Facile Ramberg–Bäcklund Reactions for the Synthesis of 2,3-Disubstituted Cyclopentenones; a Short Synthetic Route to Tetrahydrodicranenone B

Guy Casy and Richard J. K. Taylor*

School of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, U.K.

The Ramberg–Bäcklund reaction has been employed to prepare protected 2,3-disubstituted cyclopent-3-enones which have been converted into cyclopent-3- and -2-enones; the α -iodosulphone precursors were obtained by a double Michael approach using a three-component coupling sequence to introduce the alkyl substituents and this methodology has been used to develop a short synthetic route to the antimicrobial natural product, tetrahydrodicranenone B (1).

Substituted cyclopentenones are widespread in nature and considerable effort has been directed towards devising procedures for their preparation. We required a convergent approach to 2,3-disubstituted cyclopentenones which would provide access to both Δ^3 - and Δ^2 -isomers. We now report the successful realisation of this goal and illustrate the methodology with a short synthetic route to the antimicrobial natural product, tetrahydrodicranenone B(1).^{1,2} The key synthetic step involves the facile ($-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$, $<1\,\text{h}$) Ramberg–Bäcklund reaction of α -iodosulphone (8). A related approach to cyclopentenones, recently published by Matsuyama *et al.*³ is limited to monosubstituted derivatives and requires longer reaction times at room temperature or higher.

Scheme 1 illustrates the overall methodology. We recently reported⁴ that 3-methyoxycarbonylthiin-4-one (2) undergoes conjugate addition with a range of organocopper reagents to give 2-substituted β-keto esters which, after alkylation and demethoxycarbonylation, give the corresponding 2,3-disubstituted compounds. The generality of this three-component coupling sequence was limited by the problems encountered with the demethoxycarbonylation reaction. These problems can be overcome by the use of allyl ester (3).† Treatment of compound (3) with butylmagnesium bromide/cat. CuBr·SMe₂ gave the conjugate adduct (4a) which was alkylated to produce compound (5a). Decarboxyallylation was then efficiently achieved using Pd(PPh₃)₄-morpholine⁵ to give sulphide (6a) in 87% yield as a cis, trans mixture. Oxidation to sulphone (7a) was followed by a second Michael sequence using Me₃SiI/ ethylene glycol6 to generate the Ramberg-Bäcklund precursor (8a). Treatment of α -iodosulphone (8a) with KOBu^t at -78 °C produced the protected cyclopent-3-enone (9a) in 78% yield. Hydrolysis of compound (9a) using pyridinium tosylate⁷ gave the Δ^3 -enone (10); the use of HCl gave the Δ^2 -isomer (11).

This methodology was applied to the synthesis of tetrahydrodicranenone B (1) in the following way. 3-Allyloxy-carbonylthiin-4-one (3) was subjected to the 3-component coupling/Ramberg-Bäcklund sequence to give the requisite cyclopentene (9b') in 6 steps (16% overall yield, unoptimised) as shown in Scheme 1 (series b). Hydrolysis of compound

† All new compounds gave consistent spectral and analytical or mass spectrometric data.

a; $R^1 = C_4H_9$, $R^2 = CH_2CH=CH_2$

b; $R^1 = (CH_2)_8OSiMe_2Bu^t$, $R^2 = CH_2C \equiv CCH_2Me$

b'; $R^1 = (CH_2)_8OH$, $R^2 = CH_2C \equiv CCH_2Me$

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, R¹MgBr, 2.5% CuBr⁺SMe₂ (a, 64%; b, 60%); ii, NaH, R²Br (a, 69%; b, 82%); iii, 5% Pd(PPh₃)₄—morpholine (a, 87%; b, 93%); iv, *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (a, 84%; b, 83%); v, Me₃SiI, HOCH₂CH₂OH (a, 69%; b, 65%); vi, KOBu¹, -78°C, 30 min (a, 78%; b, 65%); vii, pyridinium tosylate, aq. acetone (78%); viii, 5% aq. HCl (81%).

CO₂H

(1)

CO₂H

(2)
$$R = Me$$

(3) $R = CH_2CH = CH_2$

(4a,b)

(3) $R = CH_2CH = CH_2$

(6a,b)X=S

(5a,b)

(9a)

(9a)

(10)

(11)

Scheme 2. Reagents: i, 5% aq. HCl (95%); ii, H_2 , 10% Pd-C (85%, containing impurities, see text); iii, Bu^tMe_2SiCl , Et_3N , 4-N, N-dimethylaminopyridine; iv, Pt, O_2 ; v, H_2 , Pd-BaSO₄ then H_3O^+ .

(9b') with HCl produced the enone (12) which on catalytic hydrogenation gave the Z-alkene (13) contaminated by the corresponding E-isomer and over-reduced analogue, (Scheme 2). Alternatively, silylation of (12) gave (14) which has been reported² to undergo smooth, stereoselective alkyne reduction. Compounds (13) and (14) were spectroscopically identical to authentic samples which have been converted² into tetrahydrodicranenone B (1) in 1 and 3 steps, respectively.

We are grateful to the S.E.R.C. for the award of a studentship (G. C.), to the Royal Society of Chemistry for a Hickinbottom Fellowship (R. J. K. T.), and to Dr. C. J. Moody for providing comparison n.m.r. spectra.

Received, 5th November 1987; Com. 1610

References

- 1 K. Sakai, T. Fujimoto, M. Yamashita, and K. Kondo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 2089, and references therein.
- ² C. J. Moody, S. M. Roberts, and J. Toczek, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1986, 1292, and references therein.
- 3 H. Matsuyama, Y. Miyazawa, Y. Takei, and M. Kobayashi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, **52**, 1703 and references therein.
- 4 G. Casy, S. Lane, and R. J. K. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 1, 1986, 1397.
- 5 H. Kunz and H. Waldmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 71.
- 6 G. L. Larson, and R. Klesse, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 3627.
- 7 R. Sterzycki, Synthesis, 1979, 724.