He2*+, the Experimental Detection of a Remarkable Molecule

Michael Guilhaus,a A. Gareth Brenton,a John H. Beynon,*a Mila RabrenoviC,b and Paul von Rague Schleyerc

^a*Royal Society Research Unit, University College of Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, U. K,*

^b*Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, Yugoslavia*

^cInstitute fur Organische Chemie der Friedrich-Alexander-Universitat, Erlangen-Nurnberg, Henkestrasse 42, 0-8520 Erlangen, Federal Republic of Germany

The smallest possible doubly charged molecule, $He₂²⁺$, has been detected spectroscopically by charge-stripping mass spectrometry.

Helium, the second most abundant form of matter in the universe, is the chemically least reactive element. It owes this property to the filled K shell and to the uniquely high first ionization energy, -24.586 eV.¹ Nevertheless, several singly charged helium molecules with appreciable binding energies are known, *e.g.* HeH⁺ $(D_0^{\circ} = 178.07 \text{ kJ/mol})^2$ and He₂⁺ $(D_0^{\circ}$ = 228.27 kJ/mol).2 The smallest possible doubly charged molecule, \dagger He₂²⁺, hitherto only characterized through quantum mechanical calculations, $2,3,4$ is a remarkable species of potential astrophysical interest and importance in fusion processes. It is indicated, at definitive (James-Coolidge) theoretical levels, to have the shortest internuclear separation in any molecule, 0.704 **A.3** For comparison, the experimental distances in H_2 and in HeH⁺, which also have two-electron bonds, are 0.741 and 0.774 Å, respectively.² The threeelectron bond in He₂+ is somewhat longer, 1.081 Å.² The electrostatic repulsion in He_2^{2+} is enormous. According to

Coulomb's law, the energy released when two point charges 0.704 **8,** apart separate to infinity is 1975 kJ/mol. Indeed, the calculated energy of He_2^{2+} lies far above that of two separated He⁺⁺ ions. Despite this huge driving force for dissociation,

$$
He_2^{2+} \to He^{++} + He^{++}; D^{\circ}{}_{0} = -835.9 \text{ kJ/mol}^3 \tag{1}
$$

 $He₂²⁺$ is predicted theoretically to have a bound (metastable) ground state $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}$ ⁺. The dissociation (equation 1) is inhibited by an energy barrier calculated to be 144.8 kJ/mol.³ How does this barrier arise? For short increases in length from the equilibrium value, the loss in binding energy (which depends roughly on $1/r^2$) is greater than the gain due to the reduction in electrostatic repulsion (which depends on $1/r$). Many metastable doubly charged molecules comprised of only a few atoms are now known, $5,6$ and owe their existence to the same principle. The energy barrier for the dissociation of $He₂²⁺$ should be high enough to permit its experimental observation, but we find no evidence for its generation in the mass spectra of helium or in the collision of α -particles with helium. We now report the first spectroscopic detection of this species.

t HeH*+ **is** not bound, *see* ref. 2.

This has been achieved, albeit with considerable difficulty, by means of the charge-stripping technique which is being used extensively to generate multiply charged species.⁷

The precursor He_2 ⁺ ions were formed by chemical ionization at relatively high pressures (up to *ca.* 0.5 Torr) in the triple sector mass spectrometer described previously.8 These ions, detected in the third field-free region are accelerated to an energy of 7 keV, mass selected by the magnetic sector, and then allowed to interact with the neutral target gas in a collision cell (equation *2).* The voltages applied to the electrostatic sector are scanned so as to transmit ions whose energy-to-charge ratio is *V/2* or slightly less. However, considerable difficulty is encountered in attempts to observe ${}^{4}He_{2}^{2+}$, owing to serious interference from the peak arising from the fragmentation reaction (equation 3). The noise associated with the relatively intense broad peak for 4He+' obscured detection of the ${}^{4}He_{2}^{2+}$ signal expected in the same *V/2* region of the translational energy spectrum. Hence recourse was made to the examination of a 1 : 1 mixture of 3He and 4 He. However, this experiment was foiled by N^+ products formed *via* reaction (4), in the field-free region before the magnet, from N_2 ⁺ generated from a low level of residual N_2 in the ion source. These fragment ions have an apparent mass-to-charge ratio of 7 ($=$ 142/28) and an energy-to-charge ratio of *Vl2.*

