## The Solution Structure of [Rh(COMe)(CO)<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup><sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and the Absence of Methyl Migration in  $[Rh_2(COME)_2(CO)_2I_6]^{2-}$

Alexander G. Kent,<sup>a</sup> Brian E. Mann,\*<sup>b</sup> and Christopher P. Manuel<sup>b</sup>

**<sup>a</sup>***B.P. Chemicals Limited, Research and Development Department, Hull Division, Salt End, Hull HU72 8DS, U.K.*  **<sup>b</sup>***Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield S3 7HF, U.K.* 

The observation of inequivalent CO groups at  $-130$  °C for  $[Rh(COME)(CO)_2]_3]$ <sup>-</sup> is due to restricted rotation about the Rh-COMe bond with **AG\* 7.6** kcal mol-l,t 2J(13CO,13CO) 59 **Hz** showing that the carbonyls are mutually *trans;* the lack of <sup>13</sup>COMe/<sup>12</sup>CO exchange in  $\text{[Rh}_2\text{(^{13}CO}$ <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(<sup>12</sup>CO)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> demonstrates that its preparation from  $[Rh(13CH<sub>3</sub>)(12CO)(13CO)]<sub>3</sub>]$  is not reversible.

The  $[Rh(CO)_2I_2]$ -/I- catalysed reaction of methanol with carbon monoxide to give acetic acid is now a major industrial process.<sup>1,2</sup> Apart from the pioneering work of Forster,<sup>2</sup> very little attention has been paid to the mechanism of this reaction. The only intermediate that has been isolated from the reaction is  $[\text{Me}_3\text{PhN}]_2[\text{Rh}_2(\text{COMe})_2(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_6]$ , for which the crystal structure has been established.<sup>3,4</sup> Little work appears to have been done on the chemisty of this acyl intermediate, although a number of related rhodium-acyls have been examined *.5--8* Most acyl-rhodium complexes readily isomerise to the alkyl rhodium carbonyl complex, and in favourable cases, the equilibrium can be investigated. Thus for  $RhCl_2(COMe)(PAr_3)_2$  and  $RhMeCl_2(CO)(PAr_3)_2$  the equilibrium constant has been determined, $5$  and for  $[\hat{R}hCl(COMe)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sup>+</sup> the rate was determined.<sup>7</sup> It has been recently shown that  $Rh(COMe)I_2(PPh_3)_2$  decomposes with elimination of methyl iodide to form  $Rh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>9</sup> This and similar work implies the *reversible* formation of



 $\dagger$  kcal = 4.184 kJ.



**Figure 1.** A partial <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectrum of  $[Rh(COME)(^{13}CO)_2I_3]^{-}$ , **(II), in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-CHFCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 : 1, at**  $-130$  **°C (<sup>13</sup>CO region): (a)** *ca.* **80%** enriched in  $^{13}CO$ , (b)  $ca. 40\%$  enriched in  $^{13}CO$ .

 $[RhMe(CO)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub>]$  from  $[Rh<sub>2</sub>(COMe)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>$ , but in contrast  $[Rh(COMe)(CO)_2I_3]$ <sup>-</sup> decomposes to give acetyl iodide.<sup>2</sup>

When  $[Rh_2({}^{13}COMe)_2({}^{13}CO)_2I_6]^{2-}$ , (I),  $\ddagger$  is treated with <sup>13</sup>CO, then [Rh(<sup>13</sup>COMe)(<sup>13</sup>CO)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> is formed. The <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectrum in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at  $-30$  °C shows <sup>13</sup>COMe at  $\delta$ 216.42, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>103</sup>Rh,<sup>13</sup>C) 18 Hz and <sup>13</sup>CO at  $\delta$  177.11,  $1J(103Rh, 13C)$  54 Hz. On cooling to  $-90$  °C, the <sup>13</sup>COMe signal remains sharp, but there is extreme broadening of the <sup>13</sup>CO signal. In CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-CHFCl<sub>2</sub>, 1:1, at -130 °C, the spectrum shows one <sup>13</sup>COMe signal at  $\delta$  216.60, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>103</sup>Rh,<sup>13</sup>C) 18 Hz and two <sup>13</sup>CO signals at  $\delta$  177.79 and 173.03, both triplets, with underlying doublets;  $1J(103Rh, 13C)$  =  $2J(^{13}C)^{13}C = 59$  Hz, see Figure 1. The attribution of one 59 Hz coupling to  $2J(13C,13C)$  is confirmed by treating  $[Rh_2(13COMe)_2(13CO)_2I_6]^2$  with 12CO, when predominantly  $[Rh^{13}COMe)(^{13}CO)(^{12}CO)I_3]$ <sup>-</sup> is formed, and the <sup>13</sup>CO n.m.r. signal consists of two doublets, with underlying triplets. The value of 59 Hz for  $2J(13C,13C)$  demonstrates a trans carbonyl arrangement, (11). **As** many rhodium acyl complexes are 5-co-ordinate it is possible that this compound is also square-pyramidal with the vacant site trans to COMe. The carbonyl inequivalence arises from restricted rotation about the Rh-COMe bond with  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  7.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>†</sup> This compound has been previously reported, $3$  but a fac-structure, (III), was suggested.  $[Rh(13COMe)(13CO)_2I_3]$  slowly decomposes at room temperature to give  $[Rh(13CO),I_2]$  and various organic acetyl species. It is improbable that (11) with its trans-carbonyls will decompose directly to give  $[Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>]$ <sup>-</sup> with *cis*-carbonyls. Therefore, the mechanism probably consists of isomerisation of (11) to (111), which rapidly loses CH<sub>3</sub>COI, and is not detected.

