## **A New Route to Vinylcarbene Metal Complexes in One Step from 2-Propyn-1-01s and Arene Ruthenium(i1) Derivatives**

## **Hubert Le Bozec," Khalid Ouzzine, and Pierre H. Dixneuf"**

Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination Organique (UA-CNRS 415), Campus de Beaulieu, Université de Rennes, *35042 Rennes Cedex, France* 

Complex (C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>)RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>) (1) reacts at room temperature with HC≡CCR<sub>2</sub>OH and NaPF<sub>6</sub> in methanol to produce either  $[(C_6Me_6)Ru$   $\leftarrow$   $(C(OMe)CH_2CR_2OMe)Cl(PMe_3)$ ]PF<sub>6</sub>  $(R = H)$ , **(2)** or  $[(C_6Me_6)Ru$   $\leftarrow$   $(C(OMe)CH=CR_2)$ ]PF<sub>6</sub>  $(R \neq H)$ , **(3)-(5)]** derivatives *via* an allenylidene-ruthenium intermediate, electrochemical studies of **(3)-(5)** show a reversible Ru<sup>III</sup>/Ru<sup>II</sup> oxidation process and irreversible oxidation of the double bond.

Vinylcarbene metal derivatives show potential as metal containing unsaturated substrates. They offer dienophile activation of the carbon-carbon double bond in cycloaddition reactions, **1** provide a route to vinylcyclopropane derivatives by coupling reactions with alkenes,<sup>2,3</sup> and are intermediates in alkyne polymerisation.4.5 Several methods have been elaborated for the preparation of vinylcarbene-metal derivatives. They involve either the addition of aldehydes or ketones to deprotonated carbene metal complexes,6.7 the addition of vinyl-lithium to metal carbonyl complexes followed by alkylation,<sup>8</sup> the protonation of dienylmetal derivatives,<sup>2</sup> the hydroxide elimination of 3-hydroxy, prop-2-enyl metal derivatives<sup>3</sup> or the alkylation of vinylacyl-metal compounds.' Each **of**  these multi-step reactions has been carried out with metal carbonyl complexes. **A** vinylcarbene derivative of early transition metal has been obtained by coupling of an alkyne with an alkylidene-tantalum complex.<sup>10</sup> Here we report our initial results concerning the preparation of the first vinylcarbene d<sup>6</sup> metal complexes which do not contain carbonyl ligands, in one step by activation of 2-propyn-1-01s with arene  $ruthenium(II)$  derivatives. This reaction supports allenylideneruthenium intermediates.

Arene  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)$  complexes were shown to be efficient catalyst precursors for the addition of ammonium carbamates and carboxylic acids to 2-propyn-l-ols.11 In an attempt to gain insight into the reaction we have investigated the stoicheiometric interaction between  $(\eta^6$ -C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>)RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>) (1) and 2-propyn-1-01 derivatives. Complex **(1)** was treated in methanol with an excess (2.5 equiv.) of 2-propyn-1-01 in the presence of 1 equiv. of NaPF<sub>6</sub>. After 10 min of reaction at room temperature red crystals of **(2)** were isolated **(74%** 

