Intramolecular Participation in Hypervalent Iodine Oxidation. The Synthesis of Coumaran-3-ones, Aurone, and Isoaurone

Robert M. Moriarty,*a Om Prakash,a Indra Prakash,a and H. A. Musallamb

- University of Illinois Chicago, Department of Chemistry Chicago, Illinois 60680, U.S.A.
- ^b Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Department of Army, Washington, D.C. 20307, U.S.A.

Oxidation of o-hydroxyphenyl alkyl ketones with Phl(OAc) $_2$ /KOH–MeOH leads to 2,2-dimethoxycoumaran-3-ones which in the case of o-hydroxy- α -phenylpropiophenone offers a convenient route to aurone and isoaurone.

Oxidation of various acetophenone derivatives with PhI- $(OAc)_2/KOH$ -MeOH yields the corresponding α -hydroxy-dimethyl acetal. In this transformation $\neg OMe$ plays the role of an external nucleophile.

We now show that an o-hydroxy group in various substi-

$$-CO-CH_2-\xrightarrow{-OH} -C=CH_2\xrightarrow{\stackrel{PhI(OAC)_2}{-OH}} -C-CH_2-\stackrel{OH}{\stackrel{PhI(OAC)_2}{-OH}} -C-CH_2-\stackrel{I}{\stackrel{Ph}{\longrightarrow}} -C-CH_2-\stackrel{I}{\stackrel{PhI(OAC)_2}{-OH}}$$
(A)

(A)
$$\xrightarrow{-OMe}$$
 $\xrightarrow{-OMe}$ $\xrightarrow{-OMe}$

Scheme 1

tuted o-hydroxyacetophenones acts analogously as an intramolecular nucleophile in the reductive cleavage of the C-I bond $[(A) \rightarrow (B), Scheme 1]$. A series of substituted o-hydroxyacetophenones (1a—d) were treated with excess of PhI(OAc)₂ in KOH-MeOH to yield the corresponding

Reagent: i, PhI(OAc)₂, KOH-MeOH, 0 °C, then raised to room temperature.

Reagent: i, dilute H2SO4, heat.

Scheme 2. Reagent: i, PhI(OAc)2, KOH-MeOH.

coumaran-3-ones (2a—d).† Acid treatment of (2d) yielded a mixture of aurone (3) and isoaurone (4) in 60% yield as a 1:1 mixture which was separated by t.l.c.‡ A mechanism for the

† (2a), 20%, oil, i.r. (neat): 1740 cm $^{-1}$; ¹H n.m.r. δ : (CDCl₃) 3.5 (s, 6H, OC H_3), 6.68—7.7 (m, 4H) [the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of (2a) has been reported: 3.54 (OCH₃); S. Antus E. Baitz-Gács, F. Boross, M. Nogradi, and A. Solyom, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1980, 1271]; m/z 194(66%) M^+ , 163(71) M^+ – OCH₃, 166(59) M^+ – CO, 120(23) M^+ – C(OCH₃)₂, 121 (100) M^+ – [C(OCH₃)(OCH₂)]. (**2b**), 35%, oil, i.r. (neat): 1730 cm⁻¹; δ : (CDCl₃) 1.55 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.26 (s, 3H, OCH_3), and 6.9—7.8 (m, 4H); m/z 178(38) M^+ , 147(14) M^+ OCH₃, 150(21) M^+ -CO, 148(33) M^+ -CH₂O, 91(100). (2c), 21%, m.p. 59—60 °C, i.r. (Nujol): 1730 cm⁻¹; δ: (CDCl₃) 2.33 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.5 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.4 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 6.6 (s, 2H); m/z 222(44) M⁺, 191(43) M^+ -OCH₃, 192(10) M^+ -CH₂O, 148(14) M^+ -C(OCH₃)₂, 132(100). (2d) was obtained from (1d), 40%, oil, i.r. (neat): 1725 cm⁻¹; δ: (CDCl₃) 3.15 (s, 5H, CH₂ and OCH₃), 6.63—7.4 (m, 9H, aromatic); m/z 254(16) M^+ , 223(2) M^+ – OCH₃, 163(100) M^+ – CH₂Ph. The conversion of (1a) and (1c) into (2a) and (2c), respectively, is 30% and (1b) and (1d) into (2b) and (2d), respectively is 50% using equimolar amounts of PhI(OAc)₂ and ketone. The conversion is not increased with 2 or 3 equiv. of PhI(OAc)₂ relative to the ketone. Yields are of the pure isolated compounds by chromatography.

