## Hydrogen-bond Assisted Observation of HCNH <sup>1</sup>H N.M.R. Coupling in *N*-Methylanilines

James H. Clark,\*a Jack M. Miller,\*b and Sergio Paoneb

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York Y01 5DD, U.K.

Department of Chemistry, Brock University, St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada L2S 3A1

Proton-proton HCNH coupling in high  $pK_a$  *N*-methylanilines can be observed in solutions containing strong hydrogen-bond electron donors.

The NH protons of many secondary amines are labile on the n.m.r. time-scale. Under normal conditions proton-proton H-C-N-H spin-spin coupling can only be observed for those compounds with  $pK_a < 3.5^1$  while more basic amines require rigorous drying precautions.<sup>2,3</sup> The most probable mechanism for water-catalysed hydrogen exchange involves initial formation of N · · · HO H-bonds [reaction (1)] and this leads us

$$H$$

$$R_2NH + H_2O \rightleftharpoons R_2N \rightleftharpoons R_2NH_2 + OH^- \qquad (1)$$
HOH

to believe that the introduction of H-bond electron donors more powerful than amines should scavenge the water molecules, slow the rate of NH exchange, and hence facilitate observations of HCNH <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. coupling.

The results of introducing a range of H-bond electron donors into benzene solutions of three N-methylanilines ( $pK_{a}$ 4.62—5.36) are summarised in Table 1. The variation of  $pK_{B}$ limit for observation of coupling correlates well with reported H-bond electron-donor abilities towards OH centres<sup>4,5</sup> but not with the aqueous basicities of the electron donors.<sup>4</sup> Fluoride ions are particularly effective and enable coupling to be observed in the highest  $pK_a$  anilines. No special drying precautions were required to observe the couplings indicated in Table 1 so that the F-18-crown-6 method<sup>6</sup> compares very favourably in terms of convenience and efficiency with other methods such as those involving exhaustive drying processes with NaK alloy<sup>2</sup> or dimethylzinc.<sup>3</sup> When the KF-18crown-6-benzene-amine system reagents were dried before use, we observed that coupling is still clearly observed at amine:  $F^-$  molar ratios of > 50:1 and  $H_2O$ :  $F^-$  molar ratios of up to *ca*. 10:1.

We believe that these results lend weight to our hypothesis that the problem of water-catalysed hydrogen exchange inTable 1. Observation of H–C–N–H proton-proton coupling in benzene solutions of N-methylanilines.<sup>a</sup>

H-Bond electron donor	<i>N</i> -Methyl- <i>o</i> -toluidine (pK <sub>&amp;</sub> 4.62)	N-Methyl- aniline (pK <sub>B</sub> 4.85)	N-Methyl- p-toluidine (pKa 5.36)
KFb(18-crown-6)c	Yes	Yesd	Yes
PhaPO	Yes	Yes	bre
Ph <sub>a</sub> AsO <sup>t</sup>	Yes	bre	No
Me <sub>2</sub> SO	bre	No	No
Pyridine	br <sup>e,g</sup>	No	No
Dimethylformamide	bre	No	No
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	No	No	No

<sup>a</sup> A molar ratio of 2 electron donor to 1 amine was used throughout. <sup>b</sup> KF may be replaced by RbF or CsF but not by other nonfluoride salts such as KOAc, KCl, or KI. <sup>c</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum also shows a peak due to the F--crown complex, the position of which is solvent dependent. <sup>d</sup> Coupling is lost on raising the sample temperature to 350 K although this coalescence temperature is dependent on concentration and the cation so that  $T_c$  (CsF)  $> T_c$  (RbF)  $> T_c$  (KF). <sup>e</sup> Broad, unresolved resonance. <sup>t</sup> Prepared by KMnO<sub>4</sub> oxidation of Ph<sub>3</sub>As. <sup>g</sup> Coupling is observed in pure pyridine solution (ref. 1).

hibiting observation of coupling to labile hydrogens may be overcome by control of the H-bonding in the system.

We thank N.A.T.O. for a collaborative research grant.

Received, 7th October 1981; Com. 1185

## References

- 1 I. D. Rae, Aust. J. Chem., 1966, 19, 409.
- 2 K. L. Henold, Chem. Commun., 1970, 1340.
- 3 K. P. Shrestha and K. L. Henold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 6699.
- 4 R. W. Taft, L. J. Gurka, L. Joris, P. v. R. Schleyer, and J. W. Rakshys, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 4801.
- 5 J. H. Clark and J. M. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 498; J. Emsley, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1980, 91.
- 6 C. L. Liotta and H. P. Harris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 2250.