## Five-coordinate Rhodium(III) Complexes Containing the Novel TeF<sub>3</sub> Ligand

## E. A. V. Ebsworth,<sup>a</sup> John H. Holloway<sup>b</sup> and Paul G. Watson\*b

*<sup>a</sup>Chemistry Department, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, UK <sup>b</sup>Chemistry Department, The University, Leicester LEI 7RH, UK* 

Tellurium tetrafluoride reacts at 195 K in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with Rh(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [X = Cl, Br, NCS, NCO] to give the novel complexes [Rh(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(TeF<sub>3</sub>)+][TeF<sub>5</sub>-], which have been characterised by <sup>19</sup>F, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy; for X = CI, **Br** and NCS the complexes are stable at ambient temperature but for X = NCO decomposition occurs at 215 K.

Until recently the only well established examples of transition metal complexes containing fluorinated ligands were those involving carbon (e.g.  $CF_3$ ,<sup>1</sup>  $C_2F_4$ <sup>2</sup>), phosphorus (e.g.  $PF_2$ ,<sup>3</sup>  $PF_3$ ,<sup>4</sup>  $PF_4$ <sup>5</sup>) and nitrogen { $[Ref_5(NF)]^6$ }. However, since 1986 Ebsworth *et al.* have produced complexes containing  $NF_2$ ,<sup>7</sup>  $SF<sub>3</sub>$ ,<sup>8</sup>  $SF<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>, SOF,<sup>8</sup> SeF<sub>3</sub>, AsF<sub>2</sub><sup>9</sup> and COF<sup>10</sup> ligands.

In this paper we present spectroscopic evidence that clearly shows that  $TeF<sub>4</sub>$  undergoes an unusual oxidative addition reaction with  $Rh(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  to form unusual pentacoordinate monocationic complexes containing the terminal  $TeF_3$ ligand, eqn. (1), in pronounced contrast to the equivalent reactions of  $SF<sub>4</sub>$  and  $SeF<sub>4</sub>$ .

The reaction between trans-Rh(CO)Cl(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and TeF<sub>4</sub> in a 1 **1** ratio occurred rapidly in  $CD_2Cl_2$  at 195 K to produce a deep-red solution. The  $^{31}P(^{1}H)$ <sup>+</sup> NMR spectrum showed two resonances of approximately equal intensity, a doublet at 6 24.3 arising from unreacted starting material **A,** and a doublet of triplets of doublets at *6* 27.4 arising from **B.** The latter resonance was in the region of the spectrum associated with  $PEt<sub>3</sub>$  complexes of  $Rh<sup>III9</sup>$  and showed a narrow doublet coupling  $(3 \text{ Hz})$  which we assign to  $3J_{\text{PF}}$ , a larger triplet coupling  $(16 \text{ Hz})$  which we assign to a second  $3J_{\text{PF}}$  and a large doublet coupling (92 Hz) which we assign to <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PRh</sub>. This value of  $1J_{\text{PRh}}$  is not consistent with  $\text{PEt}_3$  coupling to either six-coordinate Rh<sup>III</sup> or square planar Rh<sup>I</sup>. It is, however, indicative of  $Rh-PR_3$  coupling in square pyramidal Rh complexes.<sup>11</sup> The <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum showed only four resonances,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ , each of which showed coupling to <sup>125</sup>Te and whose ratios were approximately  $2:1:4:1$  (see Fig. 1). The resonances  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  were identified as the quintet and doublet of the pentafluorotellurate(1v) anion.12 Of the remaining two resonances  $F_1$  lay at  $\delta$  -9.6 and was comprised of a doublet (23 Hz) assigned as  $2J_{\text{FF}}$ , a doublet (18 Hz) assigned as  $2J_{\text{FRh}}$  and a triplet (16 Hz) assigned as  $3J_{\text{FP}}$  and  $F_4$  comprised a triplet (23 Hz) assigned as  ${}^2J_{FF}$  of doublets (7 Hz) assigned as  ${}^{2}J_{\text{FRh}}$  at  $\delta$  -68.4. A sample of Rh(<sup>13</sup>CO)Cl- $(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  was prepared and allowed to react with TeF<sub>4</sub>. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of this solution showed a doublet [(71 Hz) assigned as  ${}^{1}J_{\text{CRh}}$  of triplets [(9 Hz) assigned as  ${}^{2}J_{\text{CP}}$  of doublets  $[(8 \text{ Hz})]$  assigned as  ${}^{3}J_{CF_4}$ . There are two possible ways of viewing the structure. The first would be to assume bonding involving a TeF<sub>3</sub>+ cation bonded to a Rh<sup>1</sup> centre, the second involves  $TeF_3$ <sup>-</sup> attached to a Rh<sup>III</sup> centre. We believe that the evidence for the second structure is overwhelming on the following grounds. First, the magnitude of  $V_{\text{PRh}}$  unmistakenly characterises the complex as having square pyramidal



t **NMR standards: all NMR shifts are reported as positive to high frequency of 85% H3P04 (for 31P), CC13F (for '9F) and Me4Si (for 13C).** 

