1445

Gallane Adducts of Bifunctional Group V Ligands; Crystal Structures of $(GaH_3)_2(tmen)$ and $(GaH_3)_2(dmpe)^{\dagger}$

Dermot O'Hare* John S. Foord, Timothy C. M. Page and Timothy J. Whitaker

^a Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, UK ^b Physical Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QZ, UK

Treatment of GaH_3NMe_3 with either tmen or dmpe yields $(GaH_3)_2(tmen)$ 1 and $(GaH_3)_2(dmpe)$ 2; the X-ray structure of 2 is the first structure determination of a phosphine adduct of a gallium hydride.

Although the epitaxial growth of semiconductors by metal organic vapour phase epitaxy (MOVPE) or chemical beam epitaxy (CBE) has developed rapidly in recent years, a reduction in the amount of carbon incorporated into the films over current levels achievable still represents an important research target in many instances.^{1,2} AlH₃(NMe₃) and

 $GaH_3(NMe_3)$ have recently been reported as excellent sources for the growth of high purity metal films on both silicon and gallium arsenide substrates *via* CBE³⁻⁵ and MOVPE.⁶ Electronic grade samples of AlH₃(NMe₃) are now commercially available and are being used in device fabrication whereas the relatively lower thermal stability of GaH₃(NMe₃) means that its very favourable surface chemistry may not be commercially exploited. In this paper we report the synthesis, characterisation and X-ray structure determinations of some novel volatile gallane derivatives with relatively high thermal stability.

[†] tmen = N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine; dmpe = 1,2-bis-(dimethylphosphino)ethane.



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, tmen, room temp., $-NMe_3$; ii, dmpe, room temp., $-NMe_3$; iii, tmen·2HCL, tmen, 0°C, $-H_2$, $-LiCl: iv, dmpe·2HCl, -10°C, <math>-H_2$, -LiCl

Condensation of an excess of either tmen or dmpe onto $GaH_3(NMe_3)^7$ at -196 °C followed by warming to room temperature leads to the efficient loss of NMe₃ and formation of $(GaH_3)_2(tmen)$ 1 and $(GaH_3)_2(dmpe)$ 2, respectively.‡ Alternatively LiGaH₄ can be treated with the hydrochloride salts dmpe·2HCl in diethyl ether or tmen·2HCl (Scheme 1). X-Ray-quality crystals of both 1 and 2 were obtained by slow crystallisation from toluene solutions at -20 °C. Compounds 1 and 2 have been characterised by NMR and IR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, elemental microanalysis and X-ray crystal structure determinations.§

 \ddagger Synthesis and characterisation: Method (i); in the absence of any solvent, N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (tmen) (0.61 cm³, 4.02 mmol) or 1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane (dmpe) (0.72 cm³, 3.84 mmol) was condensed in vacuo at -196 °C onto GaH₃(NMe₃) (0.502 g, 3.8 mmol). The solution was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. After 0.5 h, excess of ligand was removed in vacuo at 10 °C leaving a white solid which was taken up in toluene. The resulting solution was reduced in volume and cooled very slowly to -20 °C, affording crystals of 1 and 2 (32% yield).

Method (*ii*): tmen (7.5 cm³, 50 mmol) was condensed *in vacuo* at -196 °C onto a solid mixture of LiGaH₄ (1.02 g, 12.6 mmol) and tmen·2HCl (1.64 g, 8.7 mmol). The mixture was warmed slowly to room temeprature, stirred for 0.5 h and filtered. Excess of tmen was removed *in vacuo* at -20 °C leaving a white solid 1 (0.74 g, 44% yield). A solution of LiGaH₄ (0.324 g, 4.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 cm³) was added to a stirred suspension of dmpe·2HCl (0.66 g, 2.96 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 cm³) during 1 h at -30 °C. After stirring for 1 h at -10 °C the solution was filtered and the solvent removed *in vacuo* forming a white solid 2 (0.22 g, 37% yield).

