## Novel Regioselection in Insertion of a 1,4-Disubstituted-1,3-enyne into Ruthenium–Hydrogen Bonds

## Yasuo Wakatsuki,\* a Hiroshi Yamazaki, a Yooichiroh Maruyama b and Isao Shimizu b

<sup>a</sup> The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-01, Japan <sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Chemistry, School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, 3-4-1 Ookubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169, Japan

The reaction of a conjugated enyne, *cis*-(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)CH=CHC=CSiMe<sub>3</sub>, with [RuCl(CO)H(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] gives a quantitative yield of a stable complex whose molecular structure is formally regarded as the result of either 1,2-addition of the H–Ru to the double bond or 1,4-addition of the H–Ru to the conjugated enyne; the former bonding scheme operates when the enyne is hydrogenated by [Ru(CO)H<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] or [RuH<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>], the sole product being Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=CSiMe<sub>3</sub>.

The partial hydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes has been realized by many heterogeneous systems,<sup>1</sup> *e.g.* the Lindlar catalyst (Pd/BaSO<sub>4</sub>), and several homogeneous catalysts such as (arene)tricarbonylchromium,<sup>2</sup> and cationic Rh<sup>I</sup> or Fe<sup>II</sup> complexes.<sup>3</sup> The hydrogenation of conjugated enynes by

homogeneous Pd<sup>II</sup> has been demonstrated to give conjugated dienes in good yields,<sup>4</sup> suggesting that triple bonds are more reactive than double bonds. The high selectivity observed for the hydrogenation of phenylacetylene to styrene by [OsCl-(CO)H(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]–H<sub>2</sub> has been attributed to the thermodynamic



Scheme 1 Complex 4 is the  $(p-MeOC_6H_4)_3P$  analogue of 3



Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of complex 3. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) are: Ru-C(2) 2.282(5), Ru-C(3) 2.192(5), Ru-C(4) 2.366(5), C(1)-C(2) 1.503(7), C(2)-C(3) 1.398(7), C(3)-C(4) 1.250(7), C(1)-Si(1) 1.890(6), C(4)-Si(2) 1.858(6), P(1)-Ru-C(2) 90.2(1), P(2)-Ru-C(4) 96.8(1), C(1)-C(2)-C(3) 121.4(5), C(2)-C(3)-C(4) 157.1(5), C(2)-C(1)-Si(1) 111.1(4), C(3)-C(4)-Si(2) 141.1(5).

stability of the metal–vinyl intermediate over the metal–alkyl species.<sup>5</sup> The reaction of an analogous ruthenium complex, [RuCl(CO)H(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] 1, with mono- and di-substituted alkynes is known to give stable alkenyl complexes of the type [RuCl(CO)(R'C=CHR)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>6</sup> Insertion products with simple alkenes, on the other hand, are thermally unstable, because  $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination takes place readily from alkylruthenium complexes.

The reaction of excess of cis-(R)CH=CH-C=C-R 2 (R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>) with 1 takes place in tetrahydrofuran (thf) smoothly at room temperature to give orange crystals of 3,† of the composition [RuCl(CO)(H·RCHCHC<sub>2</sub>R)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] in almost



quantitative yield (Scheme 1). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of complex **3** shows two of the three protons of the C<sub>4</sub> chain in the aliphatic region,  $\delta$  1.48 and 1.26. That these two protons are attached to the same carbon atom forming a CH<sub>2</sub> group is further confirmed by a 2-D <sup>13</sup>C<sup>-1</sup>H shift correlation NMR spectrum of the P(*p*-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> analogue, [RuCl(CO)-(H-RCHCHC<sub>2</sub>R){P(*p*-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}] **4** (R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>).‡

An X-ray structure analysis of 3§ revealed that the C<sub>4</sub> skeleton lies approximately in the Ru-P-P plane. Three of the carbon atoms interact with the metal  $[\eta^3$ -coordination to C(2)-C(3)-C(4)] while the fourth carbon is a CH<sub>2</sub> group. Thus Ru-C(2)-C(3)-C(4) are essentially coplanar, with Ru-C(2) 2.282(5), Ru-C(3) 2.192(5) and Ru-C(4) 2.366(5) Å and a C(2)-C(3)-C(4) angle of 157.1(5)°. The structure may be viewed as a combination of resonance forms 3 and 3', but the bonding scheme 3 appears to be more important in an analogous reaction (Scheme 2); when an excess of 2 was treated with [RuH<sub>2</sub>(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] or [RuH<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>], the only product observed was Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=CSiMe<sub>3</sub>.¶ To the best of our knowledge, the preferential reduction of the double bond in enynes, leading to alkynes, has not been reported, probably because only trans-envnes have been employed. Complexes with the ' $\eta^3$ -propynyl' type coordination are also rare and there are only two precedents:  $[Os(\eta^3 -$ PhC<sub>3</sub>CHPh)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub> and [Ru(CCPh)(η<sup>3</sup>-PhC<sub>3</sub>CHPh)-(cyttp)] [cyttp = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>P{ $(CH_2)_3P(c-C_6H_{11})_2$ }], both of which have been synthesized using a coupling reaction of phenylacetylene.7,8

