A New Route to Vinylcarbene Metal Complexes in One Step from 2-Propyn-1-ols and Arene Ruthenium(II) Derivatives

Hubert Le Bozec,* Khalid Ouzzine, and Pierre H. Dixneuf*

Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination Organique (UA-CNRS 415), Campus de Beaulieu, Université de Rennes, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Complex (C_6Me_6)RuCl₂(PMe₃) (1) reacts at room temperature with HC=CCR₂OH and NaPF₆ in methanol to produce either [(C_6Me_6)Ru{=C(OMe)CH₂CR₂OMe}Cl(PMe₃)]PF₆ (R = H), (2)] or [(C_6Me_6)Ru{=C(OMe)CH=CR₂}]PF₆ (R \neq H), (3)—(5)] derivatives *via* an allenylidene–ruthenium intermediate, electrochemical studies of (3)—(5) show a reversible Ru^{III}/Ru^{III} oxidation process and irreversible oxidation of the double bond.

Vinylcarbene metal derivatives show potential as metal containing unsaturated substrates. They offer dienophile activation of the carbon-carbon double bond in cycloaddition reactions,¹ provide a route to vinylcyclopropane derivatives by coupling reactions with alkenes,^{2,3} and are intermediates in alkyne polymerisation.^{4,5} Several methods have been elaborated for the preparation of vinylcarbene-metal derivatives. They involve either the addition of aldehydes or ketones to deprotonated carbene metal complexes,^{6,7} the addition of vinyl-lithium to metal carbonyl complexes followed by alkylation,⁸ the protonation of dienylmetal derivatives,² the hydroxide elimination of 3-hydroxy, prop-2-enyl metal derivatives³ or the alkylation of vinylacyl-metal compounds.⁹ Each of these multi-step reactions has been carried out with metal carbonyl complexes. A vinylcarbene derivative of early transition metal has been obtained by coupling of an alkyne with an alkylidene-tantalum complex.¹⁰ Here we report our initial results concerning the preparation of the first vinylcarbene d6 metal complexes which do not contain carbonyl ligands, in one step by activation of 2-propyn-1-ols with arene ruthenium(11) derivatives. This reaction supports allenylideneruthenium intermediates.

Arene RuCl₂(PR₃) complexes were shown to be efficient catalyst precursors for the addition of ammonium carbamates and carboxylic acids to 2-propyn-1-ols.¹¹ In an attempt to gain insight into the reaction we have investigated the stoicheiometric interaction between (η^6 -C₆Me₆)RuCl₂(PMe₃) (1) and 2-propyn-1-ol derivatives. Complex (1) was treated in methanol with an excess (2.5 equiv.) of 2-propyn-1-ol in the presence of 1 equiv. of NaPF₆. After 10 min of reaction at room temperature red crystals of (2) were isolated (74%) yield).⁺ The same complex (2) was also formed by a similar

† Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for (2)-(5). Selected spectroscopic data for: (2): I.r. (Nujol) 1280 (w, v_{C-O}); 840 (s, v_{P-F}) cm⁻¹; ${}^{31}P{{}^{1}H}$ n.m.r. (32.38 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 309 K) δ 8.8 (s, PMe_3 , -145.2 (sept, PF_6 -); ¹H n.m.r. (300.13 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 297 K) δ 4.60 (s, 3H, MeOC=Ru), 3.77 and 3.71 (AB q, 2H, =C-CH₂, ²J_{HH} 13 Hz), 3.86 and 3.38 (AB q, 2H, -CH₂-O, ²J_{HH} 24 Hz), 3.29 (s, 3H, CH₂-OMe), 2.10 (s, 18H, C₆Me₆), 1.37 (d, 9H, PMe₃, ²J_{PH} 10.7 Hz); ¹³C{¹H} n.m.r. (75.46 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 297 K) δ 325.8 (d, Ru=C, ²J_{PC} 21.2 Hz), 107.9 (s, C₆Me₆), 69.5 (s, -CH₂-O), 67.7 (S, =COMe), 58.9 (s, CH_2OMe), 51.1 (s, =C- CH_2 -), 16.5 (s, C_6Me_6), 15.7 (d, PMe_3 , ²*J*PC 32.5 Hz). (3): I.r. (Nujol) 1595 (w, $v_{C=C}$); 1280 (w, $v_{C=O}$); $^{31}P{^{1}H}$ n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 213 K) δ 7.7 (s, PMe₃) δ -143.8 (sept, PF₆-); ^{1}H n.m.r. (300.13 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 297 K) δ 6.68 (s, 1H, HC=), 4.73 (s, 3H, -OMe), 1.94 (s, 3H, =CMe), 1.90 (s, 3H, =CMe); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ n.m.r. (75.46 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 297 K) δ 306.9 (d, $\begin{aligned} & \text{Ru}=\text{C}, \, ^{2}J_{PC} \, 18.9 \, \text{Hz}), \, 149.4 \, (\text{s},=\text{C}-\text{Me}), \, 138.7 \, (\text{d},=\text{CH}, \, ^{2}J_{PC} \, 6.0 \, \text{Hz}), \\ & 68.7 \, (\text{s}, \, \text{OMe}), \, 28.3 \, (\text{s},=\text{C}\textit{Me}), \, 23.5 \, (\text{s},=\text{C}\textit{Me}). \, (\text{4}): \, \text{I.r.} \, (\text{Nujol}) \, 1590 \end{aligned}$ $(w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C-O}); {}^{31}P{}^{1}H n.m.r. (121.496 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, 213)$ K) δ 7.35 (s, PMe₃), -143.50 (sept., PF₆⁻); ¹H n.m.r. (300.134 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 297 K) & 6.54 (s, 1H, HC=), 4.65 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.71-1.46 [m, 10H, (CH₂)₅]; ¹³C{¹H} n.m.r. (75.469 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 297 K) δ 310.15 (d, Ru=C, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ 22.44 Hz), 155.93 [s, =C (CH₂)₅], 134.74 (s, HC=), 68.84 (s, OMe), 33.36, 29.30, 28.16, 26.16 [s, (\tilde{CH}_2)₅. (5): 1.r. (Nujol) 1590 (w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C=O}); ³¹P{¹H} n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, ³¹C) (w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C=O}); ³¹P{¹H</sup> n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, ³¹C) (w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C=O}); ³¹P{¹H</sup> n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, ³¹C) (w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C=O}); ³¹P{¹H</sup> n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, ³¹C) (w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C=O}); ³¹P{¹H</sup> n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, ³¹C) (w, v_{C=C}), 1280 (w, v_{C=O}); ³¹P{¹H</sup> n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, ³¹C) (w, v_{C=C}), ³¹P{¹H} n.m.r. (121.49 MHz), ³¹P{¹H} n.m CD_2Cl_2 , 297 K) δ 5.2 (s, PMe₃), δ -143.5 (sept, PF₆⁻); ¹H n.m.r. (300.13 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 297 K) & 7.50 (s, 1H, HC=), 7.35 (s, 10H, Ph₂), 4.11 (s, 3H, OMe); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ n.m.r. (75.46 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 297 K) δ 304.2 (d, Ru=C, ²J_{PC} 18.7 Hz), 147.7 (s, =CPh₂), 140.2 (s, HC=), 67.9 (s, OMe). (6): I.r. (Nujol) 1940 (m, $v_{C=C=C}$, 840 (s, $v_{P=F}$ cm⁻¹; ³¹P{¹H} n.m.r. (32.38 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 309 K) δ 1.9 (s, PMe₃), -144.5 (sept, 5.12) PF₆⁻); ¹H n.m.r. (80 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 309K) δ 7.3 (m, 10H, Ph), 2.00 (s, 18H, C₆Me₆), 1.4 (d, 9H, PMe₃, ²J_{PH} 10 Hz).





 $\bar{R_u} = C = C$

Scheme 2



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions (room temp. with NaPF₆ in methanol): i, HC \equiv CCH₂OH, 10 min; ii, HC \equiv CCH₂OMe, 10 min; iii, HC \equiv CCH₂Cl, 10 min; iv, HC \equiv CC(Me)₂OH, 10 min; v, HC \equiv CC(C₅H₁₀)OH, 10 min; vi, HC \equiv CC(Ph)₂OH, 10 min; vii, HC \equiv C(Ph)₂OH, 24 h.

reaction of complex (1) with HC=CCH₂OMe and HC=CCH₂Cl and isolated in 71 and 68% yields, respectively (Scheme 1).