geometry are Rh<sup>III</sup> species (see for example ref. 11). Rhodium( I) complexes would have trigonal bipyramidal geometries and two possible isomers with respect to the locations of the phosphines. In the case where the phosphines were axial we would expect **'JpRh** to be lower and where they were equatorial higher than our observed couplings. Secondly, the colour is suggestive of  $Rh<sup>III</sup>$  as  $Rh<sup>I</sup>$  complexes are generally light in colour. Finally, the assigned product is consistent with results observed in analogous  $SF_4$  and  $SeF_4$  reactions. In the reaction of  $M(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  [M = Ir, Rh; X = Cl, Br, I, NCS, NCO] with  $SF_4^{8,9}$  various isomers of the M<sup>III</sup> complex  $M(CO)XF(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SF<sub>3</sub>)$  were observed, the products of oxidative addition reactions. Similarly, complexes M(C0)XF-  $(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SeF<sub>3</sub><sup>9</sup>$  were observed on reaction of SeF<sub>4</sub> with  $M(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , however, in several cases intermolecular exchange of Rh-F with Se $F_5$ <sup>-</sup> was observed, indicating a weak Rh-F bond, It is logical to assume that in this reported work we are observing the continuation of this trend where oxidative addition of  $TeF_3$  and F occurs, followed by removal of  $F^-$  by a second molecule of Te $F_4$ , producing a Rh<sup>III</sup> complex. We have thus formulated the product of this reaction as  $[\hat{R}h(CO)Cl(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(TeF<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>+</sup>$ . For  $X = Br$  and NCS, similar 19F and 31P NMR spectra were obtained, indicating that similar complexes are obtained, the only differences were in the positions of  $F_1$  and  $F_4$ . Both of these complexes were stable at ambient temperature. In the reaction where  $X = NCO$  we also observed NMR spectra similar to those seen for  $Rh(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + TeF<sub>4</sub>$ , where X was Cl, Br or NCS, indicating formation of  $[Rh(CO)NCO(PEt_3)_2(TeF_3)^+]$ . However, this complex was observed to decompose at 220 K.

On warming the samples where  $X = Br$  and NCS, we observed different temperatures at which the  $TeF<sub>5</sub>$  became fluxional, indicating probable interaction of  $TeF_5$ <sup>-</sup> with  $[Rh(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(TeF<sub>3</sub>)<sup>+</sup>]$ . This was supported by the fact that, on reaction of two equivalents of TeF<sub>4</sub> with Rh(CO)X- $(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , no unreacted starting material was observed, indicating that the product formulation was  $[Rh(CO)X(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ - $(TeF_3)^+$ ][TeF<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>].

Unfortunately, these complexes are highly reactive with moisture and air and, although we have grown deep-red, single crystals in the form of elongated, rectangular blocks on several occasions, we have been unable so far to obtain a



**Fig. 1**<sup>19</sup>F $\{^1H\}$  NMR spectrum of Rh(CO)Cl(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + TeF<sub>4</sub> at 195 K

try. The instabilities of these complexes have prevented obtention of satisfactory infrared, **FAB** mass spectrometric and analytical data. Preliminary reactions of  $TeF_4$  with the analogous iridium

starting materials indicate that similar reactions may by occurring but the products appear to be significantly less stable than their rhodium analogues.

We thank the SERC for financial support.

*Received, 22nd May 1991; Corn. 1102420E* 

## **References**

- 1 E. M. Hyde, **J.** D. Kennedy, B. L. Shaw and W. McFarlane, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1977, 1571.
- **2** M. Green and *S.* H. Taylor, J. *Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1975, 1128.
- 3 E. **A.** V. Ebsworth, R. 0. Gould, N. T. McManus, D. W. H. Rankin, M. D. Walkinshaw and **J.** D. Whitelock, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* 1983,249,227.
- 4 T. Kruck and K. Baur, *Chem. Ber.,* 1965, 98, 3070.
- *5* E. **A.** V. Ebsworth, J. H. Holloway, N. J. Pilkington and
- D. W. H. Rankin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1984,23, 630. 6 J. Fawcett and R. D. Peacock, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,*
- 1982, 958. 7 R. W. Cockman, E. **A.** V. Ebsworth and J. H. Holloway, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1986, 1622.
- 8 R. W. Cockman, E. **A.** V. Ebsworth and **J.** H. Holloway, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1987, 109, 2194.
- 9 R. W. Cockman, E. **A.** V. Ebsworth, J. H. Holloway and P. G. Watson, unpublished results.
- 10 **A.** J. Blake, R. W. Cockman, E. **A. V.** Ebsworth and **J.** H. Holloway, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1988, 529.
- 11 H. L. Van Gaal, J. **M.** Verlak and T. Posno, *Inorg. Chim. Acta,*  1977,23,43; *C.* Masters and B. L. Shaw, *J. Chem. SOC., (A),* 1971, 3679; M. Ghedini, G. Dolcetti, 0. Gandolfi and B. Giovannitti, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1976, **15** (lo), 2385.
- 12 R. J. Morris and K. C. Moss, J. *Fluorine Chem.,* 1979, 13, 551.