Mechanism of Protonation of Side-on Bonded Vinylidene Complexes; Structural Evidence for the Formation of a Cationic Di-molybdenum Complex containing an Asymmetrically Bridged Ally1 Ligand

Grainne C. Conole,^a Simon F. T. Froom,^b Michael Green,^b and Mary McPartlin^a

a School of Chemistry, The Polytechnic of North London, Holloway Road, London N7 8DB, U.K.

Department of Chemistry, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.

 α -Protonation of side-on bonded vinylidenes is indicated by the observations that reaction of CF₂CO₂D with $[Mo_{2}(µ \text{-} \sigma, \eta^{2}(4e)C=CH_{2})(CO)_{4}(\eta \text{-} C_{5}H_{5})_{2}]$ affords $[Mo_{2}(\sigma \text{-}OC(O)CF_{3})(\eta \text{-}CD=CH_{2})(CO)_{4}(\eta \text{-} C_{5}H_{5})_{2}]$ whereas, CF₃CO₂H and *[Mo₂ (μ-σ, η²(4e)C=CD₂) (CO)₄(η-C₅H₅)₂] gives [Mo₂{σ-OC(O)CF₃}(μ-CH=CD₂)-* $(CO)_{4}(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂]; in contrast, reaction of HBF₄.Et₂O with $[Mo_2(\mu \cdot \sigma, \eta^2(4e)C=CMe_2)(CO)_4(\eta \cdot C_5H_5)_2]$ leads to loss of CO and formation of the Mo₂ triple bonded cation $[Mo_{2}(\mu-\eta^{3}-2\text{-MeC}_{3}H_{4})(CO)_{3}(\eta-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}][BF_{4}]$, an asymmetrically bridged allyl complex which is also formed on protonation of $[Mo_2(\mu \cdot \sigma : \eta^3\text{-CHC}(Me)CH_2)(CO)_4(\eta \cdot C_5H_5)_2].$

It has been suggested¹⁻³ that surface bound vinylidenes might have a role in the Fischer-Tropsch reaction, and this has focused attention on the development of the chemistry of vinylidene ligands⁴ co-ordinated onto mono-, di-, and trinuclear centres. Recently,^{5,6} the side-on bonding mode, *i.e.*, μ - σ , η ²(4e), has been recognised for dinuclear vinylidene complexes and clearly it is important to understand and compare the reactivity of such species with that of conventional upright $[\mu-\sigma,\sigma(2e)]$ bonded vinylidenes.⁷ In establishing the regioselectivity of protonation reactions we have obtained structural evidence for the formation of an unusually bonded bridged allyl system.

Protonation (-78 °C, toluene) of $[Mo_2(\mu-\sigma,\eta^2(4e)C=CH_2)$ - $(CO)₄(\eta-C₅H₅)₂$ ¹⁶ (1) with trifluoroacetic acid afforded[†] bright red crystals of the bridged vinyl complex $[Mo₂{\sigma}$ -OC(O)CF₃ $(\mu$ -CH=CH₂ $(CO)_{4}(\eta$ -C₅H₅ $_{2}$] (2). This reaction can be explained if a proton is delivered either directly or indirectly^{\ddagger} to the α or carbenoid carbon of (1) followed by capture of the resulting unsaturated μ -vinyl cation with trifluoroacetate anion. There is, however, an alternative pathway from **(1)** to **(2),** which is suggested by recent studies with μ -carbyne $Fe₂8$ and $Os₃9$ complexes. This involves delivery of a proton to the β -carbon of the μ - σ , η ²(4e)-C=CH₂ fragment of **(1)** resulting in the formation of a cationic bridged μ -carbyne complex $[Mo_2(\mu$ -CCH₃)(CO)₄(η -C₅H₅)₂]- $[CF₃CO₂]$, which then undergoes a 1,2-hydrogen shift from

t **A** similar reaction has been reported (ref. *5)* between [Mo,{p- σ , η ²(4e)C=CH₂}(CO)₄(η -C₅Me₅)₂] and CF₃CO₂H.

