Carbon Dioxide Induced Metathesis of $C \equiv N$ and $C \equiv O$ Triple Bonds of Methyl Isocyanide and Carbon Monoxide

Dru L. DeLaet, Phillip E. Fanwick,† and Clifford P. Kubiak*

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, U.S.A.

Metathesis of the C \equiv N triple bonds of the methyl isocyanide ligands of Ni₂(CNMe)₃(dppm)₂, (1), [dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane] and the C \equiv O triple bonds of the carbonyl ligands of Ni₂(CO)₂(CNMe)(dppm)₂, (3), can be achieved thermally or photochemically *via* oxygen atom transfer from carbon dioxide.

The activation of carbon dioxide by transition metal complexes has recently been an area of intense interest.¹ One intriguing line of inquiry involves the use of carbon dioxide as an oxygen atom transfer reagent. However, few precedents for this reaction exist.^{2,3} We report herein the direct transfer of two oxygen atoms from carbon dioxide to co-ordinated methyl isocyanide ligands, resulting in the net conversion of CO_2 and two methyl isocyanides to two carbon monoxides and N,N'-dimethylcarbodiimide, following the isotopic course of equations (1) and (2).

$$O=C=O + :C^*\equiv NMe \rightleftharpoons O=C=NMe + :C^*\equiv O$$
(1)

$$MeN=C=O + :C^*\equiv NMe \rightleftharpoons MeN=C=NMe + :C^*\equiv O \quad (2)$$

We have previously reported the chemistry and photochemistry of Ni₂(CNMe)₃(dppm)₂ (1) with CO₂. Either the reaction of (1) with liquid CO₂ or photolysis of solutions of (1) in the presence of CO₂ (g, 1 atm) affords the CO₂ cycloadduct, Ni₂(μ -CNMe)(CO₂)(CNMe)₂(dppm)₂ (2) [dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane].^{3,5} Under an N₂ atmosphere, tetrahydrofuran (THF)-hexane solutions of pure (2) deposit dark amber crystals of Ni₂(μ -CO)(CO)(CNMe)(dppm)₂ (3) in quantitative yields (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, liquid CO₂, 1400 p.s.i., 24 h, or CO₂ (g, 1 atm), $hv (\lambda = 366 \text{ nm})$; ii, N₂, hexane–THF, 7 days; iii, CO₂ (l), 1400 p.s.i., 24 h, all at 25 °C.

[†] Author to whom correspondence pertaining to crystallographic studies should be addressed.

The structure of (3) is shown in Figure 1.‡ The complex exhibits a *cis-trans* arrangement of the bridging diphosphine



Figure 1. Ortep projection of (3). Non-hydrogen atoms are depicted as 50% probability ellipsoids, hydrogen atoms as spheres of arbitrary size. Pertinent distances (Å) and angles (°): Ni(1)–Ni(2), 2.4004(8); Ni(1)–C(11), 1.863(4); Ni(1)–C(31), 2.185(4); Ni(1) · · · C(21), 2.611(5); Ni(2)–C(21), 1.813(4); Ni(2)–C(31), 1.885(4); Ni(2)–Ni(1)–P(11), 85.34(3); Ni(2)–Ni(1)–P(12), 86.06(3); Ni(2)–Ni(1)–C(11), 169.9(1); P(11)–Ni(1)–P(12), 169.25(5); P(11)–Ni(1)–C(11), 93.2(1); P(12)–Ni(1)–C(11), 94.2(1); P(21)–Ni(2)–P(22), 132.78(5); P(21)–Ni(2)–C(21), 103.9(1); P(22)–Ni(2)–C(31), 91.8(1); P(22)–Ni(2)–C(21), 103.3(1); P(22)–Ni(2)–C(31), 93.0(1); C(21)–Ni(2)–C(31), 139.0(2).

