Cluster Chemistry. Synthesis and X-Ray Crystal Structure of $[Fe_{3}(CO)_{9}(\mu_{3}-\eta^{2}-CN\,_{1})]$ a Trinuclear Cluster Complex **containing an lsocyanide Ligand acting as a Six-electron Donor**

Michael I. Bruce," Trevor W. Hambley, and Brian K. Nicholson

Jordan Laboratories, Department of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, 5007

Mild thermolysis of $[Fe_3(CO)_{11}(CNBut)]$ gives $[Fe_3(CO)_9(\mu_3-\eta^2-CNBut)]$ (1) in which the isocyanide ligand is bonded to all metal atoms in a $(1\sigma + 2\pi)$ manner, lying across the Fe₃ triangle; reaction of H⁻ with (1) gives $[Fe_3(CO)_9(HC=NBu^t)]$ ⁻ (2), which forms $[HE_3(CO)_9(HC=NBu^t)]$ (3) with H⁺.

There is much current interest in the extended interactions Such systems can often be prepared by simple thermal that occur between small unsaturated molecules and metal rearrangements; examples include the pyrolysis of that occur between small unsaturated molecules and metal rearrangements; examples include the pyrolysis of $[M_3(CO)_{11}$ -
clusters, which are not possible in mononuclear complexes. (CNBu^t)] to give pentanuclear $(M = Ru)^2$ o $(CNBu^t)$] to give pentanuclear $(M = Ru)²$ or hexanuclear $(M = Os)^3$ clusters, the former containing a μ_5 -isocyanide ligand acting as a 6e donor. We now describe **a** three-atom cluster which also contains an isocyanide ligand giving **six** electrons to the cluster, but with a geometry different from that found in the Ru, complex.

Following the nomenclature suggestions in ref. 1, the bridging is covanide ligand in this complex would be described as $[\eta^2-(\mu_s-C,\mu_2-N)-CNBu^t]$.

Figure 1. The structure of $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CNBu^t)]$ showing the (non-
crystallographic) mirror symmetry of the molecule. Bond
lengths: $Fe(1)-Fe(2)$ 2.693(1); $Fe(1)-Fe(3)$ 2.693(1); $Fe(2)-Fe(3)$ Eq. (1) $F(1) - C(1)$ 1.754(4); $F(2) - C(1)$ 2.006(4); $F(3) - C(1)$
1.998(4); $F(1) - C(1)$ 1.754(4); $F(2) - C(1)$ 2.006(4); $F(3) - C(1)$
1.998(4); $F(2) - N$ 2.030(3); $F(3) - N$ 2.033(4); $C(1) - N$ 1.270(5); N-C(2) 1.510(6) Å; bond $C(1)$ -N-C(2) 132.0(4)°.

After heating a green heptane solution of $[Fe₃(CO)₁₁$ -(CNBut)] under reflux (45 min), a brown solution was obtained, which on cooling $(-30 °C)$ afforded deep redbrown crystals of $[Fe₃(CO)₉(CNBu⁺)]$ (1) in 70% yield [v(CO) (hexane) 2086m, 2038s, 2029s, 2018s, 2000w, 1992s, and 1981s cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ 1.55s; ¹³C n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ 32.2s (CMe₃), 63.8s (CMe₃), and 210.0s and 210.4s p.p.m. (CO); M^+ at m/e 503].^{\ddagger} The usual 18e requirement suggests that the isocyanide ligand in **(1)** acts as a six-electron donor, so we have carried out an X-ray structure analysis to determine the geometry of this unusual arrangement.

Crystal data: $C_{14}H_9Fe_3NO_9$, $M = 502.8$, triclinic, space group \overline{PI} , $a = 7.749(2)$, $b = 9.310(2)$, $c = 14.038(3)$ Å; $\alpha = 92.49(2), \beta = 90.76(2), \gamma = 108.93(2)^{\circ}; U = 956.6 \text{ Å}^3,$ $Z = 2$, $D_{\text{m}} = 1.77$, $D_{\text{c}} = 1.75$ g cm⁻³; $F(000) = 500$, $\lambda(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 0.7107 \text{ Å}, \ \mu(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 22.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$ Refinement gave $R = 0.028$ $(R¹ = 0.030)$ for 1566 absorption-corrected intensities [277 K, $3 \le 2\theta \le 44^\circ$, $I > 2.5\sigma(I)$, Enraf-Nonius CAD 41.s

The structure of **(1)** is shown in Figure 1, and important bond distances and angles are given in the caption. The complex contains an isosceles triangle of iron atoms, each co-ordinated to one axial and two equatorial CO ligands. The CNBut group lies across the triangular face so that the C atom is bonded to the unique Fe(1) while the C \equiv N moiety lies symmetrically above the Fe(2)-Fe(3) bond. The bonding of the CNBu^t to the cluster involves donation of the lone pair on $C(1)$ to Fe(1) through a 'bent' σ -interaction and

Scheme 1. *Reagents and conditions:* **i,** heptane, **100** "C, 1 h; ii, K[HBBus,], tetrahydrofuran (THF), 2 min, 25 "C; iii, **H,P04, THF,** *5* min, 25 "C.

formation of two orthogonal π -bonds from C=N to Fe(2) and Fe(3). That the double π bridge is efficient is confirmed by the short Fe(2)–Fe(3) distance $[2.482(1)$ Å] which is appreciably shorter than the corresponding doubly CObridged bond in $[Fe_3(CO)_{12}]$ $[2.558(1)$ Å].⁴ The extensive removal of π -electron density from the C \equiv N bond is shown by both the lengthening of this bond $[1.270(5)$ Å] and the lowering of the $v(CN)$ mode (1553 cm⁻¹) compared with values for terminal RNC ligands (ca. 1.15 Å and ca. 2170 cm^{-1} respectively).

