The First Neutral, Mononuclear 4f Metal Thiolates and New Methods for Corresponding Aryl Oxides and Bis(trimethylsilyl)amides[†]

Bekir Cetinkaya, Peter B. Hitchcock, Michael F. Lappert and Richard G. Smith

School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK

The first neutral, mononuclear 4f metal thiolates, $[Sm(SAr)_3]$ 1 and $[Yb(SAr)_2(dme)_2]$ 2, have been prepared and X-ray characterised [<Sm-S> 2.645(9) Å, <Yb-S> 2.756(8) Å], 1 from $[Sm(CHR'_2)_3] + 3ArSH$ and 2 from $[Yb(NR'_2)_2(dme)_2]$ [obtained from Yb + Sn(NR'_2)_2]; 1 was not readily accessible from ArSH and Yb in liquid NH₃, although replacing ArSH by Ar'OH yielded $[Yb(OAr')_2(thf)_3]$ [Ar = C₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6; Ar' = C₆H₂Bu^t₂-2,6-Me-4; R' = SiMe_3, dme = (MeOCH_2)_2, thf = OC_4H_8].

We report the synthesis of the first mononuclear 4f metal thiolates $[Sm(SAr)_3]$ 1 [eqn. (1)] and $[Yb(SAr)_2(dme)_2]$ 2 (Scheme 1) (Ar = C₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6; dme = MeOCH₂-CH₂OMe). Compound 2 is also the first 4f metal(II)-sulfur complex.

The choice of a metal alkyl as precursor, eqn. (1), is likely to have widespread application. It has the convenience that the alkane by-product is unreactive and readily removed; its use appears previously to have been restricted to lithium thiolates, as for LiSAr(OEt₂).¹ Selection of a metal bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (*e.g.* **3** in Scheme 1) as precursor is more general, as for Attempts to make an ytterbium(II) thiolate from Yb in liquid ammonia and ArSH in thf did not yield a characterised product, but the corresponding reaction using Ar'OH (reaction i in Scheme 1) to yield the known⁸ ytterbium aryl

[†] No reprints available.

[¶] Permanent address: Inönü University, Malatya, Turkey.

Table 1 Comparative selected structural data for some crystalline lanthanide metal thiolates

Compound	d(Ln-S)/Å	$\angle(SLnS')/^{\circ}$	CN of Ln	Ref.
$\begin{array}{l} \left[Sm(SAr)_{3} \right] 1 \\ \left[Yb(SAr)_{2}(dme)_{2} \right] 2 \\ \left[\{ Ce(\eta - C_{5}H_{4}Bu')_{2}(\mu - SPr^{i}) \}_{2} \right] \\ \left[\{ Gd[N(SiMe_{3})_{2}]_{2}(\mu - SBu') \}_{2} \right] \\ \left[La(\eta - C_{5}Me_{5})_{2}(\mu - SBu')_{2}Li(thf)_{2} \right] \end{array}$	2.645(9) av. 2.756(8) 2.882(2) av. 2.791(5) av. 2.715(4) av.	119.4(2) av. 124.4(2) 78.9(1) 70.9(2) 73.6(1)	3 6 4 4 4 (+4)a	This work This work 10 11 12

^{*a*} An η -cyclopentadienyl may be taken as occupying one (or three) coordination sites around the metal; CN = coordination number.



Scheme 1 New routes to some ytterbium(II) complexes. Abbreviations: Ar = $C_6H_2Bu'_3$ -2,4,6; Ar' = $C_6H_2Bu'_2$ -2,6-Me-4; thf = OC_4H_8 ; dme = (MeOCH₂)₂. Reaction conditions: i, Ar'OH in thf added to Yb in NH₃ (I) at -78 °C, then at 20 °C; ii, Sn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂, thf, reflux, 8 h, and subsequent recrystallisation (C_6H_{14} -dme); iii, 2ArSH, C_6H_{14} , 20 °C. Characterisation: NMR spectroscopy,‡ X-ray data (for 2, Fig. 2), and comparison with literature data for 4.⁸

oxide [Yb(OAr')₂(thf)₃] 4 was effective (Ar' = $C_6H_2But_2-2,6-Me-4$). Similar use of liquid ammonia for reactions of lanthanide metals has yielded [Yb(η - C_5Me_5)₂(NH₃)(thf)],^{9a} [Eu(η - C_5Me_5)₂(thf)] and [Yb(η - C_8H_8)(NC₅H₅)₃]· $\frac{1}{2}$ NC₅H₅.^{9b}

The crystalline compounds 1–3 gave satisfactory analytical and NMR[‡] data, and single crystal X-ray diffraction studies§

[‡] *NMR spectroscopic data*: **1**: ¹H NMR (80 MHz, C₆D₅CD₃, 298 K): δ −2.27 (*o*-Bu'), 2.09 (*p*-Bu'), 8.21 (*m*-H); ¹³C (90 MHz, C₆D₅CD₃, 298 K): δ 28.18 [*o*-C(CH₃)₃], 37.32 [*o*-C(CH₃)₃], 31.50 [*p*-C(CH₃)₃], 39.82 [*p*-C(CH₃)₃], 145.6 (*o*-C), 130.8 (*m*-C), 150.2 (*p*-C) (*ipso*-C not observed). **2**: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 1.45 (*p*-Bu'), 1.59 (Me of dme), 1.99 (*o*-Bu'), 3.09 (CH₂ of dme), 7.54 (*m*-H); ¹⁹¹Yb NMR (63.02 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 485 {rel. to [Yb(η-C₅Me₅)₂-(thf)₂]}.

