The Reduction of (μ_2 -NO) in [HRu₃(CO)₁₀(μ_2 -NO)] to (μ_3 -NH) and (μ_2 -NH₂) by Molecular Hydrogen

Brian F. G. Johnson, Jack Lewis, and Julian M. Mace

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, U.K.

 $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^-$ reacts with NOBF₄ in moist MeCN to generate HRu₃(CO)₁₀NO, HRu₃(CO)₁₀NH₂, and HRu₄N(CO)₁₂; the same products, together with H₂Ru₃(CO)₉NH and H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂, are observed in the direct hydrogenation of HRu₃(CO)₁₀NO.

The reduction of nitric oxide by hydrogen on a heterogeneous surface is not a well understood reaction. Here we report the reduction of co-ordinated μ_2 -NO to μ_3 -NH and μ_2 -NH₂ in a *triangulo*-ruthenium cluster, an observation which may shed some light on the method by which the reduction of NO occurs.

Previously we have described the preparation of HRu_3 -(CO)₁₀NO from the reaction of NOBF₄ with [HRu₃(CO)₁₁]^{-.1} Here we report that the reaction between [HRu₃(CO)₁₁]⁻ and NOBF₄ in moist acetonitrile, in addition to the expected compound, also gave, in an unprecedented fashion, the compound HRu₃(CO)₁₀NH₂.



Scheme 1

Table 1. I.r. absorptions (v_{max}/cm^{-1}) in the carbonyl region (cyclohexane solvent).

(2) $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NO$	2109w, 2070vs, 2064s, 2033vs, 2027m, 2019s, 1998w; v(NO); 1550w
$(3) HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$	2100w, 2064vs, 2051s, 2034vw, 2024s, 2010w, 2002s, 1001w, 1082w
$(4) HRu_4N(CO)_{12}$	2010vs, 2002s, 1991w, 1902w 2065vs, 2050m, 2024s, 2013w, sh, 1994m,
$(5) H_2Ru_3(CO)_9NH$	2114m, 2078s, 2055vs, 2044s, 2010vs, 1999s, 1987w

Reaction of $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^-$ (1) with NOBF₄ in moist MeCN results in the formation, in addition to $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$, of a mixture of compounds (2), (3), and (4) [equation (1)].

$$[HRu_{3}(CO)_{11}]^{-} \xrightarrow{\text{NOBF}_{4}} HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}\text{NO}$$
(1)
(2)
$$+ HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}\text{NH}_{2} + HRu_{4}\text{N}(CO)_{12}$$
(1)
(3)
(4)

Compound (2) was shown to be the expected nitrosyl derivative. The i.r. and ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of this molecule are consistent with a *triangulo*-structure in which the NO and H ligands occupy bridging positions, and this has been confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure determination of the tri-substituted trimethyl phosphite derivative.¹ More surprising and unexpected was the formation of the μ_2 -NH₂ compound. Cluster (3) has been obtained in moderate yields, and the trinuclear formulation, HRu₃(CO)₁₀NH₂, has been confirmed by mass spectroscopy. This result clearly indicated that a facile reduction of the μ_2 -NO ligand in (2) was occurring during the reaction.

The cluster $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^-$ is known to be strongly basic and to be a good source of the H⁻ ligand.² Treatment of (2) with either $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^-$, or $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^-$ in the presence of CO, did not bring about the formation of (3), indicating that the $-NH_2$ group did not arise from the nucleophilic addition of H⁻ to co-ordinated μ_2 -NO. We suspected that the formation of (3) in the reaction of $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^-$ with NOBF₄ arose from the fortuitous presence of HBF₄ (due to moist MeCN). Furthermore, co-ordinated NO has been shown to undergo electrophilic addition by H⁺ to generate -NHOH and $-NH_2OH.^3$ However, in this case, we were able to show that direct addition of H⁺ to HRu₃(CO)₁₀NO did not bring about the required reduction. Keister has shown that at low temperatures $[HRu_3(CO)_{11}]^$ adds H⁺, and above -30 °C forms $H_2Ru_3(CO)_{11}$.⁴ On warming to room temperature this complex decomposes to produce $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ and presumably H₂. We therefore considered the possibility that H₂ was the reductant in equation (1), and that this was formed according to equations (2) and (3). The reaction of $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NO$ with H₂ (1 atmos; 100 °C) gave four neutral products (3), (4), (5) and (6) [equation (4)].

$$[HRu_{3}(CO)_{11}]^{-} + H^{+} \longrightarrow H_{2}Ru_{3}(CO)_{11}$$
(2)

$$H_2Ru_3(CO)_{11} \longrightarrow Ru_3(CO)_{12} + H_2$$
 (3)

$$HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}NO + H_{2} \longrightarrow HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}NH_{2}$$
(2)
(3)
$$+ HRu_{4}N(CO)_{12} + H_{4}Ru_{4}(CO)_{12}$$
(4)
(5)
$$+ H_{2}Ru_{3}(CO)_{9}NH$$
(4)
(6)

Compound (5) was shown to be $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ on the basis of its spectroscopic data. Compound (3) was identified as $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$ by a combination of spectroscopic methods. Thus, the mass spectrum shows the molecular ion at m/z 609 (¹⁰⁴Ru), followed by the sequential loss of 10 CO groups; the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum shows a resonance arising from the hydrido-ligand at δ -13.96, and, finally, the i.r. spectrum (Table 1) is similar to those observed for the osmium analogue HOs₃(CO)₁₀NH₂,⁵ and other, related, HM₃(CO)₁₀X systems.