Under similar conditions, complex (1) reacted with 1,1dialkyl-2-propyn-1-ols HC \equiv C-CR₂OH [R = Me; R₂ = $(CH_2)_5$] and after 15 min at room temperature the vinylcarbene derivatives (3)[†] and (4)[†] were obtained in 73 and 65% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). With 1,1-diphenyl-2-propyn-1-ol HC=C-CPh₂OH, the reaction was much slower. The solution colour became rapidly violet and then progressively red. After 24 h at room temperature the red vinylcarbene complex (5)[†] was isolated in 69% yield. When the reaction was quenched by addition of ether after 20 min. at 25 °C a violet precipitate was isolated (69%) which was identified as the allenylidene ruthenium intermediate (6). Each of these vinyl carbene ruthenium complexes (3)—(5) shows a low-field ¹³C n.m.r. doublet (8 304-301) characteristic of carbene carbon, but at a slightly higher chemical shift than that of a non-conjugated ruthenium-carbene such as (2) (δ 325).

Of special interest are the electrochemical studies of vinylcarbene ruthenium complexes (3) and (5). Cyclic voltammetry in acetonitrile $[0.1 \text{ M Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6; 200 \text{ mV s}^{-1}]$ between 0

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammogram of (5) in CH₃CN containing 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆, at 0.2 V/s. (SCE = standard calomel electrode)

and +1.4 V vs standard calomel electrode (SCE) shows a quasi reversible wave at $E_{\star} = +1.09$ V for (3) and +1.13 V for (5) (Figure 1), corresponding to the redox Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} couple. The voltammograms recorded between 0 and +1.7 V show the appearance of a second anodic wave at $E_p = +1.56$ V (3) and +1.57 V (5) which is totally irreversible. Moreover, a reverse scan shows a cathodic wave at $E_p = +0.1$ V for (3) and (5) which is observed only when oxidation at +1.57 V is imposed before (Figure 1). This second process is consistent with the oxidation of the C=C double bond, followed by a chemical evolution into a species reducible at +0.1 V. Indeed, cyclic voltammograms $[(C_6Me_6)RuCl(PMe_3)(=C(OMe)$ of (CH_2R)]PF₆ complexes show only one reversible wave between 1.0 and 1.2 V corresponding to the RuIII/RuII redox couple.12

The formation of complexes (2)—(5) from HC=CCR₂OH derivatives can be explained *via* the vinylidene ruthenium (A) and then the allenylidene-ruthenium intermediate (B) which was observed only when R = Ph (6). The reaction of (1) with HC=CCH₂OCH₃ in CD₃OD led to the complex {(C₆Me₆)-Ru[C(OCD₃)CHDCH₂OCH₃]Cl(PMe₃)}PF₆ (7), analogous to (2), showing that no exchange occurred between the methoxy group attached to C-3 and that of methanol. Thus, it is likely that from 2-propyn-1-ol itself addition of methanol gives first the intermediate (C) and then (2) (Scheme 2).

The reactions described here give evidence for the specific behaviour of (arene)RuCl₂(PR₃) complexes as compared to the isoelectronic derivative ($\eta^{5-}C_{5}H_{5}$)RuCl(PMe₃)₂. Selegue showed that the latter reacted with HC=CCR₂OH derivatives to afford, when R = Me a bimetallic complex, and when R = Ph the corresponding allenylidene ruthenium complex without formation of the vinylcarbene ruthenium complex.¹³

The one-step formation of vinylcarbene arene ruthenium complexes (3)—(5) directly from the easily available HC=CCR₂OH derivatives represents an advantage over the previous methods involving multi-step transformations and metal carbonyl derivatives.

Received, 27th September 1988; Com. 8/03835J

References

- 1 W. D. Wulff and D. C. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 6726.
- 2 G-H. Kuo, P. Helquist, and R. C. Kerber, *Organometallics*, 1984, **3**, 806.
- 3 C. P. Casey and W. H. Miles, *Organometallics*, 1984, **3**, 808.
- 4 S. J. Landon, P. M. Shulman, and G. L. Geoffroy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 6739.
- 5 T. J. Katz, T. H. Ho, N-Y. Shih, Y-C. Ying, and V. I. W. Stuart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, **106**, 2659.
- 6 C. P. Casey, R. A. Boggs, and R. L. Anderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 8947.
- 7 W. D. Wulff and S. R. Gilbertson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 503.
- 8 J. W. Wilson and E. O. Fischer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1973, 57, C63.
- 9 B. E. Landrum, J. O. Lay, Jr., and M. T. Allison, Organometallics, 1988, 7, 787.
- 10 C. D. Wood, S. J. McLain, and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 3210.
- (a) C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 2005;
 (b) D. Devanne, C. Ruppin, and P. H. Dixneuf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, 53, 926.
- 12 H. Le Bozec, K. Ouzzine, and P. H. Dixneuf, unpublished results.
- 13 (a) J. P. Selegue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5921; (b) J. P. Selegue, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 217.