The 6e-interaction of the isocyanide ligand with the three metal atoms found in **(1)** completes a series of structurally characterised complexes containing the iso-electronic ligands *CO* {in [Nb₃(CO)₇(η -C₅H₅)₃] },¹ CNBu^t [in (1)], NCPr {in $[Fe_3(CO)_9(NCPr)]\,$, and $C\equiv CR^{-}$ {in $[Fe_3(C\equiv CPh)(CO)_7$ - $(\eta$ -C₅H₅)^o and $\left[\text{Ru}_3(\text{C} \equiv \text{CR})(\mu - \text{PPh}_2)(\text{CO})_8\right]^{\tau}$. Two other examples of two-atom 6e-donor groups in clusters are CNBu^t in $\text{[Ru}_5(\text{CO})_{14}(\text{CNBu}^t)_2)^2$ and CS in $\text{[Fe}_5(\mu_4\text{-CS})$ - $(\mu_3$ -S)₂(CO)₁₃].⁸ All provide further evidence for the lengthening (and hence weakening) of C-X multiple bonds on coordination to clusters of metal atoms, and their reactivity may help the understanding of the chemistry of these and related molecules on metal surfaces.

Not surprisingly, the isocyanide ligand in **(1)** is inert towards HPF_6 or $Et_3O^+BF_4^-$, but readily undergoes *nucleophilic* attack by H^- at the carbon atom (Scheme 1) to give $[Fe₃(CO)₉(HC=NBu^t)]$ ⁻ (2), which can be isolated as claretcoloured $[AsPh_4]^+$ or $[NEt_4]^+$ salts $[v(CO)$ (tetrahydrofuran) 2031m, 1970vs, 1963s, 1943s, and 1919m cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. $(CDCI₃)$ δ 1.21s (9H, $CMe₃$) and 9.52s (1H,=CH)]. Protonation (H_3PO_4) of (2) gives red $[HFe_3(CO)_9(HC=NBu^t)]$ (3) $[\forall (CO)$ (hexane) 2088m, 2052s, 2025s, 2017s, 2003m, 1998m, 1973m, and 1963m cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ -15.9s (1H, FeH), and 1963m cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ -15.9s (1H, FeH), 1.24s (9H, CMe₃), and 9.21s (1H =CH); $(M - \text{CO})^{+}$ at *m/e* 477]. Ruthenium⁹ and osmium¹⁰ analogues of (3) have been described, and identification of **(3)** was facilitated by comparison with them; the $Pr¹$ analogue of (3) has been obtained¹¹ by protonating the product of the prolonged reaction between $[HF_{2}(CO)_{11}]$ ⁻ and CNPr¹. The spectral properties of (2) and **(3)** also strongly resemble those of the isomeric complexes $[Fe_3(CO)_9(HN=CR)]$ ⁻ and $[HFe_3(CO)_9(HN=CR)]$, respectively, prepared by transformations of nitrile ligands on Fe₃ carbonyl clusters.12

^{\$} Satisfactory analytical data were also obtained for complexes **(l), (3),** and the AsPh,+ salt of anion **(2).**

[§] The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request
from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data
Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cam-
bridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be a full literature citation for this communication.

We thank the Australian Research Grants Committee for support of this work, and the University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand, for study leave (B.K.N.).

Received, 16th December 1981; Com. 1432

References

- **1** W. A. Herrmann, H. Biersack, **M.** L. Ziegler, K. Weidenhammer, R. Siegel, and D. Rehder, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1981, 103,** 1692.
- **2** M. **I.** Bruce, J. G. Matisons, **J.** R. Rodgers, and R. C. Wallis, *J. Chem. Sac., Chem. Coinmun.,* **1981, 1070.**
- **3** M. **J.** Mays and P. D. Gavens, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **1979, 177, 443.**
- **4 F.** A. Cotton and **J. M.** Troup, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1974, 96, 4155.**
- 5 **M.** A. Andrews, C. B. Knobler, and H. D. **Kaesz,** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1979, 101,** 7260.
- **6** K. Yasufuku, K. Aoki, and H. Yamazaki, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* **1975, 48, 1616.**
- **7 A.** J. Carty, **S.** A. MacLaughlin, and N. J. Taylor, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **1981, 204,** C27.
- **8 P. V.** Broadhurst, B. **F.** *G.* Johnson, **J.** Lewis, and **P.** R. Raithby, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1981,103, 3198.**
- **9 M. I.** Bruce and R. C. Wallis, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **1979, 164,** *C6.*
- **10** R. **D.** Adams and N. M. Golembeski, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1978, 100,** 4622.
- **11 J.** A. **S.** Howell and **P.** Mathur, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Cornmun.,* **1981,** 263.
- **12 M.** A. Andrews and H. D. Kaesz, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1979, 101, 7238; M.** A. Andrews, G. van Buskirk, C. B. Knobler, and **H.** D. Kaesz, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **1979, 101, 7245.**