 \ddagger Protonation of the molybdenum atom which carries the μ -vinylidene, *i.e.* Mo=C=CH₂, followed by migratory insertion of the hydrogen onto the a-carbon would also lead to the same regioselectivity. It is planned to carry out calculations which should help to distinguish between these two paths.

the methyl carbon to the electron deficient carbyne carbon thus generating the μ -vinyl ligand. We have distinguished between these two pathways by deuterium labelling experiments. Protonation $(-78 \degree C,$ toluene) of (1) with CF_3CO_2D C_5H_5)₂. § Secondly, reaction of CF_3CO_2H with $[Mo_2(\mu \sigma$, η^2 (4e)C=CD₂}(CO)₄(η -C₅H₅)₂], which was synthesised by deprotonation⁶ [-78 °C, BuⁿLi, tetrahydrofuran (thf)] of $[Mo_2(\mu-DC_2D)(CO)_4(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$ followed by quenching $(-78^{\circ}C)$ with CF_3CO_2D , gave $[Mo_2{\sigma}OC(O)CF_3]$. afforded $[Mo_2\{\sigma\text{-}OC(O)CF_3\}(\mu\text{-}CD=\text{CH}_2)(CO)_4(\eta\text{-}C)$

9 *Selected spectroscopic data* for compound **(1):** n.m.r. IH (CDCI3), 6 5.44 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 5.30 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 3.44 [d, 1H, =CHH, *J* (HH) 14.2 Hz], 2.83 [d, 1H, =CHH, 14.2 Hz]; ¹³C-{¹H} (CDCl₃), δ 329.5 (Mo=C), 241.8, 231.6, 231.0, 230.3 (CO), 94.6 ($\dot{C}_5\dot{H}_5$), 93.0 (C₅H₅), 40.0 (Mo=C=CH₂); v_{CO} (hexane) 1976w, 1928s, 1905m, 1868w cm⁻¹. Compound $(2-D)$: n.m.r. ¹H (CDCl₃), δ 9.05 (dd, CH=CH₂, J(H,H) 12, **J**(H,H) 8 Hz, 10%), 5.32 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 5.16 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.00 (bs, 1H, CD=CH₂), 3.30 (bs, 1H, CD=CH₂); ²D (CH₂Cl₂), δ 9.05 p.p.m. (bs, 1D, CD=CH₂). Compound (2-D₂): n.m.r. ¹H (CDCl₃), δ 9.05 (bs, lH, CH=CD2), 5.32 **(s, 5H,** C5H5), 5.16 **(s,** 5H, C5HS), 4.00 $[d, CH=CH_2$, residual proton <5%, *J* (HH) 12 Hz], 3.30 [d, CH=CH₂, residual proton **<5%,** *J* (HH) 8 Hz]. Compound **(4):** n.m.r. *H (CD2C12, room temperature), 6 5.66 **(s,** 5H, C5H5), 5.41 **(s,** 5H, C5Hs), 3.05 (br. **s,** 2H), 2.56 (s, 3H, Me), 1.95 (br. **s,** 2H); IH 1H, H^a , J (H^aH^c) 3.3 Hz] 2.56 (s, 3H, Me), 2.43 [dd, 1H, H^c , J (H^aH^c) **3.3,J(HcHd)1.9Hz],2.36[d,1H,Hd,J(HdH~)1.9Hz],1.53(s,1H,** (CO), 111.5 [CH,C(Me)CH], 98.5 **(C5H5),** 95.3 (C5H5), 43.1 [at, *CH,, J* (CH) 160 Hz], **25.0** [at, *CH:,, J* (CH) 150 Hz], 29.6 [Me,J(CH) 130 Hz]; v_{CO} (CH₂Cl₂) 1999w, 1938m, 1920 sh cm⁻¹. Compound **(5)**:
n.m.r. ¹H (CDCl₂, -40 °C), δ 7.61—7.40 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.78 (s, 5H, lH, **Hc,** *J* (HcHa) 3.9, *J* (HcHd) 2.8 **Hz],** 2.37 [d, lH, Hd, *J* (HdHc) 2.8 Hz], 1.83 **(s,** lH, Hb). $(CD_2Cl_2, -60\degree C)$, δ 5.72 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 5.47 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 3.59 [d, Hb); '3C-{'H}(CD2C12, -6O"C), **S** 233.4 (CO), **228.8** (CO), 228.1 C₅H₅), 5.07 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.12 [d, 1H, H^a, *J* (H^aH^c) 3.9 Hz], 2.85 [dd,