yield).+ The same complex **(2)** was also formed by a similar

t Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for **(2)-(5).** Selected spectroscopic data for: (2): I.r. (Nujol) 1280 (w,  $v_{C-O}$ ); 840 (s,  $v_{P-F}$ ) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (32.38 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 309 K)  $\delta$  8.8 **(s**, PMe<sub>3</sub>),  $-145.2$  (sept,  $PF_6^-$ ); <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (300.13 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 297 K)  $\delta$  4.60 (s, 3H, MeOC=Ru), 3.77 and 3.71 (AB q, 2H, =C-CH<sub>2</sub>,  $\mathcal{Y}_{HH}$  13 Hz), 3.86 and 3.38 (AB q, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-O, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> 24 Hz), 3.29 (s, 3H,  $CH_2-OMe$ ), 2.10 (s, 18H,  $C_6Me_6$ ), 1.37 (d, 9H, PMe<sub>3</sub>, <sup>2J</sup><sub>PH</sub> 10.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (75.46 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 297 K)  $\delta$  325.8 (d, Ru=C, <sup>2J</sup><sub>PC</sub> 21.2 Hz), 107.9 (s, C,Me,), 69.5 (s, -CH2-0), 67.7 **(S,** =COMe), 58.9 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>OMe), 51.1 (s, =C–CH<sub>2</sub>–), 16.5 (s, C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>), 15.7 (d, PMe<sub>3</sub>, <sup>2</sup>JPC 32.5 Hz). (3): I.r. (Nujol) 1595 (w,  $v_{C=C}$ ); 1280 (w,  $v_{C-O}$ ); 31P{1H} n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, CD2C12, 213 K) 6 7.7 **(s,** PMe,) 6  $-143.8$  (sept, PF<sub>6</sub>-); <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (300.13 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 297 K)  $\delta$  6.68  $(s, 1H, HC=), 4.73$   $(s, 3H, -OMe), 1.94$   $(s, 3H, =CMe), 1.90$   $(s, 3H,$ =CMe); <sup>13</sup>C $\{^1H\}$  n.m.r. (75.46 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 297 K)  $\delta$  306.9 (d, Ru=C, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> 18.9 Hz), 149.4 (s, =C–Me), 138.7 (d, =CH, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> 6.0 Hz), 68.7 **(s,** OMe), 28.3 (s, =CMe), 23.5 **(s,** =CMe). **(4):** 1.r. (Nujol) 1590  $(w, v_{C=C})$ , 1280  $(w, v_{C-O})$ ; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (121.496 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 213 K)  $\delta$  7.35 (s, PMe<sub>3</sub>),  $-143.50$  (sept., PF<sub>6</sub>-); <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (300.134 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 297 K)  $\delta$  6.54 (s, 1H, HC=), 4.65 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.71–1.46  $[m, 10H, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>];$  13C $($ <sup>1</sup>H) n.m.r. (75.469 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 297 K)  $\delta$ HC=), 68.84 (s, OMe), 33.36, 29.30, 28.16, 26.16 [s,  $(CH_2)_5$ . (5): I.r. (Nujol) 1590 (w,  $v_{C=C}$ ), 1280 (w,  $v_{C-O}$ ); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (121.49 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>C1<sub>2</sub>, 297 K)  $\delta$  5.2 (s, PMe<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$  -143.5 (sept, PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. 4.11 (s, 3H, OMe); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (75.46 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 297 K)  $\delta$  $(s, OMe)$ . **(6)**: I.r. (Nujol) 1940 (m,  $v_{C=C=C}$ , 840 (s,  $v_{P-F}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} n.m.r. (32.38 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 309 K)  $\delta$  1.9 (s, PMe<sub>3</sub>), -144.5 (sept,  $PF_6^-$ ); <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (80 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 309K)  $\delta$  7.3 (m, 10H, Ph), 2.00 (s, 18H, C6Me6), 1.4 (d, 9H, PMe3, **2JpH** 10 Hz). 310.15 (d, Ru=C,  $2J_{PC}$  22.44 Hz), 155.93 [s,  $=$ C (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>], 134.74 (s, (300.13 MHz, CD,C12,297 **K)** 6 7.50 **(s,** lH, HC=), 7.35 **(s,** 10H, Phz), 304.2 (d, Ru=C,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{PC}}$  18.7 Hz), 147.7 (s, =CPh<sub>2</sub>), 140.2 (s, HC=), 67.9





 $R_{u} = C = C$ 

**Scheme 2** 



**Scheme 1.** *Reagents and conditions* (room temp. with NaPF, in methanol): i,  $HCECCH<sub>2</sub>OH$ , 10 min; ii,  $HC=CCH<sub>2</sub>OMe$ , 10 min;  $HC=GC(M<sub>2</sub>)$ ,  $OL = 10$ , min; iii iii,  $HC \cong CCH_2Cl$ , 10 min; iv,  $HC \cong CC(Me)_2OH$ , 10 min; v,  $HC\equiv CC(C_5H_{10})OH$ , 10 min; vi,  $HC\equiv CC(Ph)_2OH$ , 10 min; vii, HGC(Ph),OH, **24** h.

reaction of complex (1) with HC=CCH<sub>2</sub>OMe and  $HC \equiv CCH_2Cl$  and isolated in 71 and 68% yields, respectively (Scheme 1).

Under similar conditions, complex **(1)** reacted with 1,ldialkyl-2-propyn-1-ols HC=C-CR<sub>2</sub>OH [R = Me; R<sub>2</sub> =  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>$  and after 15 min at room temperature the vinylcarbene derivatives (3)<sup>†</sup> and (4)<sup>†</sup> were obtained in 73 and 65% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). With 1,1-diphenyl-2-propyn-1-ol HC $=$ C $-$ C $Ph<sub>2</sub>OH$ , the reaction was much slower. The solution colour became rapidly violet and then progressively red. After 24 h at room temperature the red vinylcarbene complex **(5)t** was isolated in 69% yield. When the reaction was quenched by addition of ether after 20 min. at 25°C a violet precipitate was isolated (69%) which was identified as the allenylidene ruthenium intermediate **(6).** Each of these vinyl carbene ruthenium complexes (3)–(5) shows a low-field <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. doublet  $(\delta$  304-301) characteristic of carbene carbon, but at a slightly higher chemical shift than that of a non-conjugated ruthenium-carbene such as **(2)** (6 325).