$$
He_2^+ + N_2 \to He_2^{2+} + e^- + N_2 \tag{2}
$$

$$
{}^{4}\text{He}_{2}^{+} + \text{N}_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He}^{+} + {}^{4}\text{He} + \text{N}_{2}
$$
 (3)

$$
N_2{}^+\rightarrow N^+ + N\tag{4}
$$

This second source of interference was overcome by employing a second electrostatic analyser. The first two sectors mass select ³He⁴He⁺ and are adjusted to transmit only ions of energy-to-charge ratio *V;* ions produced from reaction (4) are thus excluded. After charge-stripping in the third field-free region of the spectrometer, a spectrum due to 3He4He2+ was clearly evident, albeit at a very low signal

intensity (ca. 6×10^{-19} A). The minimum energy defect (taken to be the onset energy) was measured to be 37 ± 2 eV.

We assign this peak to the bound-bound transitions from the $X^2\Sigma_u^+$ state of He₂⁺ to the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ state of He₂²⁺. There was also some evidence for possible transitions to the $1^1\Sigma_u^+$ or $2^{1}\Sigma_{g}$ ⁺ states^{3,4} of He₂²⁺ as well.⁹ The observation of He₂²⁺ suggests the possibility that other multiply charged homo- or hetero-nuclear clusters comprised of two or more noble gas atoms might exist. We are investigating this possibility both computationally and experimentally.

Received, 29th October 1984; Corn. 1538

References

- C. E. Moore, 'Ionization Potentials and Ionization Limits derived from the Analysis of Optical Spectra,' N.B.S. Ref. Data Series, Natl. Bur. Stand., Washington, D.C. NSRDS-NBS 34, 1970.
- K. P. Huber and G. Herzberg, 'Constants of Diatomic Molecules,' van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1979.
- H. Yagisawa, H. Sato, andT. Watanabe, *Phys. Rev., Sect. A,* 1977, 16, 1352.
- For earlier literature, see ref. 2, p. 298 and ref. 3. Also D. M. Bishop and L. M. Cheung, *Mol. Phys.*, 1979, 38, 1475; M. Castro. J. Keller, and 0. N. Ventura, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 1982, **77,** 6348; **S.** Hora and H. Sato, *J. Phys. B*, 1978, 11, 955; M. Aubert-Frécon, P. Ceyzeriat, A. M. Jorus, and C. Lesech, *ibid.,* 1984, **17,** L7.
- See, for example, J. H. Beynon, R. M. Caprioli, and J. **W.** Richardson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1971.93, 1852; **F.** H. Dorman and J. D. Morrison, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 1963,39, 1906; R. G. Hirsch, R. J. Van Brunt, and W. D. Whitehead, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.,* 1975, **17,** 335; E. W. Thulstrup and **A.** Anderson, *J. Phys. B.,* 1975, **8,** 965.
- P. v. R. Schleyer, *Symposia Preprints, Div. Petroleum Chem. Am. Chem.* Soc., 1983, **28,** 413, and references cited.
- M. Rabrenović, C. J. Proctor, T. Ast, C. G. Herbert, and J. H. Beynon, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1983, 87, 3305.
- 8 M Rabrenović, A. G. Brenton, and J. H. Beynon, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.*, 1983, 52, 175.
- 9 M. Guilhaus, A. G. Brenton, J. H. Beynon, M. Rabrenović, and P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Phys. B.,* **17,** L60.5.