

# Carbon Dioxide Activation as an $\eta^1$ -C Metalcarboxylate: Metalcarboxylate Ester Derivatives as a $C_1$ Template in Co-ordinated Ligand Reactions

Thomas Forschner, Kevin Menard, and Alan Cutler\*


Department of Chemistry, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12181, U.S.A.

In tetrahydrofuran the reaction between  $Fp_2Mg$  [ $Fp = Fe(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)$ ] and  $CO_2$  gives the symmetrical metalcarboxylate  $(FpCO_2)_2Mg$ , which can be alkylated to give the ester  $FpCO_2Me$ ; its activated ester  $FpC(OMe)_2^+$  serves as a  $C_1$  template for reduction to  $FpCH_2OMe$ .

Stoichiometric studies using transition organometallic complexes will provide valuable insight into reducing ligated  $CO_2^1$  into other  $C_1$  ligands. Homogeneous  $CO_2$  fixation can be approached by converting an  $\eta^1$ -C metalcarboxylate,  $MCO_2^-$ ,  $CO_2$  complex into its metalcarboxylic ester (*i.e.*, alkoxy-carbonyl)<sup>2</sup> derivative, which subsequently functions as a  $C_1$  template in co-ordinated ligand transformations. Several of these ( $\eta^1$ -C) $CO_2$  adducts have been characterized, but only one can be alkylated and provides an ester.<sup>3</sup> Metalcarboxylates are instead transformed into 2:1  $CO_2$  adducts having metallacycle  $MCO_2C(O)O$  or chelated  $MC(O)OCO_2^-Na^+$  structures.<sup>4</sup> These facilitate the metal-induced reductive disproportionation of  $CO_2$ ,<sup>5</sup> leaving  $CO$  and/or  $CO_3^{2-}$  bound to the metal. We now report (i) conditions for selectively ligating  $CO_2$  as a metalcarboxylate  $FpCO_2^-$  (**1**) [ $Fp = Fe(CO)_2(\eta-C_5H_5)$ ] and converting it into a known<sup>6</sup> ester  $FpCO_2Me$  (**2**) and (ii) reducing its activated ester  $FpC(OMe)_2^+$  (**3**) into  $FpCH_2OMe$  (**6**), Scheme 1.

Reaction conditions for selectively generating the metalcarboxylate (**1**) are critical. In previous studies it was found that treatment of  $Fp^-Na^+$  in tetrahydrofuran (THF) with  $CO_2$  gave only the 2:1 adduct  $FpC(O)OCO_2^-Na^+$ , which disproportionates<sup>7</sup> above  $-40^\circ C$  to release  $Na_2CO_3$  and is protonated<sup>8</sup> to give  $FpCO^+$ . Attempted alkylation of this adduct with methyl iodide or trifluoromethanesulphonate affords only  $FpMe$ . By using the  $Mg^{II}$  counterion, however, we can now intercept the 1:1  $CO_2$  adduct as a metalcarboxylate ( $(FpCO_2)_2Mg$  (**1**)). The chelated  $Mg^{II}$  blocks both deleterious  $CO_2$  dissociation (which accounts for the above alkylation at  $Fe$ ) and 2:1  $CO_2$  binding pathways.

Yellow-brown THF solutions of  $(FpCO_2)_2Mg$  (**1**) were generated by purging the orange-yellow  $Fp_2Mg$  complex<sup>9</sup> with  $CO_2$  (dried over  $P_2O_5$ ) at  $-90^\circ C$  (5 min) and then warming to  $25^\circ C$ . Treatment with methyl trifluoromethanesulphonate (2 equiv.) gave  $FpCO_2Me$  (**2**) [71% by quantitative i.r.:  $\nu(CO)$   $1648\text{cm}^{-1}$ ], unchanged  $Fp_2$  (12%), and trace amounts of




Scheme 1

$FpMe$ . The lower isolated yields of (**2**) reflect the interference of polymerized THF, induced by  $MeOSO_2CF_3$ , during the pentane extraction-crystallization procedure. Protonation (4 equiv.  $HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ ) of (**2**) *in situ*, however, afforded  $FpCO^+BF_4^-$  (81% after reprecipitation from  $MeNO_2-Et_2O$ ); although acidification of (**1**) also gave  $FpCO^+$  (91% yield) (Scheme 2).