§ *Crystal data* [*T* 295 K, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å)], no crystal decay, full-matrix least-squares refinement with Sm, Yb and S atoms anisotropic, H atoms fixed, $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$.

1, $C_{54}H_{87}S_3Sm$, M = 982.8, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 10.181(9), b = 37.510(15), c = 14.389(6) Å, $\beta = 99.76(5)^\circ$, U = 5415.4 Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.21$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α] = 12.3 cm⁻¹, specimen 0.5 × 0.5 mm, 7182 unique reflections for $2 < \theta < 22^\circ$, 3508 with $|F^2| > \sigma(F^2)$ used in the refinement where $\sigma(F^2) = \{\sigma(I) + (0.04I)^2\}^4/Lp$. An absorption correction (max. 1.64 and min. 0.64) was applied after isotropic refinement (anisotropically refining C atoms produced several negative thermal parameters); R = 0.12 and $R_w = 0.14$. A final difference map had peaks up to 1.4 e Å⁻³ near the Sm atom.

2. $C_{44}H_{78}O_4S_2Yb$, M = 908.3, tetragonal, space group $I\overline{4}2d$ (No. 122), a = b = 21.850(3), c = 22.937(3) Å, U = 10950.4 Å³, Z = 8, $D_c = 1.10$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 18.1 cm⁻¹, specimen 0.3 × 0.3 × 0.1 mm, 1474 unique reflections for $0 < \theta < 22^\circ$, 1166 with $|F^2| > 2\sigma(F^2)$ used in the refinement where $\sigma(F^2) = \{\sigma^2(I) + (0.00I)^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}/Lp$, absorption correction (max. 1.34 and min. 0.73); R = 0.067, $R_w = 0.093$. The molecule lies on a crystallographic two-fold rotation axis. No difference in R or R_w for opposite absolute structure.

Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.



Fig. 1 The molecular structure of $[Sm(SC_6H_2Bu'_3-2,4,6)_3]$ 1 and ato numbering scheme. Selected bond distances and angles: Sm–S(2.646(7), Sm–S(2) 2.652(9), Sm–S(3) 2.634(9), Sm···C(1) 3.13(2 Sm···C(19) 2.97(2), Sm···C(37) 2.88(3), Sm···C(2) 3.31(2 Sm···C(24) 3.11(2), Sm···C(38) 3.29(3), Sm···C(10) 2.98(2 Sm···C(31) 2.85(3), Sm···C(46) 3.23(4) Å; S(1)–Sm–S(2) 122.4(2 S(1)–Sm–S(3) 112.2(2), S(2)–Sm–S(3) 123.7(3), Sm–S(1)–C(87.9(7), Sm–S(2)–C(19) 82.4(9), Sm–S(3)–C(37) 78.5(9)°.

on compounds 1 (Fig. 1) and 2 (Fig. 2) established the molecular structures. The only previously X-ray-characterise lanthanide metal thiolates were $[{Ce(\eta - C_5H_4Bu^t)_2(|$ SPr^{i} , $[{Gd(NR'_{2})_{2}(\mu - SBu^{t})}_{2}]$, 11 and $[Lu(\eta - C_{5}Me_{5})_{2}(\mu - SBu^{t})]_{2}$ SBu')2Li(thf)2];12 comparative Ln-S and S-Ln-S' bor lengths and angles for these and complexes 1 and 2 are show in Table 1. Complexes 1 and 2 are the first lanthanide met (Ln) complexes to have terminally bound thiolato ligands; no surprisingly, therefore, they have short Ln-S bond length X-Ray data are also available for two complexes isoleptic wit 1, $[M(SAr)_3]$ (M = Al or Ga);¹³ these have an almost plan; MS_3 core with $\langle Al-S \rangle = 2.185(8)$ Å and $\langle Ga-S \rangle = 2.205(8)$ Å Bivalent M(SAr)₂ complexes to have been similarly chara terised are $Sn(SAr)_2$,¹ [{M(SAr)(μ -SAr)}₂] (M = Mn,¹⁴ Fe, Co,¹⁴ or Cd²), and [Zn(SAr)₂(OEt₂)].¹⁵ Crystallographic da on Ln-S complexes are now available for the five thiolates (Table 1 and for $[Yb(\eta-C_5Me_5)_2(\eta^2-S_2CNEt_2)]$ [<Yb-S 2.70(1) Å],¹⁶ [Yb{(NR')₂SPh}(η^2 -S₂ČNMe₂)] [<Yb-S 2.716(3) Å],¹⁷ and [Sm(η -C₅Me₅)₂(η^2 -S₂CNMe₂)] [Sm-2.808(2) Å].¹⁸