Scheme 1. $X = BF_4$ or CF_3SO_3 ; i, $HBF_4 \cdot Et_2O$, or CF_3SO_3H ; ii, $-CO$.

Figure 1. The structure of the novel asymmetrically bridging allyl complex $[Mo_2(\mu \text{-} \sigma : \eta^3 \text{-} CH_2C(Ph)CH_2)(CO)_3(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5H_5)_2][CF_3SO_3]$ *(5).* Principal bond lengths **(A)** and angles *(O):* Mo(1)-Mo(2) 2.561(2), $Mo(1)-C(1)$ 2.462(18), $Mo(1)-C(4)$ 1.975(19), $Mo(1)-C(5)$ 2.016(18), MO(I)-C(6) 2.692(20), Mo(2)-C(l) 2.364(18), Mo(2)- $C(2)$ 2.197(17), Mo(2)-C(3) 2.317(16), Mo(2)-C(6) 1.937(20), C(1)- $C(2)$ 1.450(23), $C(2)$ – $C(3)$ 1.455(25), $C(2)$ – $C(211)$ 1.478(20); $C(1)$ – Mo(l)-M0(2) 56.1(4), C(l)-M0(2)-Mo(l) 59.8(4), C(2)-M0(2)- Mo(1) 96.1(4), C(2)-Mo(2)-C(l) 36.8(6), C(3)-M0(2)-Mo(l) $107.8(4)$, C(3)-Mo(2)-C(1) 63.5(6), C(3)-Mo(2)-C(2) 37.5(6), C(3)- $Mo(2)-C(1)$ 63.5(6), $C(3)-Mo(2)-C(2)$ 37.5(6), $Mo(2)-C(1)-Mo(1)$ 64.1(5), C(2)–C(1)–Mo(1) 128(1), C(2)–C(1)–Mo(2) 65.3(9), C(1)– C(2)-Mo(2) 78(1), C(3)-C(2)-Mo(2) 76(1), C(3)-C(2)-C(1) 116(1), $C(2)$ – $C(3)$ – $Mo(2)$ 66.8(9).

 $(\mu$ -CH=CD₂)(CO)₄(η -C₅H₅)₂] there being no evidence for deuterium leakage onto the α -carbon of the vinyl ligand. Thus, these observations strongly support the former mechanism, *i.e.*, α-protonation.

Additional insight into the reaction paths available in the protonation reaction came from a study of the related reactions of β , β' -disubstituted vinylidenes such as $[Mo_2/\mu$ - σ **,η²(4e)C=CMe₂}(CO)₄(η-C₅H₅)₂]¹⁰ (3)**, which when protonated with $CF₃CO₂H$ undergoes an analogous reaction leading to the formation of $\left[Mo_{2}(\sigma-OC(\overrightarrow{O})CF_{3})\right](\mu-CH=$ CMe_2)(CO)₄(η -C₅H₅)₂]. However, protonation of (3) with $HBF₄·Et₂O$ did not afford a stable μ -vinyl complex. Instead the ¹H and ¹³C-{¹H} n.m.r. spectra§ of the cationic product **(4)** showed the presence of only *one* methyl group and three terminal carbonyl ligands. Interestingly, the same cation was obtained on protonation¶ (HBF₄ \cdot Et₂O or CF₃SO₃H) of the μ -allylidene complex $\left[Mo_{2}\{\mu\text{-}\sigma:\eta^{3}\text{-}CH\text{-}C(Me)CH_{2}\}(CO)_{4}(\eta\text{-}C)$ C_5H_5)₂] formed on thermolysis⁶ (70 °C, hexane, 4 h) of $[Mo_2{\mu \cdot \sigma}, \eta^2(4e)C=CMe_2\} (CO)_4(\eta - C_5H_5)_2]$. An insight into the structural identity of **(4)** was gained from an X-ray diffraction study|| of the related cation (5), which was prepared by reaction (-78 °C, CH₂Cl₂) of CF₃SO₃H with [Mo₂{ μ - σ : η ³- $CHC(Ph)CH₂$ }(CO)₄(η -C₅H₅)₂].⁶

