Lithium(η^3 -aza-allyl) Type Complexes derived from the Metallation of 2-(Me₃Si)_{3-n}CH_nC₅H₄N; Influence of Solvent and Degree of Substitution, n = 1 or 2, on the Nature of the Product

Daryl Colgan, Rocco I. Papasergio, Colin L. Raston,* and Allan H. White*

Department of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia 6009

Metallation of 2-(Me₃Si)₂CHC₅H₄N (= R*H*) with LiBuⁿ in hexane yields RLi · RH, (1), whereas RH with LiBuⁿ and tmeda (tetramethylethylenediamine; 1 equiv.) in hexane affords RLi(tmeda), (2); the related 2-(Me₃Si)CH₂C₅H₄N (=R'*H*) with LiBuⁿ in a hexane–diethyl ether mixture gives [{LiR'(OEt₂)}₂], (3): compounds (1)–(3), shown by X-ray crystallography to have novel η^3 -aza-allyl ligand geometries, are regarded as models for the bonding in the products of metallation of systems CH₃–C(R")=NR".

Previously, we reported that the metallation of $2-(Me_3Si)_2-CHC_5H_4N$ (= RH) using LiBuⁿ in a hexane-diethyl ether mixture yielded a binuclear complex (RLi)₂, (4), (Scheme 1) in which the ligands bridged the lithium centres in an 8-membered ring structure.¹ We now report the structures of three further products of metallation of RH and of the related $2-(Me_3Si)CH_2C_5H_4N$ (= R'H) using LiBuⁿ in hexane in the presence or absence of tmeda (tetramethylethylenediamine) or diethyl ether. These three products, compounds (1)—(3),[†] the preparative conditions for which are given in Scheme 1,

[†] The new compounds (1) (m.p. 123 °C), (2) (m.p. 83–85 °C), and (3) (m.p. 88–90 °C) prepared in *ca.* 80% yield have also been characterized by ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. spectroscopy.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, LiBuⁿ (ca. 1.6 M in hexane); ii, as for i + OEt₂; iii, as for i + tmeda.

contain unprecedented η^3 -aza-allyl ligand geometries. Key bond distances and angles are shown in Figures 1–3.‡

The Li–C distances of the Li- η^3 -C₂N group differ significantly for the three compounds, ranging from 2.22 to 2.46 Å.

‡ Crystal data: Compound (1) C₂₄H₄₅LiN₂Si₄, M = 480.9, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 16.707(6), b = 10.553(4), c = 9.044(3) Å, $\alpha = 86.67(3)$, $\beta = 78.35(3)$, $\gamma = 86.13(3)^\circ$, U = 1556(1) Å³, $D_c = 1.03$ g cm⁻³ (Z = 2), F(000) = 524.

Compound (2), $C_{18}H_{38}LiN_3Si_2$, M = 359.6, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 9.606(4), b = 15.036(7), c = 16.60(1)Å, $\beta = 97.40(3)^\circ$, U = 2378(2) Å³, $D_c = 1.00$ g cm⁻³ (Z = 4), F(000) = 792.

Compound (3), $C_{13}H_{24}LiNOSi$, M = 245.4, triclinic, space group *P*T, a = 10.88(2), b = 10.58(2), c = 8.24(1) Å, $\alpha = 73.5(1)$, $\beta = 85.2(1)$, $\gamma = 63.1(1)^{\circ}$, U = 810(2) Å³, $D_c = 1.01$ g cm⁻³ (Z = 2), F(000) = 268.

The structures were determined at 295 K, from 3076 [(1)], 1515 [(2)], and 1071 [(3)] observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ out of a total of 3824, 3125, and 2093 respectively (Mo- K_{α} radiation). R and R' values are 0.053 and 0.058 [(1)], 0.068 and 0.085 [(2)], and 0.056 and 0.062 [(3)]. The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of (1) showing 20% thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms; the projection is onto the pyridine plane of the anionic ligand. Important distances and angles defining the lithium environment are Li–N(1) 2.00(1), Li–N(2) 2.01(1), Li–C(15) 2.32(1), Li–C(16) 2.22(1) Å, N(2)–Li–N(1), C(15,16) 142.1(6), 150.6(5), 142.0(5), N(1)–Li–C(15,16) 36.2(2), 68.9(3), C(15)–Li– C(16) 36.6(3)°.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of (2) showing 20% thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms; the projection is normal to the pyridine plane. Important distances and angles defining the lithium environment are. Li–N 1.96(2), Li–C(5) 2.46(2), Li–C(6) 2.43(2), Li–N(A) 2.17(2), Li–N(B) 2.06(2) Å, N(A)–Li–N(B), N, C(5,6) 86.4(7), 116.8(9), 150.0(9), 154.5(9), N(B)–Li–N, C(5,6) 116.6(9), 111.6(8), 116.4(8), N–Li–C(5,6) 34.0(4), 64.8(5), C(5)–Li–C(6) 33.6(4)°.

