



was purified by ion exchange (Dowex-1 and -50 with 90% aq. MeOH) and gel (Sephadex LH-20 with MeOH) chromatography (oil; 45%). Hydrogenolysis of (7) and chromatographic purification (Toyopearl HW-40 with DMF) afforded the desired cyclic trihydroxamic acid (9) (75%), m.p. 190–191 °C (decomp.); 18% total yield;  $M^+$ ,  $m/z$  601. The use of the active ester without *N*-protection facilitated the synthesis.

The complex forming ability of (8) and (9) was determined by measuring the change of absorbance as a function of the molar ratio of iron(III) to the hydroxamic acid unit, as shown in Figure 1. A sharp inflection at 0.34 for (8) [and 0.33 for (9); not shown] indicates the ready formation of a 1:3 complex. In fact, a crystalline 1:1 complex of (9) ( $\lambda_{\max}$  430 nm;  $\epsilon$  2900) was obtained when (9) and  $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  were mixed in methanol. In acetate buffer (pH 5.3) at 25 °C, iron(III) was transferred from ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA) to DFB, (8), and (9) with pseudo-first order rate constants ( $k_{\text{tr}} = k_{\text{f}} + k_{\text{r}}$ ) of  $5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $7.3 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $5.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and conversely from DFB, (8), and (9) to EDTA with  $k_{\text{tr}} = 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $6.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. The transfer was virtually complete with the present concentration gradient (from 0.32 mM to 8.3 mM) except for the case of (9) to EDTA where 15% of the iron remained unremoved. These preliminary data suggest that the cyclic compound (9) takes up  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  rather slowly but more firmly than the linear derivatives.

We thank Mr. Hiroaki Katoh for iron transfer experiments.

Received, 5th October 1984; Com. 1412

## References

- 1 K. N. Raymond and C. J. Carrano, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1979, **12**, 183; W. L. Smith and K. N. Raymond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 1252; S. L. Barclay, B. E. Riley, and K. N. Raymond, *ibid.*, 1982, **104**, 6802.
- 2 T. Emery, *Am. Sci.*, 1982, **70**, 626; R. L. Rawls, *Chem. Eng. News*, 1977, **55**(18), 24; 1980, **58**(39), 42; J. B. Neilands, *Science*, 1967, **156**, 1443; 'Inorganic Biochemistry,' ed. G. Eichhorn, Elsevier, New York, 1973, p. 167.
- 3 H. Maehr, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1971, **28**, 603.
- 4 H. Bickel, R. Bosshardt, E. Gäumann, P. Reusser, E. Vischer, W. Voser, A. Wettstein, and H. Zähler, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1960, **43**, 2118.
- 5 W. Keller-Schierlein, V. Prelog, and H. Zähler, *Fortschr. Chem. Org. Naturst.*, 1964, **22**, 279.
- 6 W. Keller-Schierlein and V. Prelog, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1961, **44**, 1981; 1962, **45**, 590.
- 7 This compound was obtained by reaction of *p*-nitrophenyl acrylate with *O*-benzylhydroxylamine: M. Narita, T. Teramoto, and M. Okawara, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1972, **45**, 3149. The methyl ester was prepared as an oil by methanolysis of the nitrophenyl ester.