1522

Synthesis of the 4,5-Epoxybicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadiyne Neocarzinostatin Core Structure. Surprising Compatibility of the 4,5-Epoxide with a η^2 -Hexacarbonyldicobalt Mediated Aldol Reaction

Philip Magnus* and Martin Davies

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA

The highly functionalized neocarzinostatin core structure **16** has been synthesized from the enynone **9** in a hexacarbonyldicobalt mediated aldol reaction in which the epoxide ring is unexpectedly not opened.

Recently we reported the synthesis of the η^2 -Co₂(CO)₆neocarzinostatin core diynene 1,¹ which lacks the important 4,5-epoxide functionality present in neocarzinostatin chromophore A, 2.² When the η^2 -hexacarbonyldicobalt acetylene cap in 1 is oxidatively removed by treatment with *N*-methylmor-



pholine N-oxide (NMMO) in cyclohexa-1,4-diene the intermediate divnene 3 was not observed but immediately cycloaromatized to the diquinane 4 (Scheme 1). Removal of the BBu₂ group from 1 and attempted epoxidation of the allylic double bond was unsuccessful; consequently the epoxide functionality must be introduced at an earlier stage in the synthesis. This poses an awkward reactivity problem. The 8,9-bond is made by a $\eta^{-2}Co_2(CO)_6$ -mediated aldol reaction under Lewis acid catalysis conditions. It would be surprising if the 4,5-epoxide 5 could survive these conditions and not open to the $\eta^{-2}Co_2(CO)_6$ -stabilized cation 6, with concomitant release of ring strain. On the other hand if the epoxide opening to the cation 6 is reversible the required aldol adduct 8 should be formed, although this compound can ionise to the cation 7, among many potentially destructive pathways (Scheme 2). Consequently we felt considerable reservation that the conversion of 5 to 8 would be successful, but there is no alternative since the epoxide cannot be introduced after the intramolecular aldol reaction.

Coupling of 9[†] with the iodoalkene 9a, using $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ catalysis in the presence of CuI and Bu^nNH_2 gave the diynene 10 (75%).⁴ At this stage the allylic double bond in 10 was

[†] The known enone (i) was converted into 9 as shown below.³

SiMes CeCk BulMe2SIOTI Et3N 9 (78% overall)



epoxidised using the catalytic Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation procedure with (-)-diethyl tartrate,⁵ and the primary alcohol directly converted into the pivaloyl ester **11** (67% overall). Initially we protected the primary alcohol as its methyl carbonate derivative and found that many of the subsequent steps, especially the crucial aldol cyclization (28%), did not proceed in acceptable yields. We reasoned that the pivaloyl ester derivative would be more stable, and in particular would be less likely to participate in reactions that open the epoxide ring. Furthermore an electron-withdrawing group adjacent to the epoxide ring should retard ionization to the cation **6**. The epoxide **11** is a 1:1 mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers with an estimated enantiomeric excess of *ca*. 70%.‡

Treatment of 11 with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid in tetrahydrofuran (THF) readily hydrolysed the ethylene ketal to give 12 (100%). If more severe conditions are used with the intention of simultaneously hydrolysing the diethyl acetal, the molecule is destroyed. Complexation of 12 with $Co_2(CO)_8$ gave the adduct 13 (80%) which allowed the activated diethyl acetal to be hydrolysed by treatment with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid in chloroform to give 14 (58%). Premixing $Bun_2BOTf-Et_3N-CH_2Cl_2$ at -78 °C and warming to 0 °C, followed by slow addition of 14 at 0 °C, and warming to 25 °C, resulted in conversion into the cyclised aldol adduct 15 (57%). At this stage the diastereoisomers could be separated (PLC), although we do not know which one has the stereochemistry represented in structure 15.§ Oxidative decomplexation of 15

[‡] The enantiomeric purity of **11** was determined by derivatizing the epoxy alochol, obtained directly from the Sharpless epoxidation, with (R)-(-)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl isocyanate.

§ Spectral data for the diastereoisomers 15: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 0.30 (3H, s), 0.35 (3H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 1.30 (9H, s), 3.34 (1H, s), 3.60 (2H, m), 5.82 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 6.23 (1H, d, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 6.48 (1H, s) and 7.44 (1H, d, *J* = 5.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD) δ -2.70, -2.49, 18.84, 26.15, 27.72, 40.53, 66.54, 70.75, 74.81, 75.06, 76.15, 77.10, 89.07, 133.40, 163.41 and 205.35.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 0.25 (3H, s), 0.30 (3H, s), 0.86, (9H, s), 1.30 (9H, s), 3.15 (1H, d, J = 7.1 HZ), 3.55–3.60 (2H, 2d, J = 6 Hz), 5.29 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.19 (1H, s) and 6.25–7.52 (2H, 2d, J = 5.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD) δ –2.70, –2.42, 14.28, 18.80, 26.06, 27.20, 40.32, 64.92, 70.42, 74.56, 75.30, 76.09, 77.08, 77.76, 134.17, 162.49 and 205.55