Coordination of the triple bond in **3** appears to be maintained in solution, which should make the complex rather stable. Although it has aliphatic  $\beta$ -hydrogens, decomposition of **3** in benzene is negligible after 10 hours at room temperature. Moreover, the very different <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling of the methylene protons H(C1)1 and H(C1)2 (Fig. 1) with H(C2) and the P atoms suggests that rotation of the Ru–C(2) and C(1)–C(2) bonds is quite restricted.

In contrast to the unexpected regioselectivity of 2 described above, a similar reaction of *trans*-(R)CH=CHC=CR (R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>) with 1 yielded the conventional product where the Ru–H had added to the triple bond of the enyne.<sup>9</sup> Isomerization between this complex and 3 is not observed.

 $\prescript{1}\pr$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Complex **3**: m.p. 157–159 °C (decomp.), <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  3.84 (dt, 1H,  $J_{HH}$  4 and 13,  $J_{HP}$  4 Hz), 1.48 (td, 1H,  $J_{HH} = J_{HH} = 13$ ,  $J_{HP}$  1.7 Hz), 1.26 (dt, 1H,  $J_{HH}$  4 and 13,  $J_{HP}$  4 Hz), 0.23 (s, 9H) and 0.04 (s, 9H). Satisfactory C and H analyses were obtained for **3**.

<sup>‡</sup> Solubility of the complex was improved by use of P(*p*-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> so that the complex could be characterized by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. Complex 4: m.p. 100–103 °C (decomp.), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 112.03 (–C≡), 59.39 (CH–Ru), 59.05 (≡C–Si), 21.28 (–CH<sub>2</sub>–), 1.639 (Si–CH<sub>3</sub>) and –1.943 (Si–CH<sub>3</sub>). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 4 is similar to that of **3**.

<sup>§</sup> Crystal data for 3 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solvate): M = 971.5, orthorhombic, space group Pbca, a = 25.846(4), b = 20.379(3), c = 18.271(2) Å, U = 9623.7 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8,  $D_c = 1.34$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 12 079 reflections measured for  $2.0 < \theta < 27.5^\circ$ , final residual R = 0.062 for 9303 observed reflections ( $F > 3.0\sigma F$ ). All data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å). The structure was solved by direct methods (MULTAN) and refined by block-diagonal least squares. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

We thank Dr J. Uzawa for measurement and discussion of the NMR spectra.

Received, 7th November 1990; Com. 0/05013J

## References

- E. N. Marvell and T. Li, Synthesis, 1973, 457; A. B. Holmes, R. A. Raphael and N. K. Wellard, Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 1539; E. N. Marvell and J. Tashiro, J. Org. Chem., 1965, **30**, 3991; P. Mildner and B. C. L. Weedon, J. Chem. Soc., 1953, 3294; D. J. Cram and N. L. Alinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1956, **78**, 2518; H. P. Figeys and M. Gelbecke, Tetrahedron Lett., 1970, 5139; R. J. Tedeschi, J. Org. Chem., 1962, **27**, 2398; Y. Nitta, T. Imanaka and S. Teranishi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1981, **54**, 3579.
- 2 M. Sodeoka and M. Shibasaki, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 1147.

- 3 R. R. Schrock and J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 2143; C. Bianchini, A. Meli, M. Peruzzini, F. Vizza and F. Zanobini, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 2080.
- G. V. M. Sharma, B. M. Choudary, M. R. Sarma and K. K. Rao, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 2997; B. M. Choudary, G. V. M. Sharma and P. Bharathi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1989, 28, 465.
  A. Andriollo, M. A. Esteruelas, U. Meyer, L. A. Oro, R. A.
- 5 A. Andriollo, M. A. Esteruelas, U. Meyer, L. A. Oro, R. A. Sanchez-Delgado, E. Sola, C. Valero and H. Werner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 7431.
- 6 M. R. Torres, A. Vegas, A. Santos and J. Ros, J. Organomet. Chem., 1987, **326**, 413.
- 7 J. Gotzig, H. Otto and H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 287, 247.
- 8 J. Guochen, A. L. Reingold and D. W. Meek, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 1378.
- 9 Y. Wakatsuki, H., Yamazaki, Y. Maruyama and I. Shimizu, unpublished results.