The SmS₃ skeleton in complex 1 approximates to having *D* symmetry, although the S–Sm–S angles deviate from the trigonal by up to 7.8°. The Sm atom is 0.149(2) Å from the s plane. The Sm–S–C angles are acute, 78.5(9) to 87.9(7)°. Or *tert*-butyl group on each \neg SAr ligand has short Sm···C(Me



Fig. 2 The molecular structure of $[Yb(SC_6H_2Bu'_3-2,4,6)_2(dme)_2]$ 2 and atom numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths and angles: Yb–S 2.756(8), Yb–O(1) 2.41(2), Yb–O(2) 2.66(2) Å; S–Yb–S' 124.4(2), S–Yb–O(1) 89.6(5), S–Yb–O(1') 112.3(5), S–Yb–O(2) 149.7(6), S–Yb–O(2') 83.0(6), O(1)–Yb–O(2) 65.8(8), O(1)–Yb–O(1') 133.0(7), O(1)–Yb–O(2') 77.1(8), Yb–S–C(1) 125.0(8)°.

contacts, av. 3.02(3) Å, and the *ipso-* and *ortho*-carbons are close to the metal, av. 3.12(2) Å. γ -Agostic interactions were previously observed in the parent alkyl [Sm{CH(SiMe_3)_2}_3], Sm…C(Me) 2.85(3) Å,³ and, as for 1, are attributable to the coordinative unsaturation of a formally three-coordinate lanthanide metal complex; they were also found in [M(SAr)_3] (M = Al or Ga).¹³

In 2 the Yb atom, which lies on a crystallographic two-fold rotation axis, has distorted octahedral coordination with a large angle of $124.4(2)^{\circ}$ between the *cis*-SAr groups, and a small bite angle of $65.8(8)^{\circ}$ for the dme ligand. The Yb–S bond length is 2.756(8) Å, whilst the Yb–O bonds are 2.66(2) Å *trans* to S and 2.41(2) Å *trans* to O.

We thank SERC and Leverhulme Trust for support, SERC and ICI plc Chemicals and Polymers Group (and Dr P. J. V. Jones) for a CASE award to R. G. S., and the Turkish Academy (TUBITAK) and the Royal Society for a grant for B. Ç.

Received, 2nd April 1992; Com. 2/01744J

References

- 1 P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, B. J. Samways and E. L. Weinberg, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 1492.
- 2 M. Bochmann, K. Webb, M. Harman and M. B. Hursthouse, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 638.
- 3 P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, R. G. Smith, R. A. Bartlett and P. P. Power, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 1007.
- 4 S. A. Holmes and M. F. Lappert, unpublished work.
- 5 (a) T. D. Tilley, R. A. Andersen and A. Zalkin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, **23**, 2271; (b) Yu. F. Rad'kov, E. A. Fedorova, S. Ya. Khorshev, G. S. Kalinina, M. N. Bochkarev and G. A. Razuvaev, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1985, **55**, 2153.
- 6 T. D. Tilley, R. A. Andersen, A. Zalkin and D. H. Templeton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, 21, 2644.
- 7 H. Westerhausen, Inorg. Chem., 1991, 30, 90.
- G. B. Deacon, P. B. Hitchcock, S. A. Holmes, M. F. Lappert, P. MacKinnon and R. H. Newnham, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 935.
 (a) A. L. Wayda, I. Mukerji, J. L. Dye and R. D. Rogers,
- 9 (a) A. L. Wayda, I. Mukerji, J. L. Dye and R. D. Rogers, Organometallics, 1987, 6, 1328; (b) A. L. Wayda, J. L. Dye and R. D. Rogers, Organometallics, 1984, 3, 1605.
- 10 S. D. Stults, R. A. Andersen and A. Zalkin, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 1623.
- 11 H. C. Aspinall, D. C. Bradley, M. B. Hursthouse, K. D. Sales and N. P. C. Walker, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1585.
- 12 H. Schumann, I. Albrecht, M. Gallagher, E. Hahn, C. Munchmore and J. Pickardt, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 349, 103.
- 13 K. Ruhlandt-Senge and P. P. Power, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2633.
- 14 P. P. Power and S. C. Shoner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 330.
- 15 P. P. Power and S. C. Shoner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 1403.
- 16 T. D. Tilley, R. A. Andersen, A. Zalkin and D. H. Templeton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, 21, 2644.
- 17 M. Wedler, M. Noltemeyer, U. Pieper, H.-G. Schmidt, D. Stalke and F. T. Edelmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 894.
- 18 A. Recknagel, M. Noltemeyer, D. Stalke, U. Pieper, H.-G. Schmidt and F. T. Edelmann, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 411, 347.