The Selective Activation of One Methyl Ketone Enantioface via σ-Binding to a Chiral Metal Template: Synthesis and Reactivity of Rhenium Ketone Complexes $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(\eta^{1}-Me(R)C=O)]+PF_{6}^{-1}$

Jesús M. Fernández,^a Kenneth Emerson,^b Raymond D. Larsen,^b and J. A. Gladysz*^a

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A. ^b Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana 59717, U.S.A.

The title compounds (R = Me, Et, Ph) are synthesized from ketones in high yield, structurally characterized, and shown to undergo highly stereoselective nucleophilic attack; secondary alcohols can be made with 95 to ≥99% enantiomeric excess (e.e.)

A general conversion of prochiral ketones to chiral secondary and tertiary alcohols of high optical purity would be of great value in organic synthesis. Accordingly, a number of creative approaches to this problem have recently been published.^{1,2} We now report that the easily generated, chiral rhenium Lewis acid $[(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)]^+$ binds methyl ketones Me(R)C=O in an η^1 (σ) fashion, with the metal syn to the smaller methyl substituent. These ketone complexes undergo essentially stereospecific nucleophilic attack, giving alkoxide complexes that are easily converted to optically active alcohols.

The methyl complex $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)Me(1)^3$ was treated with $HPF_6 \cdot Et_2O$ (CH₂Cl₂, -78°C) to give the co-ordinatively unsaturated, pyramidal cation $[(\eta^{5} C_5H_5$ Re(NO)(PPh₃)]+PF₆⁻ (2), or a CH₂Cl₂ adduct thereof.⁴ Addition of acetone, butan-2-one, and acetophenone (3 equiv., -78 to 20 °C) gave η^1 ketone complexes [(η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(η^1 -CH₃(R)C=O)]⁺ PF₆⁻ (**3a**-c) (see



Scheme 1. Formation and hydride reduction of optically active ketone complexes.

Scheme 1) in 85—88% yields after recrystallization.^{5†} The η^1 co-ordination mode was assigned on the basis of the CO ¹³C n.m.r. resonances (δ 214—236) and the i.r. $\nu_{C=0}$ (1558—1623 cm⁻¹, KBr).⁵ Interestingly, we find that common types of aldehydes bind η^2 (π) to (2),⁶ and we suggest that ketones bind η^1 due to their greater steric bulk and poorer π -acidity.^{‡7}

Acetone complex (3a) exhibited only one ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. Me resonance at -92 to -85 °C. The acetone ligand slowly exchanged with ²H₆-acetone (5—15 equiv., CD₂Cl₂, 35 °C), and application of the McKay equation⁸ to rate data indicated a dissociative mechanism with $k_{\text{diss}} 2.2 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (t_{2} 52 min), too slow to account for the equivalent resonances. One

Crystal data for (3c), $C_{31}H_{28}F_6NO_2P_2Re$, monoclinic, a = 14.348(2), b = 15.206(2), c = 14.770(2) Å, $\beta = 108.44(1)^\circ, U = 108.44(1)^\circ$ 3057.0(6) Å³, space group $P2_1/n$, Z = 4, M = 808.9, $D_c = 1.76$ g cm⁻³, $D_{\rm m} = 1.81 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\mu(\text{Mo-}K_{\alpha})$ 43.9 cm⁻¹; data were collected on a Nicolet R3m/E four circle diffractometer, Mo– K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å; 10615 independent reflections 3° < 20 < 64, of which 5273 unique reflection with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were used for structure refinement, corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and aborption; solution by Patterson analysis, refinement by full-matrix least-squares to R =0.0416 and $R_{\rm w} = 0.0408$. All crystallographic calculations were performed on a Data General Eclipse computer using the SHELXTL program package.¹³ The largest peaks of the final F_o - F_c difference map were about 0.8 e Å³ and were located 1.58 \pm .15 Å from P(2), indicating slight disorder of the PF₆ anion. The large fluorine thermal parameters and the range of defined P-F distances (1.49-1.57 Å) also indicate some disorder. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

 \ddagger Calculated energies of key orbitals in acetaldehyde and acetone (eV): π -13.46, -13.00; η -11.31, -10.93; π^* 4.39, 4.53.7



Scheme 2. Reactions of (3c) with carbon nucleophiles.



Figure 1. Structure of the cation of $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(\eta^{1}-MePhC=O)]^{+}PF_{6}^{-}$ (3c). Key bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Re-O(1) 2.080(5), C(2)-O(1) 1.245(8), Re-N 1.758(5), Re-P(1) 2.397(2), N-O(2) 1.199(7); Re-O(1)-C(2) 138.3(4), N-Re-P(1) 91.3(2), N-Re-O(1) 103.0(2), P(1)-Re-O(1) 85.8(1), Re-N-O(2) 171.4(5); O(1)-C(2)-C(1) 117.5(5).