Spectral data for the diastereoisomers 16: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.15 (3H, s), 0.23 (3H, s), 0.95 (9H, s), 1.26 (9H, s), 3.44–3.52 (1H, m), 3.65 (1H, d, J = 12 Hz), 4.16 (1H, d, J = 12 Hz), 5.07 (1H, d, J = 1.8 Hz), 5.53 (1H, s), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz) and 7.79 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 0.18 (3H, s), 0.22 (3H, s), 0.98 (9H, s), 1.26 (9H, s), 3.45–3.55 (2H, m), 4.05 (1H, d, J = 12 Hz), 5.03 (1H, d, J = 1.8 Hz), 5.44 (1H, s), 6.17 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz) and 7.81 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz).



16 (69-75%)

15 (57%, diastereoisomers separated)

Scheme 3 (-)-DET = (-)-diethyl tartrate; DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine; THF = tetrahydrofuran; TFA = trifluoroacetic acid; $R = Bu^{i}Me_{2}Si$



with $I_2-C_6H_6$ gave 16 (69–75%) as a stable compound both in solution and as a solid at room temperature (Scheme 3).§ The compound 16 is the most highly functionalized bicyclo-[7.3.0]dodecadiyne neocarzinostatin core structure synthe-

1524

sized to date, and illustrates the surprising compatibility of the 4,5-epoxide to the cyclisation conditions. This is more dramatically demonstrated by the following subsequent models (Scheme 4).

Attempted complexation of 17 with $Co_2(CO)_8$ gave 18 which was extremely unstable towards acidic conditions, decomposing to polar material, presumably formed from opening of the epoxide ring. Interestingly, treatment of 19 with $Co_2(CO)_8$ -heptane gave the fragmented adduct 22 as the only cobalt-containing material. Its formation presumably arises from 20 *via* ring opening of the epoxide to give 21, and elimination resulting in 22.⁹

The National Institutes of Health, National Science Foundation and Robert A. Welch Foundation are thanked for their support of this research. Dr. Thomas Pitterna is thanked for earlier contributions to this work. The Fulbright Commission is thanked for a travel grant to M. D.

Received, 1st August 1991; Com. 1/04006E

References

- 1 P. Magnus and T. Pitterna, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 541.
- 2 For the structure, biosynthesis, absolute configuration and proposed mechanism of action see: M. A. Napier, B. Holmquist, D. J. Strydom and I. H. Goldberg, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*,

1979, 89, 635. Biosynthesis see: O. D. Hensens, J.-L. Ginner and I. H. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3295; Y. Koide, F. Ishii, K. Hasuda, Y. Koyama, K. Edo, S. Katamine, F. Kitame and N. Ishida, J. Antibiot., 1980, 33, 342; K. Edo, M. Mizugaki, Y. Koide, H. Seto, K. Furihata, N. Otake and N. Ishida, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 331; A. G. Myers, P. J. Proteau and T. M. Handel, J. Am. Chem Soc., 1988, 110, 7212; K. Edo, Y. Akiyama, K. Saito, M. Mizugaki, Y. Koide and N. Ishida, J. Antibiot., 1986, 39, 1615; A. G. Myers, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 4493; L. S. Kappen, I. H. Goldberg, S. H. Wu, J. Stubbe, L. Worth, Jr, and J. W. Kozarich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2797; O. D. Hensens and I. H. Goldberg, J. Antibiot., 1989, 42, 761; D. Dasgupta and I. H. Goldberg, Biochemistry, 1985, 24, 6913. For synthetic studies on the bicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadiyne core see: A. G. Myers, P. M. Harrington and E. Y. Kuo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 694; P. A. Wender, J. A. McKinney and C. Mukai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 5369; P. A. Wender, M. Harmata, D. Jeffrey, C. Mukai and J. Suffert, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 909; M. Hirama, K. Fujiwara, K. Shigematu and Y. Fukazawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 4120; K. Fujiwara, A. Kurisaki and M. Hirama, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 4329.

- 3 Z-I. Yoshida, M. Kimura, S. Yoneda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, 12, 1001.
- 4 V. Ratovelomanana and G. Linstrumelle, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984,
 25, 6001; R. D. Stephens and C. E. Castro, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1963, 28, 3313.
- 5 Y. Gao, R. M. Hanson, J. M. Klunder, S. Y. Ko, H. Masamune and K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 5765.
- 6 P. Magnus and D. Becker, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 640.