## Unimolecular Alcoholysis of Organosilicon Halides of the Type (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>C-(SiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe)(SiR'<sub>2</sub>X). Anchimeric Assistance by and Migration of the OMe Group

Colin Eaborn,\* Paul D. Lickiss, Sabah T. Najim, and M. Novella Romanelli

School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, U.K.

The compound  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiMe_2CI)$  ( $R=SiMe_3$  throughout) reacts more rapidly with  $CF_3CH_2OH$  than with MeOH, and  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiPh_2Br)$  reacts with EtOH to give exclusively the rearranged product  $R_2C(SiMe_2OEt)(SiPh_2OMe)$ , indicating that the rate-determining step of the solvolyses involves formation of a methoxy-bridged cation; migration of the OMe group also occurs in the reaction of the bromide with AgBF<sub>4</sub>.

Organosilicon iodides of the type  $R_3CSiR'_2I$  ( $R = SiMe_3$  throughout), in which steric hindrance greatly inhibits direct nucleophilic attack at the functional silicon centre, react with silver salts AgY to give wholly (R' = Ph) or in part (R' = Et) rearranged products of the type  $R_2C(SiR'_2Me)(SiMe_2Y)$ , and it is thought that the reactions proceed *via* the bridged cations (1, Z = Me). Analogous rearrangement occurs in the trifluoracetolysis of  $R_3CSiEt_2I$ , which thus appears to involve rate-determining ionization to a bridged cation of type (1, Z = Me; R' = Et). In these reactions the migrating Me group is assumed to provide anchimeric assistance to the leaving of  $I^-$ .

The methanolysis of  $R_3CSiMe_2X$  compounds with X = I,  $OClO_3$ , or  $OSO_2CF_3$ , is known not to be significantly accelerated by the presence of  $NaOMe_2$ , and so at first it seemed likely that this reaction also involved anchimerically-

assisted formation of the bridge cation (1; R' = Me), but (a) solvolysis was much slower in the more electrophilic solvent  $CF_3CH_2OH$ , in which ionization would be expected to occur more readily, and (b) the hydrolysis and methanolysis of  $R_3CSiEt_2I$  were found to proceed without rearrangement.<sup>4</sup> It thus appears that the reaction does not proceed through a cation, and that the solvent is nucleophilically involved in the rate-determining step,<sup>4</sup> possibly in nucleophilic solvation in an  $S_N2$  (intermediate) type of process.<sup>5</sup>

In the hope of observing rate-determining unimolecular cleavage of a silicon-halogen bond in alcoholysis without nucleophilic participation by the solvent we turned to compounds of the type  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiR_2X)$ , in which the  $\gamma$ -OMe group could be expected to lead to much more stable bridged ions of the type (1, Z = OMe). (Compare the



(1)  $R = SiMe_3$ 

calculations by Kos and Schleyer quoted in ref. 6.) The methoxychloride  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiMe_2Cl)$  was previously shown to undergo methanolysis >  $10^6$  times as rapidly as  $R_3CSiMe_2Cl$ , 6 and we have now found that (a) its rate of reaction with 9:1 v/v MeOH–dioxane is not very sensitive to the presence of NaOMe (the half-lives, measured by n.m.r. spectroscopy, at 35 °C with 0.00, 0.08, 0.16, 0.32, and 0.64 M NaOMe were 7.5, 5.1, 4.4, 6.1, and 6.4 min, respectively), and (b) its reaction with 8:1 v/v CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH–dioxane [in which the alcohol:dioxane molar ratio is similar to that in the mixture in (a)], to give  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiMe_2OCH_2CF_3)$ , is markedly faster, being complete before the first measurement can be made, i.e. in < 45 s; there is a subsequent slower reaction, to give  $R_2C(SiMe_2OCH_2CF_3)_2$ , which does not occur in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N.

We have furthermore found that the reaction of R<sub>2</sub>C(SiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe)(SiPh<sub>2</sub>Br) with EtOH for 5 min at room temperature gives a single product [m.p. 168 °C; δ<sub>H</sub> (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 0.10 (s, SiMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.18 (s, SiMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.55 (s, OMe), 3.65 (q, CH<sub>2</sub>), and 7.2-8.0 (m, Ph)], which has been shown by X-ray diffraction<sup>7</sup> to be the rearranged species R<sub>2</sub>C(SiMe<sub>2</sub>OEt)(SiPh<sub>2</sub>OMe). (The same product is formed in the reaction with AgClO<sub>4</sub> in EtOH.) It can thus be assumed that the reactions proceed via cations of type (1, Z = OMe); R' = Me, or Ph), which are rapidly attacked by the solvent nucleophile at the less hindered end of the bridge. It seems that there can be rate-determining ionization in these crowded systems of the type  $R_2C(SiMe_2Z\bar{)}(SiR'_2X)$  if there is either a weak bridging group (Z) such as Me in association with a strongly electrophilic solvent such as CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, or a powerful bridging group such as OMe in association with a more weakly electrophilic solvent such as MeOH, and presumably some intermediate combinations would also be effective.

Predominant, but not complete, rearrangement occurred when  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiPh_2Br)$  was treated with  $AgBF_4$  in  $Et_2O$ , the product being a 4:1 mixture of  $R_2C(SiMe_2F)(Si-Ph_2OMe)$  [for  $SiMe_2F$ ,  $\delta_H$  0.22 (d),  $\delta_F$  -138.1 p.p.m.] and  $R_2C(SiMe_2OMe)(SiPh_2F)$  [for  $SiMe_2OMe$ ,  $\delta_H$  0.11 (s); for  $SiPh_2F$ ,  $\delta_F$  -161.3 p.p.m.].

The observation that with sufficient anchimeric assistance alcoholysis of organosilicon halides can take place without nucleophilic involvement of the solvent in the rate-determining step extends the analogy between mechanisms of substitution at a saturated carbon atom and those at a sterically hindered silicon atom.  $^4$  It will be of interest to see whether variations in the nature of the solvent affect such reactions of organosilicon halides in the way they do  $S_{\rm N}1$  reactions of organic halides.  $^8$ 

We thank the S.E.R.C. for support, the Iraqi Ministry of Higher Education and the University of Basrah for the award of a postgraduate scholarship (to S. T. N.), and Mr. N. H. Buttrus and Dr. P. B. Hitchcock for the X-ray structural determination.

Received, 14th August 1985; Com. 1218

## References

- C. Eaborn, D. A. R. Happer, S. P. Hopper, and K. D. Safa, J. Organomet., Chem., 1980, 188, 179.
- 2 C. Eaborn and F. M. S. Mahmoud, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1981, 1309.
- S. A. I. Al-Shali, C. Eaborn, and F. M. S. Mahmoud, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 232, 215.
- 4 S. A. I. Al-Shali, C. Eaborn, F. A. Fattah, and S. T. Najim, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 318.
- 5 T. W. Bentley and G. E. Carter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 5741.
- 6 C. Eaborn and D. E. Reed, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 495.
- 7 N. H. Buttrus and P. B. Hitchcock, personal communication.
- 8 T. W. Bentley, G. E. Carter, and K. Roberts, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 5183