 $[\]dagger$ New compounds were characterized by microanalysis, i.r., and n.m.r. (^1H, ^13C, ^31P).

possibility is that the methyl groups equilibrate by an intramolecular $\eta^1 \rightleftharpoons \eta^2 \rightleftharpoons \eta^1$ isomerization.

Subject to the caveat posed by the n.m.r. spectra of (**3a**), unsymmetrical ketone complexes (**3b**) and (**3c**) existed as single C=O geometric isomers (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P n.m.r. -92 to 25 °C), both as initially formed and after work-up. It was expected that rhenium would preferentially bind *syn* to the smaller methyl substituent. This was confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure of the acetophenone complex (**3c**) (Figure 1). The ON-Re-O-C torsion angle (θ) was found to be 9°. Thus, the methyl substituent is directed towards the smallest ligand (NO), with a slight cant towards the η^5 -C₅H₅ ligand. This orientation also allows overlap of the rhenium fragment HOMO I (Scheme 1)⁹ with the C=O π^* lobes on oxygen. A possible phenyl-phenyl π interaction is evident in Figure 1, but an X-ray structure of acetone complex (**3a**) shows a similar θ (21°).

When the above reactions were repeated with (+)-(S)- $(1)^{10}$ [\geq 98% enantiomeric excess (e.e.)] ketone complexes (+)-(R)-(3a-c) formed with $[\alpha]_{580}^{25}$ 493, 516, and 625°.¶

The formyl complex $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CHO)$ (4),³ (CH₂Cl₂, 1.0 equiv., -78 to 20 °C) reduced (**3a**-c) to alkoxide complexes (*RS*,*SR*)-($\eta^5-C_5H_5$)Re(NO)(PPh_3)-(OCH(R)Me) (**5a**-c), (67–69% after recrystallization).[†] A ¹H n.m.r. assay showed crude (**5b**) and (**5c**) to be of 96–98 and ≥99% diastereomeric excess, respectively.§ Complex (4) did not react with acetone or butan-2-one (24 h, CDCl₃ or CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C), thus demonstrating that (2) activates ketones towards nucleophilic attack. Treatment of (**5a**-c) with CF₃CO₂H (1.0 equiv., CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C) gave alcohols HOCH-(R)Me (**6a**-c) in 89–91% yields (by ¹H n.m.r.) and 53–56% yields following bulb-to-bulb distillation.

Complex (4) reduced optically active ketone complexes $(+)-(R)-(3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c})$ (Scheme 1) to optically active alkoxide complexes (+)-(RS)-(5a-c), $[\alpha]_{589}^{23}$ 223, 258, and 272°.¶ When these were treated with CF_3CO_2H , the trifluoroacetate complex $(+)-(R)-(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(O_2CCF_3)^{11}$ was isolated in 88-95% yields and ≥99-98% enantiomeric excess (e.e.) This bounds the ketone and alkoxide complexes as $\geq 98\%$ e.e., and establishes the expected⁶ overall retention of configuration from methyl complex (+)-(S)-(1). Alcohols (+)-(S)-(6b) and (-)-(S)-(6c) were isolated as above and shown to be of 95 and >99% e.e. by published ^{1}H n.m.r. methods.¹² To our knowledge, this is the highest e.e. reported for the hydride reduction of butan-2-one.^{2k} The absolute configurations are consistent with a transition state in which the nucleophile attacks the C=O face that is opposite the bulky PPh₃ ligand (Figure 1).

Similarly stereospecific reactions were found with carbon nucleophiles (Scheme 2). Reactions of (**3c**) with $\text{Et}_4\text{N}+\text{CN}^-$ and $\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}\equiv\text{CLi}$ (-78 to 20 °C, 1.0 equiv., CH_2Cl_2 or tetrahydrofuran) gave tertiary alkoxide complexes (*RS*,*SR*)-(η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(OC(CN)PhMe)[(*RS*,*SR*)-(7)] and (*RS*,*SR*)-(η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(OC(C=CSiMe_3)PhMe)-[(*RS*,*SR*)-(**8**)], in 86 and 68% yields, respectively, after recrystallization. No traces of the opposite diastereomers were found in the crude reaction mixtures, and configurations were assigned by analogy to the above hydride reductions.

In summary, we have developed an efficient procedure for the elaboration of methyl ketones to optically active secondary alcohols and tertiary alkoxides. This methodology is probably applicable to any ketone bearing two sterically differentiated substituents.

We thank the N.I.H. for support of this research.