For 1: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃C₆D₅, -80° C), δ 4.93 (6H, s, GaH), 2.45 (4H, s, CH₂) and 1.68 (12H, s, NMe₂); IR: v_{Ga-H} 1804 cm⁻¹; *m/z* 187 (M⁺-GaH₂). For 2; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃C₆D₅, -50° C), δ 4.28 (6H, s, GaH), 1.25 (4H, s, CH₂) and 0.42 (12H, s, PMe₂); IR: v_{Ga-H} 1829 cm⁻¹; MS: *m/z* 295 (M⁺) and 223 (M⁺ - GaH₃). Satisfactory elemental analysis results were obtained.

§ Crystal data for 1: C₆H₂₂Ga₂N₂, M = 261.69, monoclinic, space group P2₁/n, a = 6.724(3), b = 17.067(2), c = 5.893(1) Å, $\beta = 114.70(3)^{\circ}$, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.41$ g cm⁻³, U = 617.0 Å³, μ (Mo-K α) = 43.07 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 268. Data were collected at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with monochromated Mo-K α X-rays. Data were corrected for Lorentz–polarisation and absorption effects. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of 60 parameters gave R = 0.041, $R_w = 0.0465$ for 1075 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$.

Crystal data for 2: $C_6H_{22}Ga_2P_2$, M = 295.63, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/a$, a = 10.262(2), b = 11.494(3), c = 6.232(2) Å, $\beta = 102.20(2)^\circ$, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.36$ g cm⁻³, U = 718.51 Å³, μ (Mo-K α) = 43.07 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 300. Data were collected at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with monochromated Mo-K α X-rays. Data were corrected for Lorentz-polarisation and absorption effects. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of 60 parameters gave R = 0.0347, $R_w = 0.0436$ for 885 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$.

Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.



Fig. 1 (*a*) The structure of $(GaH_{3})_{2}(tmen)$ **1**: selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ga(1)-N(1) 2.085(3), Ga(1)-H(1) 1.54(6), Ga(1)-H(2) 1.32(7), Ga(1)-H(3) 1.45(7), N(1)-C(1) 1.480(5) N(1)-C(2)1.492(5), N(1)-C(3) 1.498(4), C(3)-C(3B) 1.508(7), C(1)-N(1)-Ga(1) 109.7(2), C(2)-N(1)-Ga(1) 107.4(2), C(2)-N(1)-C(1)108.4(3), C(3)-N(1)-Ga(1) 113.1(2), C(3)-N(1)-C(1) 111.8(3), C(3)-N(1)-C(2) 106.2(3), H(1)-Ga(1)-H(2) 88.1(16), H(1)-Ga(1)-H(3)99.7(30), H(2)-Ga(1)-H(3) 109.5(30), C(3B)-C(3)-N(1) 112.7(3). (*b*) The structure of $(GaH_{3})_{2}(dmpe)$ **2**: selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ga(1)-P(1) 2.403(1), Ga(1)-H(1) 1.40(8), Ga(1)-H(2)1.59(6), Ga(1)-H(3) 1.35(9), P(1)-C(1) 1.798(6), P(1)-C(2) 1.796(6), P(1)-C(3) 1.787(7), C(3)-C(3B) 1.29(1), C(1)-P(1)-Ga(1) 112.9(2), C(2)-P(1)-Ga(1) 115.2(2), C(2)-P(1)-C(1) 104.4(3), C(3)-P(1)-Ga(1) 115.0(2), C(3)-P(1)-C(2) 105.2(4), H(1)-Ga(1)-H(2) 102.0(30), H(1)-Ga(1)-H(3) 102.5(24), H(2)-Ga(1)-H(3) 101.7(39), C(3B)-C(3)-P(1)-2(1).

