Stereoselective Control of the Reduction of Aryl-P-ketoesters by *ortho* **Aromatic Substituents**

George R. Brown* and Alan J. Foubister

ICI Pharmaceuticals Division, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SKlO 4TG, U.K.

Reduction of 2-allyl-3-phenyl-_B-ketoesters (1) with complex metal hydrides (LiBH₄) gave mainly *erythro* glycols (2) when an *ortho* substituent larger than fluorine was present, but with 2,6-disubstitution **(li)** the *threo* glycol **(3i)** was the main product.

Recently there has been considerable interest in the stereoselective synthesis of compounds with oxygen atoms in a vicinal- or 1,3-stereorelationship, because these features are key constituents of natural products such as the polyether and macrolide antibiotics. Steric control of aldol condensations has been the most useful basis for synthetic approaches to these natural products,' but the stereoselective reduction of ketoesters has also been suggested as an alternative basis.2 Studies of the stereoselective reduction of ketoesters are also of more general interest in relation to the continuing debate3 concerning the steric control of carbonyl group reduction. We describe the reduction by excess of complex metal hydrides in tetrahydrofuran (THF) of aryl-6-ketoesters in which variation of the *ortho* aromatic substitutent led selectively to both *erythro* and *threo* products.

The reduction of phenyl- β -ketoesters, without aromatic ring substituents has been reported⁴ to afford predominately *erythro* glycols with LiAlH₄ in Et₂O and *threo* glycols with KBH4 in MeOH. In contrast we report that reduction of the unsubstituted derivative **(la)** with LiBH4 in THF did not proceed selectively and inclusion of an *ortho* fluorine atom **(lb)** did not affect *erythro* and *threo* product ratios (Table 1). When a ketoester with a single *ortho* substituent was reduced by LiBH4, *erythro* selectivity increased as the steric bulk of the *ortho* group increased, with the exception of the methoxy derivative **(lh),** which was more selective than would have been expected from its size. This increased selectivity found for **(lh)** may be due to chelation of the methoxy group oxygen atom to the reducing lithium species, thereby strengthening the chelation control of the reduction, (A). Reduction of **(lh)** with the more polar $Zn(BH_4)_2$ was, as reported² for other ketoesters, very selective for *erythro* product **(2h).** Here the ester group was reduced in a subsequent step with $Na(MeOCH₂CH₂O)₂AlH₂$. In a similar two-step reduction of **(lh)** starting with KBH4 in MeOH, the *threo* diastereoisomer **(3h)** was the main product. This reversal of steric control may

Table 1. Reduction of β-ketoesters.

$\bf(1)$	Reagent ^a	(2):(3)	% Yield
a	L i BHa , THF	1:1	93
b	$LiBH4$, THF	1:1	90
c	$LiBH4$, THF	1.2:1	63
d	$LiBH4$, THF	1.6:1	80
e	$LiBH4$, THF	3.4:1	64
f	LiBH ₄ . THF	3.6:1	49
g	$LiBH4$, THF	4:1	50
h	$LiBH4$, THF	3:1	69
h	$Zn(BH_4)$ ₂ , THF ^b	17:1	90
h	KBH ₄ , MeOH ^b	1:5	75
i	$LiBH4$, THF	1:4	80
	NaBH ₄ , EtOH	1:4	76
	$LiAlH4$, THF	1:4	78
	LiAlH ₄ , THF $(-70 °C)^b$	All threo	90
	$Zn(BH_4)_2$, THF ^b	All ervthro	33

^a Reactions at 25 °C for 18 h unless otherwise stated. **b** Reaction time 30 min. Intermediate hydroxyester reduced in a subsequent step with $Na(MeOCH₂CH₂O)₂AlH₂$ in toluene.

be explained in terms of the absence of chelation control by $K⁺$ ions in MeOH allowing the ester group to assume a preferred conformation (B) where the ester carbonyl group was close to the electron deficient carbon of the ketone carbonyl group. In this way, approach by hydride ion would be less hindered from the opposite face of the ketone carbonyl group giving mainly *threo* product.

Although a single fluorine atom **(lb)** did not confer any selectivity on LiBH4 reductions, an additional fluorine **(li)** led mainly to *threo* product in contrast to the monosubstituted ketoesters **(1,c-h).** Selectivity for *threo* glycol **(3i)** was maintained with N aBH₄ in EtOH and LiAlH₄ in THF. With LiAlH₄ at -70 °C, selectivity improved to give only (3i). In **(li)** the fluorine atoms will not lie close to the proton on the carbon between the ester and allyl groups or the ketone carbonyl. The aryl ring. must thereby adopt a position orthogonal to the plane of the ketone carbonyl group. Examination of Dreiding stereomodels suggests that one of the fluorine atoms would be close to the allyl group unless steric interactions were resolved by the ester group moving out of chelation with the reagent and towards the ketone carbonyl, (B). This would hinder approach of the reducing species and

account for the *threo* product, an explanation which is consistent with the same selectivity being found for $LiBH₄$ and NaBH₄. In a reduction of (1i) with $\text{Zn}(BH_4)$ ₂ the greater chelation strength of zinc led only to *erythro* glycol **(2i).** Attempts to show generality of this unexpected reversal of stereochemical control by reducing another disubstituted ketoester $(1; R^1 = R^2 = Me)$ with LiAlH₄ or Zn $(BH_4)_2$, were unsuccessful. Complex mixtures of products were obtained and diol products would not ring close to 1,3-dioxanes, so that stereochemical ratios could be ascertained. The effect of temperature on the degree of selectivity of reduction was examined for **(lh).** The ratio of *erythro* to *threo* product from LiBH₄ reductions of (1h) at -70 °C fell, compared to room temperature, to **1.4** : 1.0 whereas at 67 "C the 3 : 1 ratio was maintained.

Structures of the ketoesters and their reduction products were supported by 1H n.m.r. spectra and microanalyses. Assignment of the stereochemistry of the diastereoisomers was based on ¹H n.m.r. spectra of 1,3-dioxanes derived from the diols **(2), (3)** by reaction with 2,2-dimethoxypropane. The diastereoisomer ratios were determined by isolation of the respective 1,3-dioxanes by column chromatography, which gave results identical to those obtained by examination of the ¹H n.m.r. spectra of the crude mixture of 1,3-dioxanes.

We thank Dr. P. N. Edwards for a helpful discussion.

Received, 2nd November 1984; Corn. 1556

References

- 1 P. A. Bartlett, *Tetrahedron,* 1980, **36,** *3.*
- 2 T. Nakata and T. Oishi, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1980, **21,** 1641.
- 3 N. T. Anh, *Top. Curr. Chem.,* 1980,88, 145.
- **4** J. Canceill and J. Jacques, *Bull.* **SOC.** *Chim. Fr.,* 1970, 2180.