## Stereocontrolled Functionalization of Cycloheptatrieneiron Complexes. Synthesis of Polyhydroxylated Cycloheptane Derivatives

## Anthony J. Pearson\* and Kumar Srinivasan

Department of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA

Osmylation and hydroboration of  $\eta^4$ -cycloheptatriene–Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>L complexes [L = CO or P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>] proceeds with excellent regio- and stereo-selectivity, owing to the profound directing effects of the Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>L group.

In recent years we have reported<sup>1</sup> methods for stereocontrolled attachment of carbon substituents to cycloheptadiene *via* nucleophile addition to cycloheptadienyl–Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>L cations [*e.g.*, L = P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>]. This has resulted in syntheses of the Prelog–Djerassi lactone in optically pure form, as well as intermediates for synthesis of the macrolide antibiotics carbomycin B and tylosin.<sup>2</sup> We present herein methods for

stereocontrolled hydroxylation of cycloheptatriene derivatives, which we anticipate will be useful for the construction of a variety of heptitol and aminoheptitol derivatives.<sup>3</sup>

It has been shown previously that a diene–Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> system is stable during hydroboration and stoichiometric osmylation reactions of an attached alkene group.<sup>4,5</sup> We have now found that these reactions may be carried out on substituted



TBS = Bu<sup>t</sup>Me<sub>2</sub>Si

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, CeCl<sub>3</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, MeOH, 0 °C; ii, Bu<sup>4</sup>Me<sub>2</sub>SiOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, pyridine, 4-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) catalyst, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; iii, OsO<sub>4</sub> (1 equiv.), pyridine, tetrahydrofuran (THF), then NaHSO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O; iv, OsO<sub>4</sub> (catalyst), Bu<sup>4</sup>OOH, Et<sub>4</sub>NOAc, acetone; v, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH; vi, CSA (catalyst), Me<sub>2</sub>C(OMe)<sub>2</sub>, acetone, 0 °C; vii, BH<sub>3</sub>·THF, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O

 $\eta^4$ -cycloheptatriene–Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>L complexes with complete stereo- and regio-selectivity, attributable to the directing effects of the diene-metal moiety.<sup>6</sup> Thus, the protected alcohol **3**, readily prepared in high yield from the known tropone–Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> complex **1**, was converted to a single diol **4** in 99% yield on osmylation under stoichiometric conditions.<sup>†</sup>



TBS = Bu<sup>t</sup>Me<sub>2</sub>Si

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: i, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; ii, BH<sub>3</sub>·THF, NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O; iii, OsO<sub>4</sub> (catalyst), Bu<sup>1</sup>OOH, Et<sub>4</sub>NOAc, acetone; iv, NaBH<sub>4</sub>; v, Me<sub>3</sub>NO, MeCONMe<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 4 h; vi, CuCl<sub>2</sub>, EtOH; vii, CrO<sub>3</sub>·2 pyridine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>



Catalytic osmylation, using the method of Sharpless,<sup>7</sup> also gave 4, but this was usually contaminated by the ketol 5, the ratio being dependent on reaction time. Thus, a shorter reaction time (6 h) gives a 4:1 mixture in favour of 4, while a longer reaction time (overnight) give greater amounts of 5. The regioselectivity during the overoxidation is interesting and potentially valuable, and the use of catalytic conditions is especially noteworthy in view of the usual sensitivity of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> All new compounds were obtained as racemic mixtures, were purified by preparative TLC or flash chromatography, and were fully characterized by 200 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR and IR spectroscopy. Elemental composition was confirmed by combustion analysis and/or high resolution mass spectrometry.

diene–Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> complexes to, *e.g.*, alkaline hydroperoxides.<sup>8</sup> While complexes 4 and 5 are chromatographically inseparable, reduction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> gave a mixture of 4 and 6 which were readily separated. Treatment of 4 with a catalytic amount of camphorsulphonic (CSA) acid–methanol under anhydrous conditions afforded exclusively the symmetrically substituted complex 7, easily recognized by its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum.‡