Compared with their NMe₃ or PMe₃ analogues respectively, which decompose over several hours at room temperature, both 1 and 2 appear to be significantly more thermally stable. NMR studies showed that a solid sample of neither complex decomposes significantly after 2 days at room temperature, but over a period of weeks substantial decomposition does occur. However, solutions in toluene decompose completely after standing for 1–2 days at room temperature. Compounds 1 and 2 are less volatile than their NMe₃ or PMe₃ analogues which sublime readily at room temperature; 2 may be sublimed *in vacuo* at 50 °C (<10⁻⁵ Torr) but decomposes rapidly at 65 °C, whereas 1 sublimes with decomposition at *ca*. 70 °C (<10⁻⁵ Torr).

Results of the X-ray structure determinations for both 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. 1. The crystal structures are consistent with all the spectroscopic data and show that both didentate ligands bridge between two gallane moieties rather than forming five-coordinate chelate complexes. This contrasts dramatic-

ally with AlH₃(tmen) which has been shown to have a polymeric chain structure in the solid state.⁸ In the solid state both 1 and 2 lie on crystallographic twofold axes bisecting the C(3)-C(3B) bond of the tmen and dmpe ligands, respectively. The gallium coordination in both 1 and 2 is as expected pyramidal with Ga-N and Ga-P bond lengths of 2.085(3) and 2.403(1) Å, respectively. The Ga-N bond length is in good agreement with the Ga-N bond length of 1.97(7) Å found for GaH₃(NMe₃).9 No phosphine adducts of complexes containing Ga-H bonds have been previously structurally characterized; however, (GaMe₃)₂(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh₂) has a Ga-P bond length of 2.546(4) Å.¹⁰ The X-ray diffraction data were of sufficiently high quality to allow the location and refinement of both the positional and isotropic thermal parameters of the gallium hydrides. The mean Ga-H distances were 1.44(7) and 1.45(7) Å for 1 and 2, respectively. These distances are comparable to those found in the electrondiffraction studies on [H₂Ga(NMe₂)]₂ [Ga-H 1.487(4) Å]¹¹ and Ga(BH₄)₂H [Ga-H 1.774(2) Å].¹²

We thank the SERC and Epichem Limited for a CASE award (T. J. W.).

Received, 20th June 1991; Com. 1/03066C

- 1 T. F. Kuech, D. J. Wolford, E. Veuhoff, V. Deline, P. M. Mooney, R. Potemski and J. Bradley, J. Appl Phys., 1987, 62, 632.
- 2 A. C. Jones, J. S. Roberts, P. J. Wright, P. E. Oliver and B. Cockayne, *Chemtronics*, 1988, 3, 152.
- 3 J. S. Foord, A. J. Murrell, D. O'Hare, N. K. Singh, A. T. S. Wee and T. J. Whitaker, *Chemtronics*, 1989, 4, 262.
- 4 A. T. S. Wee, A. J. Murrell, N. K. Singh, D. O'Hare and J. S. Foord, *Vacuum*, 1990, **41**, 989.
- 5 A. T. S. Wee, A. J. Murrell, N. K. Singh, D. O'Hare and J. S. Foord, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 11.
- 6 A. C. Jones and S. A. Rushworth, J. Cryst. Growth, 1990, 106, 253.
- 7 D. F. Schriver and A. E. Shirk, *Inorg Synth.*, 1963, 2, 1039; N. N. Greenwood, A. Storr and M. G. H. Wallbridge, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1963, 2, 1036.
- 8 G. J. Palenik, Acta Crystallogr., 1964, 17, 1573.
- 9 D. F. Shriver and C. E. Nordman, Inorg Chem., 1963, 2, 1298.
- 10 D. C. Bradley, H. Chudzynska, M. M. Faktor, D. M. Frigo, M. B. Hursthouse, B. Hussain and L. M. Smith, *Polyhedron*, 1988, 7, 1289.
- 11 P. L. Baxter, A. J. Downs, D. W. H. Rankin and H. E. Robertson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 807.
- 12 M. T. Barlow, C. J. Dain, A. J. Downs, G. S. Laurenson and D. W. H. Rankin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1982, 597.