## The Importance of Correlation Effects in the Calculation of the Valence Ionization Energies of $Bis(\pi-allyl)$ nickel

David Moncrieff,<sup>a</sup> Ian H. Hillier,\*a Victor R. Saunders,<sup>b</sup> and Wolfgang von Niessen<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Chemistry Department, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K.

<sup>b</sup> S.E.R.C. Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington WA4 4AD, U.K.

 Institut f
ür Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie, Technische Universit
ät Braunschweig, D-3300 Braunschweig, West Germany

The calculation of the valence ionization energies of  $bis(\pi-allyl)$ nickel by an *ab initio* Green's function method yields an interpretation of the photoelectron spectrum of this molecule in good agreement with experiment, in contrast to that given by  $\Delta$ S.C.F. calculations.

Although Koopmans' theorem has often proved extremely useful in assigning molecular photoelectron (P.E.) spectra of organic molecules,<sup>1</sup> the situation is more complicated for transition metal complexes,<sup>2,3</sup> since this approximation is often totally inadequate owing to the considerably larger orbital relaxation which may arise from metal, compared to ligand, ionization. For a range of transition metal complexes,  $\Delta$ S.C.F. calculations, which include such differential orbital relaxation, have been extremely useful in assignment of their P.E. spectra. However, for one of the simplest, and most studied<sup>4,5</sup> transition metal sandwich complexes,  $bis(\pi$ allyl)nickel, there is evidence that  $\Delta$ S.C.F. calculations do not provide a correct assignment of the P.E. spectrum. For this reason we here describe ab initio calculations of the valence ionization energies (I.E.) of this molecule by a Green's function technique which includes both relaxation and correlation effects and allows for the calculation of all the states observed in the low-energy P.E. spectrum. In this method the ionization energies appear as the poles of the one-particle Green's function and can thus be calculated directly, instead of as the difference of the energies resulting from two independent calculations for the neutral ground state and for the various ionic states, as in  $\Delta$ S.C.F. methods. This approach has been successful in yielding ionization energies accurate to  $\sim 0.5$  eV for a range of organic molecules, and accurate to  $\sim$ 0.2 eV for smaller molecules for which extended basis sets can be used.

The calculations were carried out using contracted Gaussian functions. For carbon, the (9s5p) basis of Huzinaga<sup>6</sup> was contracted to (3s2p).<sup>7</sup> For hydrogen, the (4s)<sup>7</sup> primitive basis, contracted to (2s) with a scale factor of 1.2, was used. The nickel basis was constructed from the (12s6p4d) functions of Roos *et al.*<sup>8</sup> The two most diffuse s functions were replaced by those having exponents of 0.32 and 0.08, and additional p and d functions with exponents 0.32 and 0.1481<sup>9</sup> respectively, were added. These functions were contracted (6s3p2d) giving a close to double zeta representation in the valence region. Bis( $\pi$ -allyl)nickel was taken to have a staggered arrangement of the allyl moieties ( $C_{2h}$  symmetry), with experimental bond lengths taken from that of bis( $\pi$ -methylallyl)nickel,<sup>10</sup> the allyl groups being taken to be planar and to have idealized bond angles of 120°.

Restricted Hartree-Fock calculations were carried out on the  ${}^{1}A_{g}$  ground state of the neutral molecule, and lowest  ${}^{2}A_{g}$ ,  ${}^{2}B_{g}$ ,  ${}^{2}A_{u}$ , and  ${}^{2}B_{u}$  states of the ion to yield the Koopmans' theorem and  $\Delta$ S.C.F. I.E.s shown in Table 1. To take account of both orbital relaxation and correlation effects, the valence I.E.s were also calculated by a Green's function method. In the case of the molecule studied herein, bis( $\pi$ -allyl)nickel, where there is strong relaxation for the orbitals of  $a_{g}$  and  $b_{g}$ 