Received, 7th September 1987; Com. 1307

References

- Reviews: M. M. Midland in 'Asymmetric Synthesis,' Vol. 2, ed. J. D. Morrison, Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 56—67; E. R. Grandbois, S. I. Howard, and J. D. Morrison, *ibid.*, pp. 71—90; K. E. Koenig in 'Asymmetric Synthesis,' Vol. 5, ed. J. D. Morrison, Academic Press, Orlando, 1985, pp. 79–80.
- 2 See, inter alia: (a) R. Noyori, I. Tomino, Y. Tanimoto, and M. Nishizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 6709; (b) R. Noyori, I. Tomino, M. Yamada, and M. Nishizawa, ibid., p. 6717; (c) G. Giacomelli, L. Lardicci, and F. Palla, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 310; (d) M. M. Midland and J. I. McLoughlin, *ibid.*, p. 4101; (e) T. Mukaiyama, K. Tomimori, and T. Oriyama, Chem. Lett., 1985, 1359; (f) S. Itsuno, M. Nakano, K. Miyazaki, H. Masuda, K. Ito, A. Hirao, and S. Nakahama, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985, 2039; (g) P. Kvintovics, B. R. James, and B. Heil, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 1810; (h) H. W. Krause and A. K. Bhatnagar, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 302, 265; (i) E. Keinan, E. K. Hafeli, K. K. Seth, and R. Lamed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 162; (j) M. B. Eleveld and H. Hogeveen, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 635; (k) H. C. Brown, J. Chandrasekharan, and P. V. Ramachandran, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3396; (1) T. Imai, T. Tamura, A. Yamamuro, T. Sato, T. A. Wollmann, R. M. Kennedy, and S. Masamune, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 7402.
- 3 W. Tam, G.-Y. Lin, W.-K. Wong, W. A. Kiel, V. K. Wong, and J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 141.
- 4 J. M. Fernández and J. A. Gladysz, Inorg. Chem., 1986, 25, 2672.
- 5 Leading references on ketone complexes: η¹: B. M. Foxman, P. T. Klemarczyk, R. E. Liptrot, and M. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 1980, 187, 253; P. Boudjouk, J. B. Woell, L. J. Radonovich, and M. W. Eyring, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 582; R. H. Crabtree, G. G. Hlatky, C. P. Parnell, B. E. Segmüller, and R. J. Uriarte, Inorg. Chem., 1984, 23, 354; D. R. Crist, Z.-H. Hsieh, C. O. Quicksall, and M. K. Sun, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 2478; P. Courtot, R. Pichon, and J. Y. Salaun, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 286, C17; η²: C. D. Wood and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 5421; G. Erker, U. Dorf, P. Czisch, and J. L. Petersen, Organometallics, 1986, 5, 668; R. M. Waymouth, K. R. Clauser, and R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 6385; W. D. Harman, D. P. Fairlie, and H. Taube, *ibid.*, p. 8233; Surface: N. R. Avery, W. H. Weinberg, A. B. Anton, and R. H. Toby, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1983, 51, 682.
- 6 J. M. Fernández, K. Emerson, R. D. Larsen, and J. A. Gladysz, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 8268.
- 7 Y.-O. Wu and K. N. Houk, unpublished results, U.C.L.A. See also, E. Vedejs, D. A. Perry, K. N. Houk, and N. G. Rondan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, **105**, 6999.
- 8 J. H. Espenson, 'Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Mechanisms,' McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981, pp. 50-55.
- 9 W. A. Kiel, G.-Y. Lin, A. G. Constable, F. B. McCormick, C. E. Strouse, O. Eisenstein, and J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4865; S. Georgiou and J. A. Gladysz, Tetrahedron, 1986, 42, 1109.
- 10 (a) J. H. Merrifield, C. E. Strouse and J. A. Gladysz, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 1204; (b) For conventions on R/S nomenclature, see footnote 15, W. A. Kiel, G.-Y. Lin, G. S. Bodner, and J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 4958.
- 11 J. H. Merrifield, J. M. Fernández, W. E. Buhro, and J. A. Gladysz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 4022.
- 12 (+)-(S)-(6b): J. A. Dale, D. L. Dull, H. S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 1969, 34, 2543; (-)-(S)-(6c): H. L. Goering, J. N. Eikenberry, G. S. Koermer, and C. J. Lattimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 1493; G. R. Sullivan, D. Ciavarella, and H. S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 2411.
- 13 G. M. Sheldrick, 'SHELXTL,' Nicolet Analytical Instruments, Madison, Wisconsin, 1983.

[§] Authentic samples of both diastereomers of (**5b**) and (**5c**) have been prepared from the triflate complex $(\eta^{5-}C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})-(OSO_{2}CF_{3})^{11}$ and the corresponding alkoxides.

[¶] c 0.0010 g ml⁻¹ (CHCl₃, unless noted) for all $[\alpha]$.