‡ Aurone (3), i.r. (CHCl₃): 1710 cm⁻¹; 1 H n.m.r. δ : (CDCl₃) 6.9 (s, C=CH); 13 C n.m.r. δ : (CDCl₃) 111.19 (s, C=CH), 183.5 (C=O); m/z 222(100) M+, 120(34) M+-C=CHPh. Isoaurone (4), i.r. (CHCl₃): 1780 cm⁻¹, δ : (CDCl₃) 7.86 (s, C=CH); 13 C n.m.r. δ : (CDCl₃) 133.6 (s, C=CH), 170 (C=O); m/z 222(100) M+, 194(39) M+-CO. 1 H, 13 C N.m.r. and i.r. data for (3) and (4) are in excellent agreement with the data reported for 6-methoxyaurone and 6-methoxyisoaurone (ref. 2).

(2d)
$$\xrightarrow{H^+}$$
 $\overset{\text{index}}{\bigcirc}$ $\overset{\text{ind$

reactions $(1a-d) \rightarrow (2a-d)$, illustrated for $(1a) \rightarrow (2a)$, is shown in Scheme 2.

Coumaran-3-one $(7)^3$ was separately subjected to the reaction conditions and yielded (2a) in 50% yield. Intermediate (5) is based upon the general mechanism [viz. intermediate (A)] presented in Scheme 1. Intramolecular displacement with reductive elimination $[(5) \rightarrow (7)]$, a 5-exo-tet process, is stereoelectronically favourable.⁴ Alternative intermolecular attack by MeO⁻ $[(5) \rightarrow (6)]$ is possible, but $[(6) \rightarrow (7)]$ is stereoelectronically unfavourable.§

Hyperiodination $[(7) \rightarrow (8)]$, bimolecular reductive displacement $[(8) \rightarrow (9)]$, followed by the same sequence, yields (2a). This pathway also is considered to occur for (1b—d) \rightarrow (2b—d).

The formation of aurone (3) from (2d) results from acid-catalysed loss of MeOH. Isoaurone (4) is a rearrangement product which may result from a phenonium ion type intermediate (11).

We thank the U.S.A.M.R.D.C. and the Petroleum Research Fund for support of this work.

Received, 14th May 1984; Com. 658

References

- 1 R. M. Moriarty, H. Hu, and S. C. Gupta, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 1283; R. M. Moriarty, L. S. John, and P. C. Du, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 641; R. M. Moriarty, S. C. Gupta, H. Hu, D. R. Berenschot, and K. B. White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 686; R. M. Moriarty and H. Hu, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 2747; R. M. Moriarty and K. C. Hou, ibid., 1984, 25, 691; in this paper the advantage of using o-iodosylbenzoic acid in this oxidation reaction is described.
- 2 T. I. Gray, A. Pelter, and R. S. Ward, Tetrahedron, 1979, 35, 2539.
- 3 K. Fries and W. Pfaffendorf, Chem. Ber., 1910, 43, 212.
- 4 J. E. Baldwin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1976, 738.
- 5 G. Stork, L. D. Cama, and D. R. Coulson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 5268; G. Stork and J. F. Cohen, ibid., p. 5270.

§ Stork et. al. (ref. 5) have demonstrated convincingly that $S_N 2$ ring-opening of oxiranes requires a colinear arrangement of the nucleophile and C-O bond undergoing cleavage. This stereoelectronic effect is not met in $(6) \rightarrow (7)$ even though an entropic advantage would exist in this intramolecular process. Both the favoured $(5) \rightarrow (6)$ and unfavoured $(6) \rightarrow (7)$ transformations are implicit in Eschenmoser's demonstration of the necessity for colinearity of reacting groups in intramolecular $S_N 2$ processes (L. Tenud, S. Faroog, J. Seibl, and A. Eschenmoser, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1970, 53, 2059).