Hydroboration of 3 was also completely stereo- and regio-selective, giving complex 8 as the only product. In all these functionalization reactions, the stereodirecting power of the Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> group is reinforced by the tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy substituent, and the regiochemistry of hydroboration is controlled by both the neighbouring diene-Fe(CO) $_3$  group§ and the ether.9 A more stringent test of the directing power of the organometallic system was therefore sought. Deprotonation of the methyl-substituted dienyl complex 9 afforded 10 in 56% yield. Hydroboration of 10 afforded a single complex 11 in 96% yield, the structure of which was confirmed by comparison with an authentic sample.<sup>10</sup> Osmylation of 10, under catalytic conditions, afforded exclusively the ketol 12. Formation of ketol in the latter transformation is consistent with the observation that osmylation of 10 is much slower than osmylation of 3, as expected, so that competing oxidation of the initially formed diol cannot be prevented. Borohydride reduction of 12 afforded a single diol 13. These functionalizations of the uncomplexed double bond of 10 demonstrate the profound stereo- and regio-control that may be expected from the Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>L unit, and contrast with effects observed during reactions of conformationally less rigid cycloheptadienone complexes discussed in the accompanying communication.<sup>10</sup>

Decomplexation of the products of these reactions provides access to a range of cycloheptadiene derivatives, such as 14, 15 and 16. Functionalization of the diene, using methods

§ Stabilization of a partial positive charge  $\alpha$  to a diene–Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> group accounts for the regiochemistry of hydroboration. See also ref. 10.

established during our earlier studies.<sup>2</sup> coupled with ring cleavage is expected to give a range of stereochemically defined heptitols, and this is currently under investigation in our laboratory.

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for financial support (grant CHE-8921944).

Received, 12th November 1990; Com. 0/05088A

## References

- 1 A. J. Pearson, S. L. Kole and T. Ray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 6060.
- A. J. Pearson and T. Ray, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1986, 27, 3111; A. J.
  Pearson and Y. S. Lai, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 442; A. J. Pearson, Y. S. Lai, W. Lu and A. A. Pinkerton, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, 54, 3882.
- 3 N. Sakairi, M. Hayashida, A. Amano and H. Kuzuhara, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 1301; J. G. Buchanan, K. W. Lumbard, R. J. Sturgeon, D. K. Thompson, and R. H. Wightman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 699; I. Bruce, G. W. J. Fleet, I. Cenci di Bello and B. Winchester, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 7257; G. W. J. Fleet, N. M. Carpenter, S. Petursson and N. G. Ramsden, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 409, and references cited therein.
- 4 D. V. Banthorpe, H. Fitton and J. Lewis, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1973, 2051; G. Evans, B. F. G. Johnson and J. Lewis, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1975, **102**, 507; D. H. R. Barton, A. A. L. Gunatilaka, T. Nakanishi, H. Patin, D. A. Widdowson and B. R. Worth, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1976, 821.
- 5 A. J. Pearson and Y. S. Chen, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 1939; R. Grée, Synthesis, 1989, 341.
- 6 See also: M. Rosenblum and J. C. Watkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 6316; M. Franck-Neumann and D. Martina, *Tetra*hedron Lett., 1975, 1759; J. H. Rigby and C. O. Ogbu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**, 3385.
- 7 K. Akashi, R. E. Palermo and K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2063.
- 8 M. Franck-Neumann, D. Heitz and D. Martina, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, 24, 1615.
- 9 G. M. L. Cragg, Organoboranes in Organic Synthesis, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973; H. C. Brown, Organic Synthesis via Boranes, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1975; D. A. Evans, G. C. Fu and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 6917.
- 10 A. J. Pearson and K.-Y. Chang, accompanying communication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> A plausible mechanism for this transformation is shown in Scheme 3. The interesting selectivity observed suggests that this type of procedure can be used in a stepwise fashion to introduce substituents other than methoxy.