 \llbracket Addition (-78 °C) of K[BHBus₃] to a tetrahydrofuran (thf) suspension of **(4)** results in a **deprotonation/disproportionation** reaction and formation (30%) of $[Mo_2{\mu \sigma : \eta^3 \text{-CH} \cdot \text{C}(Me)CH_2}$ - $(CO)_{4}(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂].

Recently a complex has been reported in which an η^3 -allyl group bonded to Mo is involved in an agostic interaction to a Mn atom. C. M. Hay, A. D. Horton, M. **J.** Mays, and P. R. Raithby, *Polyhedron,* 1988, **7,** 897.

The structure** of the protonated product *(5)* is shown in Figure 1. The metal-metal bond length of 2.561(2) **A** is

comparable to that found for other formally triply bonded dimolybdenum structures.¹¹ This compound is the first structurally characterised cationic species with a μ -allyl ligand, which adopts a markedly different bridging role to that usually observed where the central C-atom bonds symmetrically to both metal atoms.12 In *(5),* the unusual bonding may be envisaged as n^2 -co-ordination to Mo(2) only [Mo(2)–C(2) 2.197(17) and Mo(2)-C(3) 2.317(16) **A],** and a 3c-2e interaction between the α -carbon and both metal atoms [Mo(1)–C(1) 2.462(18) and Mo(2)–C(1) 2.364(18) Å]. This type of three centred bonding is rare for alkyl ligands,^{13,14} and has not been previously observed for an allyl group. Variable temperature n.m.r. studies§ show that in solution there is a dynamic process which on the n.m.r. time scale equilibrates the two ends of the allyl ligand, *i.e.*, $H^a \rightleftharpoons H^c$, $H^b \rightleftharpoons H^d$.

It is reasonable to suggest that the formation of **(4)** involves α -protonation of the μ - σ , η ²(4e) bonded vinylidene to form a co-ordinatively unsaturated vinyl species. Unlike the reaction with CF_3CO_2H , an agostic Mo(μ -H)C interaction with one of the β -methyl groups intervenes when the counteranion is **BF4-.** This sets things up for transfer, *via* the metal, of a hydrogen from one end of the C_3 chain to the other (see Scheme 1), thus allowing formation of the cation **(A)**. This same cation is apparently accessed by α -protonation of the μ -allylidene complex $[Mo_2{\mu \sigma : \eta^3-CHC(Me)CH_2{CO)_4(\eta-}$ C_5H_5)₂. This latter reaction relates to the formation¹⁵ of $[Mo_2(\mu-C_8Me_8)(\mu_{Mo,C}-H)(\eta-C_5H_5)_2][BF_4]$ from the bis- μ -allylidene complex $[Mo_2(\mu-C_8Me_8)(\eta-C_5H_5)_2]$. However, in the carbonyl substituted system the reaction does not stop at either (A) or (B) (Scheme 1), instead carbon monoxide is lost and stability is achieved by formation of a metal-metal multiple bond. Examination of the i.r., 1H and ^{13}C n.m.r. spectra§ of **(4)** and *(5)* showed that there was no evidence for

Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

an agostic $Mo(\mu-H)C$ interaction.¹⁶ This leads to the important conclusion that although in mononuclear systems unsaturation at a metal centre frequently leads to stabilisation by an agostic $M(\mu-H)C$ interaction, in dinuclear and perhaps in polynuclear systems alternative modes of achieving stability may over-ride $M(\mu-H)C$ interactions.