 $\pm Crystal \ data \ for (3): Ni_2P_4O_3NC_{58}H_{55}, M = 1055.41$, space group $P\overline{1}, a = 10.530(2), b = 11.972(3), c = 20.792(4) \text{ Å}, \alpha = 104.47(2), \beta =$ 93.57(2), $\gamma = 95.95(2)^\circ$, U = 2514(2) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.394$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 1100, \mu = 24.97 \text{ cm}^{-1}.6530 \text{ Unique reflections observed, of}$ which 5201 had $I > 3\sigma(I)$, θ -2 θ scans over the range $3 < 2\theta < 112^{\circ}$, graphite monochromator, Cu- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.54181$ Å), T =-152 °C, crystal dimensions $0.31 \times 0.18 \times 0.15$ mm. Data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractomer and an empirical absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved using direct methods and refined using the Enraf-Nonius SDP program to R = 0.055, $R_w = 0.075$, p = 0.070. The largest shift/e.s.d. in the final cycle of least-squares refinement in any parameter was 0.12 and the goodness of fit was 1.664. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

ligands [P(11)–Ni(1)–P(12) 169.25(5)°, P(21)–Ni(2)–P(22) 132.78(5)°] with a Ni–Ni separation of 2.4004(8) Å, consistent with a Ni–Ni single bond. The μ -CO ligand is distinctly unsymmetrical with Ni(1)–C(3) 2.185(4) Å and Ni(2)–C(31) 1.885(4) Å. The co-ordination geometries about the metal centres may be best described as pseudo-tetrahedral about Ni(2) with bond angles ranging from 135.0(2) to 91.8(1)°, and pseudo-square planar about Ni(1) with bond angles ranging from 85.34(3) to 94.2(1)°. These differences in co-ordination geometries about the two metal centres impart a grossly asymmetric overall structure to the complex. The 'mixed geometry' structure exhibited here appears to be quite common for binuclear nickel complexes.^{3a,5,6}

Complex (3) was also satisfactorily characterized by elemental analysis, i.r., and n.m.r. spectroscopy; i.r. (KBr): v(CN) 2109s cm⁻¹, v(CO) 1939s, 1773m cm⁻¹; ³¹P n.m.r. (80.9 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C, relative to 85% H₃PO₄ external): δ 22.63 (AA'BB') p.p.m. ¹H n.m.r. (200 MHz, [²H₈]-THF, 25 °C): δ 2.31 (s, 3H, CNCH₃), 2.54 [br. m, 4H, P–CH₂–P], 7.14 [m, 40H, C₆H₅–].

The structure of (3) reveals the presence of two carbon monoxide ligands, derived from decomposition of the CO₂ cycloadduct (2). Isotope labelling studies with ¹³CNMe indicate that the CO carbon atoms of (3) trace their origin uniquely to the labelled CNMe carbon atoms of (1) or (2). The fate of the CO₂ carbon atom has been determined to be the formation of polymeric N,N'-dimethylcarbodiimide, which was detected by i.r. spectroscopy.⁷ The overall conversion (1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (3) is therefore a C=N/C=O triple bond metathesis mediated by carbon dioxide. In the presence of CO₂ (Scheme 1), the CNMe ligand of (3) undergoes a third metathetical reaction to produce $Ni_2(CO)_3(dppm)_2$, (4).⁴

We thank N.S.F. and D.O.E. for support and also the N.S.F. Chemical Instrumentation Program for generous support of the X-ray Diffraction Facility in the Department of Chemistry.

Received, 1st May 1987; Com. 590

References

- D. A. Palmer and R. van Eldik, *Chem. Rev.*, 1983, **83**(6), 651; D. J. Darensbourg and R. A. Kudaroski, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 129; S. Inoue and H. Koinuma, *Rev. Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, **6**, 291; E. G. Lundquist, J. C. Huffman, and K. G. Caulton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 8309.
- 2 G. R. Lee and N. J. Cooper, Organometallics, 1985, 4(8), 1467;
 J. M. Maher, G. R. Lee, and N. J. Cooper, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 6797.
- 3 (a) D. L. DeLaet, R. del Rosario, P. E. Fanwick, C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 754; (b) J. Wu, P. E. Fanwick, and C. P. Kubiak, Organometallics, in the press.
- 4 G. G. Stanley, J. A. Osborn, and P. H. Bird, *Abst. Pap.-Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **190**, INOR-365.
- 5 D. L. DeLaet and C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted for publication.
- 6 D. L. DeLaet, D. R. Powell, and C. P. Kubiak, Organometallics, 1985, 4, 954; D. L. DeLaet, P. E. Fanwick, and C. P. Kubiak, *ibid.*, 1986, 5, 1807.
- 7 G. Rapi, G. Sbrana, and N. Gelsomini, J. Chem. Soc. C., 1971, 3827.