Of special interest are the electrochemical studies of vinylcarbene ruthenium complexes **(3)** and **(5).** Cyclic voltammetry in acetonitrile  $[0.1 \text{ m B}u_4 \text{NPF}_6; 200 \text{ mV s}^{-1}]$  between 0

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammogram of (5) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN containing 0.1 M  $Bu_4NPF_6$ , at 0.2 V/s.  $(SCE = standard$  calomel electrode)

and +1.4 V *vs* standard calomel electrode (SCE) shows a *quasi* reversible wave at  $E_k = +1.09 \text{ V}$  for **(3)** and  $+1.13 \text{ V}$  for  $(5)$  (Figure 1), corresponding to the redox  $Ru<sup>III</sup>/Ru<sup>II</sup>$  couple. The voltammograms recorded between 0 and + 1.7 **V** show the appearance of a second anodic wave at  $E_p = +1.56 \text{ V (3)}$  and +1.57 V *(5)* which is totally irreversible. Moreover, a reverse scan shows a cathodic wave at  $E_p = +0.1$  V for **(3)** and **(5)** which is observed only when oxidation at  $+1.57$  V is imposed before (Figure 1). This second process is consistent with the oxidation of the C=C double bond, followed by a chemical evolution into a species reducible at  $+0.1$  V. Indeed, cyclic voltammograms of  $[(C_6Me_6)RuCl(PMe_3)(=C(OMe))$  $CH<sub>2</sub>R$ )]PF<sub>6</sub> complexes show only one reversible wave between 1.0 and  $1.2$  V corresponding to the RuIII/RuII redox couple. 12

The formation of complexes  $(2)$ — $(5)$  from HC $\equiv$ CCR<sub>2</sub>OH derivatives can be explained *via* the vinylidene ruthenium **(A)**  and then the allenylidene-ruthenium intermediate **(B)** which was observed only when  $R = Ph(6)$ . The reaction of (1) with  $HC=CCH_2OCH_3$  in CD<sub>3</sub>OD led to the complex  $\{ (C_6Me_6)$ -**Ru[C(OCD3)CHDCH20CH3]Cl(PMe3)}PF6 (7),**analogous to **(2),** showing that no exchange occurred between the methoxy group attached to C-3 and that of methanol. Thus, it is likely that from 2-propyn-1-01 itself addition of methanol gives first the intermediate **(C)** and then **(2)** (Scheme 2).

The reactions described here give evidence for the specific behaviour of (arene)RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>) complexes as compared to the isoelectronic derivative  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)RuCl(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Selegue showed that the latter reacted with  $HC \equiv CCR_2OH$  derivatives to afford, when  $R = Me$  a bimetallic complex, and when  $R =$ Ph the corresponding allenylidene ruthenium complex without formation of the vinylcarbene ruthenium complex.13

The one-step formation of vinylcarbene arene ruthenium complexes  $(3)$ — $(5)$  directly from the easily available  $HC \equiv CCR_2OH$  derivatives represents an advantage over the previous methods involving multi-step transformations and metal carbonyl derivatives.

*Received, 27th September 1988; Com. 8103835J* 

## **References**

- 1 W. D. Wulff and D. C. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1983,105,6726.
- 2 G-H. Kuo, P. Helquist, and R. C. Kerber, *Organometallics,* 1984, **3,** 806.
- 3 C. P. Casey and W. H. Miles, *Organometallics,* 1984. 3, 808.
- 4 S. J. Landon, P. M. Shulman, and G. L. Geoffroy, J. *Am. Chem.*
- *SOC.,* 1985, 107, 6739.
- 5 T. J. Katz, T. H. Ho, N-Y. Shih, Y-C. Ying, and V. I. **W.** Stuart, J. *Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1984, 106, 2659.
- 6 C. P. Casey, R. A. Boggs, and R. L. Anderson, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1972, **94,** 8947.
- 7 W. D. Wulff and **S.** R. Gilbertson, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1985, 107, 503.
- 8 J. W. Wilson and E. 0. Fischer, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* 1973, 57. C63.
- 9 B. E. Landrum, J. 0. Lay, Jr., and M. T. Allison, *Organornetallics,* 1988, 7, 787.
- 10 C. D. Wood, S. J. McLain, and R. R. Schrock, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1979, 101, 3210.
- 11 (a) C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1987, **28,**  2005; (b) D. Devanne, C. Ruppin, and P. H. Dixneuf, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1988, 53, 926.
- 12 **H.** Le Bozec, K. Ouzzine, and P. **H.** Dixneuf, unpublished results.
- 13 (a) J. P. Selegue, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1983, 105, 5921; (b) J. P. Selegue, *Organometallics,* 1982, 1, 217.