When  $N_2$  is passed through a solution of  $[Rh(COME) (CO)_{2}I_{3}$ ]-,  $[Rh_{2}(COMe)_{2}(CO)_{2}I_{6}]^{2}$ - is generated. Hence

 $\ddagger$  Rh<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> readily exchanges with <sup>13</sup>CO. Subsequent reaction with MeI, AsPh<sub>4</sub>Cl, and LiI yields  $[AsPh<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Rh<sub>2</sub> (13$ COMe)<sub>2</sub>( $13$ CO)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>], ref. 3.

repeated treatment§ of  $\left[\text{Rh}_2\left(\frac{13\text{CO}}{13\text{CH}_3}\right)\left(\frac{13\text{CO}}{2}\right)\right]$  with alternately <sup>12</sup>CO and  $N_2$  generates  $[Rh_2$ <sup>(13</sup>CO<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>- $(12CO)_{2}I_{6}$ ]<sup>2-</sup>. The <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub> n.m.r. spectrum has a doublet,  $\delta$  46.07, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>13</sup>C,<sup>13</sup>C) 35 Hz with a singlet due to the <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub><sup>12</sup>CO group, and provides a monitor of the  $12CO$ 13CH<sub>3</sub>: 13CO<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub> ratio. After 1 week in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> this ratio does not change, remaining at 1 : **4** as it was in the initial  $[Rh_2 (^{13}CO \quad ^{13}CH_3)_2(^{13}CO)_2I_6]^{2-}$ . Therefore  $[Rh_2(^{13}CO 13CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub> ( $12CO$ )<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> does not give [Rh( $13CH_3$ )( $12CO$ )- $(13CO)I<sub>3</sub>$  at a significant rate to permit  $12C/13C$  scrambling, yielding  $[Rh_2(12CO13CH_3)_2(13CO)_2I_6]^{2-}$ , even though the formation of  $[Rh_2(COCH_3)_2I_6]^{2-}$  from  $[Rh(CO)_2I_2]^{-}$  and CH<sub>3</sub>I is believed to go *via*  $\left[\text{Rh}(CH_3)(CO)_2I_3\right]^{-2}$  This is surprising in view of the facile formation of rhodium-methyls from other rhodium-acetyls, and indicates an unusual chemistry for  $\left[\text{Rh}_2(\text{COCH}_3)_2(\text{CO})_2\text{I}_6\right]^2$ .

We thank the S.E.R.C. for financial support to C. P. M. and access to the Bruker WH400 n.m.r. spectrometer in Sheffield.

Received, *22nd* February *1985; Corn.* 235

## **References**

- **1** P. M. Maitlis, *New Scientist,* 1983, **98,** *208.*
- *2* D. Forster, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.,* 1979, **17,** 255.
- 3 **D.** Forster, *JAm. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1976, **98,** 846.
- 4 G. W. Adamson, J. J. Daly, and D. Forster, *J. Orgunomet. Chem.,*  1974, **71,** C17.
- *5* D. L. Egglestone, M. C. Baird, C. J. L. Lock, and G. Turner, *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Dalton Trans.,* 1977, 1576.
- 6 I. C. Douek and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem.* **SOC.** *A,* 1969, 2604.
- 7 M. A. Bennett, J. C. Jeffery, and G. B. Robertson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981,20, 323.
- 8 C.-H. Cheng, B. D. Spivack, and R. Eisenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,*  1977,99, 3003.
- 9 **A.** R. Siedle, R. **A.** Newmark, and L. H. Pignolet, *Organometullics,* 1984, *3,* 855.

§ Synthesised as  $[AsPh_4]_2[Rh_2({}^{13}COMe)_2({}^{13}CO)_2I_6]$ , using  ${}^{13}CH_3I$ .