round state	Ionization energy			
Character	Koopmans' theorem	ΔS.C.F.	Extended 2ph-TDA	Expt.
π(L)	7.5	6.8	6.4	7.7(1
$3d_{r_2};\pi(L)$	9.0	5.6	7.7	8.1(2
$\pi(L)$	11.8	11.0	10.3	10.3(5
$3d_{ry};\pi(L)$	11.7	5.5	7.6	8.1(2
σ(L)	14.0		13.5	12.7(7
$3d_{yz}$	14.0 14-2		8.5	8.5(3
$\sigma(L)$	14.6		13.3	
$\sigma(L)$ · $\sigma(L)$	14.6 15.0		13.4	12.7(7
3d.2	15.3		8.8	9.4(4
3d.,;π(L)	16.4		11.5	11.5(6
σ(L)	16.5		14.9	14.2(8
σ(L)	17.3		15.1 J	
σ(L) σ(L)	18.0 19.0		16.2 16.5	15.6(9
	round state Character $\pi(L)$ $3d_{xz};\pi(L)$ $\pi(L)$ $3d_{xy};\pi(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $3d_{yz}$ $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $3d_{z^2}$ $3d_{xy};\pi(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$	round state           Character         Koopmans' theorem $\pi(L)$ 7.5 $3d_{xz};\pi(L)$ 9.0 $\pi(L)$ 11.8 $3d_{xy};\pi(L)$ 11.7 $\sigma(L)$ 14.0 $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ 14.2 $\sigma(L)$ 14.6 $\sigma(L)$ 15.0 $3d_{z^2}$ 15.3 $3d_{xy};\pi(L)$ 16.4 $\sigma(L)$ 17.3 $\sigma(L)$ 18.0 $\sigma(L)$ 19.0	round state         Ionization           Character         Koopmans' theorem $\Delta$ S.C.F. $\pi(L)$ 7.5         6.8 $3d_{xz};\pi(L)$ 9.0         5.6 $\pi(L)$ 11.8         11.0 $3d_{xy};\pi(L)$ 11.7         5.5 $\sigma(L)$ 14.0 $3d_{yz}$ $3d_{yz}$ 14.0 $3d_{yz}$ $\sigma(L)$ 14.6 $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ 15.0 $3d_{z^2}$ $3d_{z^2}$ 15.3 $3d_{xy}; \pi(L)$ $3d_{z}$ 16.4 $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ 17.3 $\sigma(L)$ $\sigma(L)$ 18.0 $\sigma(L)$	Ionization energy           Ionization energy           Character         Koopmans' theorem         Extended $\pi(L)$ 7.5         6.8         6.4 $3d_{xz};\pi(L)$ 9.0         5.6         7.7 $\pi(L)$ 11.8         11.0         10.3 $3d_{xz};\pi(L)$ 11.7         5.5         7.6 $\sigma(L)$ 14.0         13.5         3 $d_{y_2}$ $\sigma(L)$ 14.6         13.3 $\sigma(L)$ 13.4 $\sigma(L)$ 15.0         13.7         3 $d_{z^2}$ 3.3 $\sigma(L)$ 16.4         11.5 $\sigma(L)$ 16.5 $\sigma(L)$ 16.5         14.9 $\sigma(L)$ 16.5 $\sigma(L)$ 17.3         15.1 $\sigma(L)$ 16.2 $\sigma(L)$ 19.0         16.5         14.9 $\sigma(L)$

**Table 1.** Ionization energies (eV) of the valence orbitals of  $bis(\pi-allyl)$ nickel.

<sup>a</sup> The band number is given in parentheses.

symmetry<sup>4,5</sup> the extended two-particle-hole Tamm–Dancoff method<sup>11–13</sup> (extended 2ph–TDA) was used. In this calculation all the filled valence orbitals, including the carbon 2s and 20 virtual orbitals, were employed. The valence I.E.s thus calculated are given in Table 1.

The P.E. spectrum of  $bis(\pi-allyl)$  nickel shows nine bands below 17 eV, and has been assigned as follows on the basis of a comparison of the P.E. spectra of a series of nickel, palladium, and platinum bis( $\pi$ -allyl) derivatives.<sup>14</sup> Bands (1) and (5) arise from the  $7a_u$  and  $11b_u$  ligand  $\pi$  M.O.s, bands (2) and (3) from the mainly metal 9ag, 10ag, 11ag, and 5bg M.O.s, and bands (4) and (6) from the  $\delta b_g$  and  $13a_g$  M.O.s respectively having significant ligand  $\pi$ -character. Bands (7)-(9) are assigned to orbitals of allyl  $\sigma$ -character. The  $\Delta$ S.C.F. results shown in Table 1 conflict with this assignment giving the first two ionic states, which are closely spaced, to be  ${}^{2}A_{g}$  and  ${}^{2}B_{g}$ , whereas the P.E. spectrum indicates the ground ionic state to be  ${}^{2}A_{u}$ arising from ligand ionization. However, when both correlation and relaxation effects are included in the Green's function calculation close agreement between the experimental and theoretical assignment results (Table 1). In particular the ground ionic state is now predicted to be <sup>2</sup>A<sub>u</sub>. Any differences between the experimental and theoretical assignments arise from interchanging orbitals of similar character such as 9ag and 13ag, where any distinction between the resulting states must be somewhat arbitrary.

The results presented here are the first to interpret the full P.E. spectrum of a transition metal complex, including both correlation and relaxation effects by a Green's function technique, and show the importance of correlation effects for a correct assignment of the spectrum.

We thank S.E.R.C. for support of this research. One of us (W.V.N.) thanks the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for partial support of this work.

Received, 6th March 1985; Com. 300

## References

- W. G. Richards, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys., 1969, 2, 419;
   C. R. Brundle, M. B. Robin, and H. Basch, J. Chem. Phys., 1970, 53, 2196.
- 2 I. H. Hillier, Pure Appl. Chem., 1979, 51, 2183.
- 3 A. Veillard and J. Demuynck, in 'Modern Theoretical Chemistry,'
- ed. H. F. Schaefer, Plenum Press, New York, 1977, vol. 4, p. 187.
  4 M-M. Rohmer and A. Veillard, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1973, 250.
- 5 M-M. Rohmer, J. Demuynck, and A. Veillard, Theor. Chim. Acta, 1974, 36, 93.
- 6 S. Huzinaga, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 42, 1293.
- 7 T. H. Dunning and P. J. Hay, in ref. 3, p. 1.
- 8 B. Roos, A. Veillard, and G. Vinot, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1971, 20, 1.
- 9 P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys., 1977, 66, 4377.
- 10 H. Dietrich and R. Uttech, Z. Kristallogr., 1965, 122, 60.
- 11 J. Schirmer and L. S. Cederbaum, J. Phys. B, 1978, 11, 1889.
- 12 J. Schirmer, L. S. Cederbaum, and O. Walter, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1983, 28, 1237.
- 13 W. von Niessen, J. Schirmer, and L. S. Cederbaum, Comput. Phys. Rep., 1984, 1, 57.
- 14 M. C. Böhm, R. Gleiter, and C. D. Batich, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1980, 63, 990.