The proposed chelate structure for the  $Mg^{II}$  metalcarboxylate (**1**) is in accord with its i.r. spectra and chemical reactivity. A symmetrical carboxylate structure conforms with its solution i.r.<sup>10</sup> [ $\nu(CO_2^-)_{asym}$   $1560$  (br)  $cm^{-1}$ ] absorption,<sup>†</sup> which disappears upon alkylation. No evidence was found for reductive disproportionation at room temperature: solutions, although unstable at  $25^\circ C$ , remained homogeneous as  $Fp_2$  and  $FpH$  (1:1) quantitatively formed. Extensive  $CO_2$  dissociation from (**1**) did not occur since its reaction with methyl iodide (2 equiv.,  $-50^\circ C$  to  $+25^\circ C$ ;  $MeOH$  quench after 5 min at  $25^\circ C$ ) afforded only 13%  $FpMe$  (isolated yield) and a trace of (**2**).

The connection between  $FpCO_2Me$  (**2**) and its activated ester  $FpC(OMe)_2^+$  (**3**) is presently indirect, since attempted alkylation of (**2**) gave only  $FpCO^+$ . Neutral alkoxy-carbonyls are not alkylated at the acyl-O unless a 2,5-dioxacyclopentylidene complex (*e.g.*,  $FpCOCH_2CH_2O^+$ )<sup>11</sup> results. Instead alkoxide abstraction generally ensues.<sup>2</sup> Therefore (**3**) was procured by an unrelated procedure of Angelici.<sup>11</sup>

Reduction of  $FpC(OMe)_2^+$  (**3**) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  ( $-80^\circ C$ ) with one equivalent of  $LiHBET_3$  afforded the formyl acetal complex  $FpCH(OMe)_2$  (**4**)<sup>‡</sup> (82% yield) after pentane extraction.



Scheme 2

† Selected i.r. data in THF ( $\nu/cm^{-1}$ ), (**1**) as  $Mg^{II}$  salt: 2015s, 1959s (CO) and 1560m (br) ( $CO_2$ );  $Mg^{II}$  derivative of  $FpCH_2CO_2H$  (ref. 14),  $(FpCH_2CO_2)_2Mg$ : 2018s, 1953s (CO) and 1604m (br) ( $CO_2$ ) [closely resembles  $\nu(CO_2)$  of (**1**) in appearance]; (KBr) 2018s, 1959s (CO) and 1590m (br), 1435m (br) ( $CO_2$ ); (**2**): 2012s, 1974s (CO) and 1674m (C=O).

‡ Compound (**4**) (yellow oil):  $^1H$  n.m.r. ( $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  6.48 (s, 1 H,  $FeCH$ ), 4.78 (s, 5 H,  $\eta-C_5H_5$ ), and 3.28 (s, 6 H,  $OCH_3$ );  $^{13}C$  n.m.r. (gated decoupled)  $\delta$  216.3 (CO), 115.8 (d sept.,  $^1J$  166,  $^3J$  5 Hz,  $FeCH$ ), 85.8 (d quint.,  $\eta-C_5H_5$ ), and 54.8 p.p.m. (d quart.,  $^1J$  142,  $^3J$  5 Hz,  $OCH_3$ ). A satisfactory elemental analysis was obtained.