However they are within the limits established for compounds with the well known Li- η^3 -C₃ fragment, *e.g.*, 2.19—2.63(1) Å in [{Li(tmeda)}₂{(2-Me₃SiCHC₆H₄)₂}]² and 2.21—2.58(2) Å in Li{N(CH₂CH₂)₃N}{CH₂C₆H₅}.³ In (1) and (3) each lithium atom is approximately coplanar with an aromatic ring plane (Figure 1) with which it is bound by the nitrogen atom, possibly with some π bonding, at a short distance of 2.01(1) (1), 2.04(1) Å (3) [*cf.*, 1.936(4) Å (4)].¹ The Li–O bond distance of 1.91(2) Å in (3) is short, *cf.*, 1.943(6) in [{Li{ μ -N(SiMe₃)₂}OEt₂}]⁴ and 2.094(4)—2.393(3) Å in



Figure 3. Molecular structure of (3) showing 20% thermal ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms; the projection is normal to the pyridine plane. Important distances and angles defining the lithium environment are Li-N 2.19(1), Li-C(5) 2.34(1), Li-C(6) 2.36(1), Li-N' 2.04(1), Li-O 1.91(1), Li \cdots Li' 2.64(1) Å, O-Li-N, C(5,6), N' 116.7(6), 110.0(5), 122.7(4), 126.3(4), N-Li-C(5,6), N' 35.6(2), 63.6(3), 103.0(4), C(5)-Li-C(6), N' 34.6(3), 123.1(4), C(6)-Li-N' 106.4(4)°.

[Li{N(SiMe₃)₂}{12-crown-4}].⁵ In (1) and (2) the trigonal plane of C(6) is skewed relative to the pyridine plane by 40.9 and 38.2°, respectively. This is minimal in (3) in which the silicon of the unique *exo*-SiMe₃ group resides only 0.07 Å out of the aromatic plane. The C(5)–C(6) distances of 1.428(8), (1) (anionic ligand), 1.42(1), (2), and 1.40(1) Å, (3), in

contrast to 1.500(8) Å for the analogous distance of the neutral ligand in (1), suggest some C(5)–C(6) double bond character and substantial delocalization of charge.

Compounds (1)—(3) illustrate a lithium- η^3 -aza-allyl type of interaction that may be general for the products of metallation of species CH₃-CR"=NR"', usually represented in the literature^{6,7} as LiCH₂CR"=NR"' or Li⁺[CH₂------CR"-----NR"']⁻. Their differences reflect the important roles played by Lewis base sites,⁸ whether in solvent or substrate, and steric hindrance in organo-lithium chemistry, a theme that will be elaborated when the present results are discussed in full.

We thank the Australian Research Grant Scheme for grants in support of this work, and a referee for helpful suggestions.

Received, 18th June 1984; Com. 854

References

- 1 R. I. Papasergio, C. L. Raston, and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 1419.
- 2 L. M. Engelhardt, W.-P. Leung, C. L. Raston, P. Twiss, and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 321.
- 3 S. P. Patterman, I. L. Karle, and G. D. Stucky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1150.
- 4 L. M. Engelhardt, A. S. May, C. L. Raston, and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1983, 1671; M. F. Lappert, M. J. Slade, A. Singh, J. L. Atwood, R. D. Rogers, and R. Shakir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 302.
- 5 P. P. Power and Xu Xiaojie, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 358.
- 6 E. M. Kaiser, Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 2055.
- 7 T. Fujisawa, M. Takeuchi, and T. Sato, Chem. Lett., 1982, 1795.
- 8 D. Barr, W. Clegg, R. E. Mulvey, and R. Snaith, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 469.