_0 = (6.72 \pm 0.13) \times$ (b) Reaction (1), Y = PhCCH: $k_4K_0 = (6.72 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-7} s^{-1}$, $k_2K_0 = (3.62 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$, $k_{-2}/k_3 = -7 \pm 15$, $10^{-7} s^{-1}$, $k_2 K_e = (3.62 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$, $k_{-2}/k_3 = -7 \pm 15$, $k_1 = ca$. 0, $k_{-1} = 0$, $k_{-4} = ca$. 0, $k_{-3}K = ca$. 0. Once again, the values of the constants k_4K_8 and k_2K_8 obtained from the SPSS programme by omitting the terms containing all the other constants from the model equation (thus using rate law 4) are almost identical with the above values. From these values it can be seen that the equilibrium constant K_e must be very small (of the order of 10^{-6} dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹). The negative k_{-2}/k_3 value indicates that under the reaction conditions used ([PhC=CH] \gg [PPh₃]), these rate constants do not play any significant role in the rate law, implying that k_{-2} [PPh₃] $\ll k_3$ [PhCCH]. This causes rate law (2) to simplify to rate law (4).

$$
k_{\rm obs} = k_4 K_{\rm e} \text{[PhCCH]} / \text{[PPh}_3\text{]} + k_2 K_{\rm e} / \text{[PPh}_3\text{]}
$$
 (4)

It can also be inferred from this that $IrH(CO)(PPh₃)$ is much more reactive than the four-co-ordinate intermediate IrH(CO)(PPh₃)₂, similar to what was found⁵ for RhCl(PPh₃)₃, therefore explaining why the k_4 path could not be detected for reaction (1) $(Y = H_2)$.

A prerequisite for the validity of rate laws (3) and (4), and therefore the reactions in Scheme 1, is that the k_2K_e values obtained for both $Y = H_2$ and PhCCH should be comparable, which can be seen to be the case.

This represents the first evidence obtained for (a) a 14 electron species as an intermediate in reactions involving IrH- $(CO)(PPh₃)₃$ and its probable importance in catalytic cycles of this complex; (b) direct hydrogen attack on a co-ordinatively saturated d⁸ metal complex.

Received, 8rh July 1981; Corn. 795

References

- 1 R. L. Pruett and B. R. James, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.,* 1979, **17,** 1, 319.
- 2 **A.** J. Deeming, in 'M.T.P. International Reviews of Science. Reaction Mechanisms in Inorganic Chemistry,' Inorganic Series One, Vol. 9, cons. ed. H. J. Emelèus, vol. ed., M. L. Tobe, Butterworths, London, 1972, **pp.** 144-148.
- 3 *C.* **A.** Tolman, *Chem. SOC. Rev.,* 1972, **1,** 337.
- **4** Ref. 1, **p.** 10.
- 5 **J.** Halpern and *C.* **S.** Wong, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1973, 629.
- *6* J. F. Harrod, **G.** Hamer, and W. Yorke, *J. Am. Chert?. Soc.,* 1979, **101,** 3987.
- 7 W. H. Baddley and M. **S.** Fraser, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1969, **91,** 3661.
- 8 N. H. Nie, C. H. Hull, J. G. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner, and **D.** H. Bert, 'Statistical Package for Social Sciences,' 2nd edn., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.