Compound (6) was identified as $H_2Ru_3(CO)_9NH$ by its mass spectrum [M^+ , m/z 581 (¹⁰⁴Ru)], and its i.r. spectrum (Table 1). This is similar to that of $H_2Os_3(CO)_9(\mu_3-NPh)$ which shows bands at 2115w, 2083s, 2056s, 2034m, 2010s, 1988m, and 1977w cm⁻¹ (hexane solution).⁶ Compound (4) has been fully characterised as $HRu_4N(CO)_{12}$, previously observed in reaction (1), and as a product of the reaction of [$H_3Ru_4(CO)_{12}$]⁻ with NOBF₄.⁷

In a separate experiment (carried out in a high-pressure i.r. cell), the reaction was monitored by following the change in i.r. spectrum with time. At a hydrogen pressure of approximately 50 atmospheres, a smooth transformation of (2) into the observed products [with the exception of (4)] was observed.

In further experiments we have found the following. (i) $H_2Ru_3(CO)_9NH$ reacts with H_2 under pressure to produce $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$ and $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$. (ii) The same cluster reacts with CO under pressure to form $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$. (iii)



On reaction with CO under pressure, $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$ forms $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$. (iv) $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$ produces $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ on reaction with H_2 under pressure. (v) On heating, $HRu_3-(CO)_{10}NH_2$ forms some $H_2Ru_3(CO)_9NH$. (vi) $HRu_4N(CO)_{12}$ produces $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}$ on reaction with H_2 under pressure. These reactions lead us to propose the mechanism shown in Scheme 1.

Reactions in this scheme include oxidative-addition of H_2 to $HRu_3(CO)_{10}(\mu_2\text{-}NO)$ (2) to form $H_3Ru_3(CO)_{10}(\mu_1\text{-}NO)$ (2a), and the subsequent reduction would presumably follow the sequence shown in equation (5).

$$\begin{array}{c} H_{3}Ru_{3}(CO)_{10}(\mu_{1}\text{-NO}) \longrightarrow HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}(NHOH) \\ & \downarrow H_{2} \\ HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}NH_{2} \xleftarrow{} H_{3}Ru_{3}(CO)_{10}(NHOH) \end{array}$$
(5)

Reaction of $HRu_3(CO)_{10}NH_2$ with more H_2 is then considered to lead first to NH_3 and $H_2Ru_3(CO)_{10}$, which by analogy with $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ is expected to be converted rapidly into $H_4Ru_4(CO)_{12}^8$ [equation (6)]. A measure of support for this pathway is given by the fact that the loss of a nitrosyl oxygen in the elements of water has previously been observed for a cluster system $[H_3Os_4(CO)_{12}NO \rightarrow HOs_4N(CO)_{12}].^9$

$$HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}NH_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}Ru_{3}(CO)_{10} \rightarrow H_{4}Ru_{4}(CO)_{12} \quad (6)$$

Alternatively, the reaction may proceed via the formation of a nitride intermediate [equation (7)]. To us, this appears a less likely pathway, because of the relative amounts of (3) and (6) produced (40:3%) given the necessity of (6) to scavenge CO from the system. However, the loss of a nitrosyl oxygen as

$$HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}(\mu_{2}\text{-}NO) \xrightarrow[-CO_{2}]{-CO_{2}} \stackrel{\text{`H}_{3}Ru_{3}(CO)_{9}N'}{\downarrow}$$
(7)

$$HRu_{3}(CO)_{10}NH_{2} \xleftarrow{[CO]} H_{2}Ru_{3}(CO)_{9}NH$$

 CO_2 has been observed for a cluster system [HOs₄(CO)₁₃NO \rightarrow HOs₄N(CO)₁₂],⁹ and H-transfer onto the N-atom of a cluster nitride is implied by the formation of H₄Ru₄(CO)₁₂ from HRu₄N(CO)₁₂ above. Thus we cannot, at this stage, differentiate between these two possibilities.

In connection with the above, it is interesting that, unlike the ruthenium case, $HOs_4N(CO)_{12}$, on treatment with H_2 under pressure, brings about H-addition and CO-loss to give a moderate yield of the new compound $H_3Os_4N(CO)_{11}$. This cluster, of which the ruthenium analogue is known,⁹ has been identified by its spectroscopic data.

We thank A. G. Cowie for assistance with the high-pressure i.r. experiments, and British Petroleum (J. M. M.) for financial support.

Received, 26th September 1983; Com. 1281

References

- 1 B. F. G. Johnson, P. R. Raithby, and C. Zuccaro, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 99.
- 2 J. C. Bricker, C. C. Nagel, and S. G. Shore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 1444.
- 3 K. R. Grundy, C. A. Reed, and W. R. Roper, *Chem. Commun.*, 1970, 1501.
- 4 J. B. Keister, J. Organometal. Chem., 1980, 190, C36.
- 5 E. G. Bryan, B. F. G. Johnson, and J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1977, 1328.
- 6 K. Burgess, Ph.D. thesis, Cambridge University, 1983.
- 7 D. Braga, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, J. M. Mace, M. McPartlin, J. Puga, W. J. H. Nelson, P. R. Raithby, and K. H. Whitmire, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 1081.
- 8 S. A. R. Knox, J. W. Koepke, M. A. Andrews, and H. D. Kaesz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 3942.
- 9 M. A. Collins, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, J. M. Mace, J. Morris, M. McPartlin, W. J. H. Nelson, and P. R. Raithby, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 689.