## Photodimerization of Coumarins in the Solid State

Narayanan Ramasubbu,<sup>a</sup> Tayur N. Guru Row,<sup>a</sup> Kailasam Venkatesan,<sup>a</sup> Vaidyanathan Ramamurthy,<sup>a</sup> and C. N. Ramachandra Rao\*b

a Department of Organic Chemistry and b Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India

Photochemical dimerization of 7-methoxycoumarin occurs in the solid state to give high yields of a syn-head-to-tail dimer although the potentially reactive double bonds are not favourably oriented in the crystal of the monomer.

Dimerization

state

Yes

Yes No

No

No

No

No

No

No

Yes

There is much to be learnt about organic solid state reactions before they can be routinely exploited for molecular transformations.1 Towards this end we have undertaken systematic crystallographic and photochemical investigations of coumarins in the solid state. Coumarin itself is known to be photostable in the solid state.<sup>2</sup> It was our intent to see if any of the substituted coumarins would undergo [2 + 2] photodimerization in the solid state owing to favourable orientation of the reactive double bonds brought about by crystal packing.1

Of the several coumarins examined by us (Table 1) only 7- and 8-methoxycoumarins, as well as 4-chlorocoumarin, underwent photodimerization in the solid state upon u.v. irradiation, yielding 90, 50, and 40% of the dimer, respectively. From an X-ray crystallographic study, we found that the dimer<sup>†</sup> of 7-methoxycoumarin is syn-head-to-tail (Figure 1). Much to our surprise, a crystallographic study of the 7methoxycoumarin monomer† showed that the orientation of

Compound

(1) 7-methoxycoumarin<sup>a,b</sup>

(4) 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin<sup>d</sup>

(7) 4-methyl-7-hydroxycoumarin<sup>e</sup>

(9) 4-methyl-7-methoxycoumarin<sup>b</sup>

ethanol. <sup>d</sup> Crystallised from chloroform.

(2) 8-methoxycoumarin<sup>b</sup>

(5) 4-methoxycoumarin<sup>b</sup>

(6) 7-hydroxycoumarin<sup>e</sup>

(10) 4-chlorocoumarine

(8) 4.7-dimethylcoumarin<sup>t</sup>

(3) Coumarin<sup>e</sup>

(or  $65^{\circ}$ ) with respect to each other (see Figures 2 and 3). Such an orientation of the double bonds makes it necessary to invoke a mechanism by which the double bonds are brought to a proper orientation before they can participate in dimerization. The observed high yield of the dimer cannot be accounted for on the basis of orientational point defects.<sup>5</sup> Glissile edge

the potentially reactive double bonds of the monomer

molecules is not topochemically favourable<sup>1,4</sup> for dimeriza-

tion: the two double bonds are actually rotated by 115°

dislocations providing a means for the translation of one molecule with respect to the other cannot be invoked as the dimer would then be anti-head-to-tail rather than the observed syn-head-to-tail. A simple rotation of molecules as shown in Figure 3 is difficult to visualize, particularly in view of the high yield of the dimer. A favourable disposition of the monomer molecules can however be brought about by a translation-cum-rotation involving a screw dislocation or a screw axis. A (022)  $\langle 100 \rangle$  type of screw dislocation, with a



Figure 1. Photodimer of 7-methoxycoumarin; C(3)-C(4)-C(3')-C(4') is the cyclobutane ring.

178

Table 1

 $<sup>\</sup>beta = 6.83(3), b = 10.672(4), c = 12.660(7) Å, \alpha = 108.19(3), \beta = 95.23(4), \gamma = 95.22(3)^\circ, Z = 4.$  The direct method programme Multan-80<sup>7</sup> was used for the structure solution. Karle's recycling operations<sup>8</sup> in the initial stages were necessary for arriving at the whole structure. The positional and anisotropic refinement of non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic refinement of hydrogen atoms yielded the *R* value of 6.8% for 1395 reflections with  $|F_0| \ge 2\sigma(|F_0|)$ . The molecules are packed on either side of the (022) plane as shown in Figure 2, the distance between the planes being ca. 3.6 Å.

The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Rd., Cam-bridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.

<sup>‡</sup> X-ray crystallographic studies of the monomers of 8-methoxyand 4-chloro-coumarins just completed in this laboratory reveal that packing arrangements in these crystals also do not allow favourable orientation of the potentially reactive double bonds for dimerization to occur. The solid state dimerizations reported here appear to be some of the few instances where simple crystal engineering principles (ref. 1) do not seem to hold.



**Figure 2.** Packing arrangement of monomer molecules of 7-methoxycoumarin (down *a*). The (022) plane and  $\langle 0\overline{2}2 \rangle$  directions are indicated. The disposition of two monomer molecules, M and M', looking along C(9)-C(9') direction is shown in Figure 3. Shaded bonds are the potentially reactive double bonds.



Figure 3. Disposition of the potentially reactive double bonds [C(3)-C(4) and C(3')-C(4')] in the asymmetric unit of 7-methoxycoumarin. It can be seen that the molecule with the C(3')-C(4')bond has to be rotated by 115° (65°) to bring the two potentially reactive double bonds into the proper orientation.

core considerably removed from the reacting molecules, can, in principle, be propagated by the resulting geometry of the dimer. The photostability of compounds (3)—(9) (Table 1) in the solid state is not due to any inherent electronic or steric properties of these molecules as they are all known to dimerize in solution. It must be attributed to an unfavourable arrangement of these molecules in the crystal lattices both in the macro- and micro-regions.

The authors thank the U.G.C. and C.S.I.R. for support of this research.

Received, 23rd March 1981; Com. 323

## References

- 1 J. M. Thomas, S. E. Morsi, and J. P. Desvergne, *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 1977, **15**; J. M. Thomas, *Nature (London)*, 1981, **289**, 633.
- 2 Photochemical dimerization of coumarins in the solution phase has been reported; see, for example, R. Hoffman, P. Wells, and H. Morrison, J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 102; L. Leenders, E. Schonts, and F. C. de Schryer, *ibid.*, 1973, 38, 957; J. Bergman, K. Osaki, G. M. J. Schmidt, and F. I. Sonntay, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 2021.
- 3 R. Fischer, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim, Ger.), 1941, 279, 306.
- 4 Reactive double bonds are expected to be parallel and within 4.2 Å for dimerization in the solid state: M. D. Cohen and G. M. J. Schmidt, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 1996.
- 5 J. M. Thomas and J. O. Williams, 'Surface and Defect Properties of Solids,' (Specialist Periodical Report), The Chemical Society, London, 1971, Vol. 1, p. 129.
- 6 P. Main, S. E. Hull, L. Lessinger, G. Germain, J. P. Declercq, and M. M. Woolfson, 'Multan-78 System of Computer Programmes,' University of York, York, England, 1978.
- 7 P. Main, S. J. Fiska, S. E. Hull, L. Lessinger, G. Germain, J. P. Declercq, and M. M. Woolfson, 'Multan-80 System of Computer Programmes,' University of York, York, England, 1980.
- 8 J. Karle, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1968, 24, 182.