We thank the S.E.R.C. for support and a studentship **(S.** F. T. F.).

Received, 16th August 1988; Corn. 8/03319F

References

- 1 W. Erley, P. H. McBreen, and **H.** Ibach, J. *Catal.,* 1983,84,229.
- 2 L. E. McCandlish, J. *Catal.,* 1983, **83,** 362.
- 3 C. Zheng, Y. Apeloig, and R. Hoffmann, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1988, 110, 749, and references therein.
- 4 M. **I.** Bruce and A. G. Swincer, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.,* 1983, 22, 60.
- *5* N. M. Doherty, C. Elschenbroich, H.-J. Kneuper, and **S. A.** R. Knox, J. *Chem.* **Soc.,** *Chem. Commun.,* 1985, 170.
- *6* R. J. Mercer, M. Green, and A. G. Orpen, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* , 1986,567.
- 7 Protonation of upright dinuclear vinylidenes; Rh_2 : Y. N. Al-Obaidi, N. D. White, G. E. Taylor, and M. Green,J. *Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1982,319; Fez: *C.* P. Casey, **S.** R. Marder, and P. J. Fagan, J. *Am. Chern. SOC.,* 1983, 105,7197.
- 8 C. P. Casey, P. J. Fagan, W. H. Miles, and **S.** R. Marder, J. *Mol. Catal.,* 1983, 21, 173.
- 9 M. Green, **A.** G. Orpen, and C. J. Schaverien, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Cornmun.,* 1984, 37.
- 10 **S.** F. T. Froom, **M.** Green, R. J. Mercer, K. R. Nagle, A. G. Orpen, and **S.** Schwiegk, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1986, 1666.
- 11 F. A. Cotton and R. A. Walton, in 'Multiple Bonds between Metal Atoms,' John Wiley, New York, 1982, **p.** 183.
- 12 H. Wernerand **A.** Kuhn,Angew. *Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1977,16, 412.
- 13 C. Kruger, J. C. Sekutowski, H. Berke, and R. Hoffmann, *2. Naturforsch., Teil B.,* 1978, **33,** 1110.
- 14 More often one of the C-H bonds of the bridging alkyl also interacts with one of the metals forming a $M(\mu-H)C$ interaction: see R. B. Calvert and J. R. Shapley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, 100, 7726; G. M. Dawkins, M. Green, A. G. Orpen, and F. G. **A.** Stone, *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.,* 1982, 41; J. C. Jeffrey, A. G. Orpen, W. T. Robinson, F. G. A. Stone, and M. J. Went, *ibid.,* 1984, 396.
- 15 M. Green, N. C. Norman, A. G. Orpen, and C. J. Schaverien, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1984, 2455.
- 16 M. Brookhart and M. **L.** H. Green, J. *Organomet. Chem.,* 1983, **250,** 395.

^{**} *Crystal data* for (5): $C_{23}H_{19}Mo_{2}O_{6}S$, $M = 672.25$, orthorhombic, space group *Pbca, a* = 20.665 (4), *b* = 20.274 (4), *c* = 11.446 (2) **A,** *U* $=$ 4795.44 \AA ³, $Z = 8$, $D_c = 1.86$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 2656$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}_{\alpha})$ 10.70 cm-1. Data were collected **on** a Philips PW 1100 diffractometer in the θ -range 3-25°, with a scan width of 0.70°. Equivalent reflections were merged to give 1757 absorption corrected data with *I/o (I)* >3.0. *R* 0.0619 and R_w 0.0582 with weights of $w = 1/\sigma^2 F_o$ assigned to the individual reflections.