



corresponding hydroxy-ketone. However, (1a) resisted hydrolysis under conditions A as well as under more forcing conditions B, indicating that a phenolic hydroxy-group is necessary for hydrolysis. Under conditions A, chloro-ketone (1c) underwent partial hydrolysis whereas (1d) was totally resistant to hydrolysis; but both of them were hydrolysed completely under more vigorous conditions B (See Table 1).

These facts could be rationalized by the intermediacy of the stabilized cation (3) in the hydrolysis reaction. The reactivity pattern observed for (1c) and (1d) could be then attributed to steric hindrance by methyl group(s) preventing the hydroxy-group from being planar with the aryl ring in (3).

In (1e), the keto-group is strongly hydrogen-bonded to the phenolic hydrogen (δ_{OH} 12.0) and is probably not sufficiently

nucleophilic to displace the chlorine even under conditions B.

In the case of (1b), (1c), and (1d) which are hydrolysed via ion (3), the displacement of the chlorine is a 5-*exo-tet* ring closure.¹ A similar reaction with (1f) would be a 6-*exo-tet* process. Normally such 6-*exo-tet* ring closures are much disfavoured as illustrated by Baldwin.² Our results [see (1f) and (1b) in Table 1] thus remarkably reinforce the fact that 5-*exo-tet* ring closures occur more readily than the corresponding 6-*exo-tet* processes.³

Received, 19th January 1987; Com. 057

References

- 1 J. E. Baldwin, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1976, 734.
- 2 J. E. Baldwin and L. I. Kruse, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1977, 233; see also S. Winstein and E. Grunwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1948, **70**, 828; S. Winstein, E. Allred, R. Heck, and R. Glick *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **3**, 1.
- 3 For carbonyl assisted reactions, see P. K. G. Hodgson and S. Warren, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1975, 372; G. Chuchani and R. M. Dominguez, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 1983, **15**, 1275.