Solutions of (4) are remarkably stable at room temperature (<10% decomposition after 16 h) in contrast with the extremely unstable formyl complex  $\text{FpCHO}$ .<sup>12</sup> Another recent synthesis of (4) entails methoxide addition to the methoxymethylidene salt (5).<sup>13</sup> Several reductive procedures are available for converting (3) or (4) into  $\text{FpCH}_2\text{OMe}$  (6), Scheme 1. A  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solution of  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{SMe}_2$  (1.5 equiv.) thus causes the conversion of (4) into (6) (93% yield isolated after chromatography), whereas treatment of (3) with  $\text{PPh}_3\text{Me}^+\text{BH}_4^-$  (1.0 equiv.) gives a mixture of (6) (61%),  $\text{FpMe}$  (2%), and  $\text{FpH}$  (assayed as  $\text{FpCl}$ , 10%). Finally,  $\text{HPF}_6\cdot\text{OEt}_2$  protonates (4) to give (5) (90%), and our previously reported<sup>12</sup>  $\text{BH}_4^-$  reduction of (5) then yields (6).

Acknowledgement is made to the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and to the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Research, for the support of this research.

Received, 1st September 1983; Com. 1181

## References

- 1 R. Eisenberg and D. E. Hendrickson, *Adv. Catal.*, 1979, **28**, 79; T. Ito and A. Yamamoto, in 'Organic and Bio-organic Chemistry of Carbon Dioxide,' eds. S. Inoue and N. Yamazaki, Halsted Press, New York, 1982, ch. 3; R. P. A. Sneeden, in 'Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry,' eds. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone, and E. W. Abel, Pergamon Press, New York, 1982, ch. 50.4.
- 2 R. J. Angelici, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1972, **5**, 335.
- 3 T. Herskovitz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 2391; S. Gambarotta, F. Arena, C. Floriani, and P. F. Zanazzi, *ibid.*, 1982, **104**, 5082; J. M. Maher, G. R. Lee, and N. J. Cooper, *ibid.*, p. 6797; R. L. Harlow, J. B. Kinney, and T. Herskovitz, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1980, 813.
- 4 T. Herskovitz and L. J. Guggenberger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 1615; J. M. Maher and N. J. Cooper, *ibid.*, 1980, **102**, 7604.
- 5 J. Chatt, M. Kubota, G. J. Leigh, F. C. March, R. Mason, and D. J. Yarrow, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1974, 1033; H. H. Karsch, *Chem. Ber.*, 1977, **110**, 2213; E. Carmona, F. González, M. L. Poveda, J. M. Marin, J. L. Atwood, and R. D. Rogers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 3365.
- 6 R. B. King, M. Bisnette, and A. Fronzaglia, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1966, **5**, 341; L. Busetto and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1968, **2**, 391; methoxide addition to  $\text{FpCO}^+$  also gives (2).
- 7 G. O. Evans, W. F. Walter, D. R. Mills, and C. A. Streit, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1978, **144**, C34.
- 8 T. Bodnar, E. Coman, K. Menard, and A. Cutler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, **21**, 1275.
- 9 G. B. McVicker, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1975, **14**, 2087; M. Nitay and M. Rosenblum, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1977, **136**, C23; A. Wong, M. Harris, and J. D. Atwood, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1977, **136**, C23; A. Wong, M. Harris, and J. D. Atwood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 4529.
- 10 G. B. Decon and R. J. Phillips, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1980, **33**, 227.
- 11 M. H. Quick and R. J. Angelici, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1978, **160**, 231; F. B. McCormick and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, **20**, 1111; D. H. Bowen, M. Green, D. M. Grove, J. R. Moss, and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1974, 1189; H. Moschi and R. J. Angelici, *Organometallics*, 1982, **1**, 343.
- 12 A. R. Cutler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 604.
- 13 C. P. Casey, H. Tukada, and W. H. Miles, *Organometallics*, 1982, **1**, 1083.
- 14 J. K. P. Ariyaratne, A. M. Bierrum, M. L. H. Green, M. Ishaq, C. K. Prout, and M. G. Swanwick, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1969, 1309.