A Chiral Sensor Based on a Peroctylated α -Cyclodextrin

Paul S. Bates, Ritu Kataky and David Parker*

Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

A peroctylated α -cyclodextrin is used in a potentiometric ion-selective electrode to measure the enantiomeric purity of ephedrine in the presence of serum cations.

The development of a potentiometric ion-selective electrode that can be calibrated to read the enantiomeric purity of a chiral analyte is an attractive target. Previous work has concentrated on the interaction of chiral ammonium salts with enantiopure crown ether derivatives.^{1,2} Some evidence for

enantioselective complexation has been reported although cation interference (Na^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}) in binding the arylammonium ion is severe. Although encouraging chiral recognition is well-defined with certain synthetic receptors,³ these are most successful in the selective complexation of amino acid



derivatives. Prompted by the use of peralkylated cyclodextrins as gas-chromatographic or HPLC chiral stationary phases,⁴ the potential of lipophilic peroctylated cyclodextrins in electrochemical sensors for a range of chiral molecules incorporating aryl rings is being investigated.

Octylation of α -cyclodextrin (NaOH, Me₂SO, C₈H₁₇Br, 20 °C) yielded the 2,6-di-O-octyl- α -cyclodextrin 1a (53%) as the major product, characterised by field desorption mass spectrometry (FDMS) (m/z 2320, 2319, 2318 [M+]) and the appearance of a clean doublet in the ¹H NMR spectrum for the anomeric proton H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.90). Further alkylation was achieved under more forcing conditions (NaH, tetrahydrofuran, 60 °C, 4 days) to give the 2,3,6-tri-O-octyl derivative 1b (73%). FDMS revealed the presence of compounds with 15, 16 and 17 octyl groups as well as the desired compound (m/z)2990.6, M+). Confirmation of partial alkylation was provided by reductive depolymerisation (Et₃SiH, BF₃, CH₂Cl₂), which yielded alkylated 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitols, 2, which were analysed by ¹H NMR and mass spectrometry [DCI (desorption chemical ionisation)] highlight the presence of between 15 and 25% of under-alkylated material. Peroctylation of β-cyclodextrin was effected in a similar stepwise manner, yielding 1c and d.[†]

Using 1–2 mol% **1b**, an electroactive membrane was prepared [32.8% PVC, 0.4% p-(Cl-Ph)₄B-K⁺] using either bis(butylpentyl)adipate (BBPA) or *ortho*-nitrophenyloctyl ether (*o*-NPOE) as plasticiser. With a BBPA plasticiser using 1 mmol dm⁻³ ammonium chloride inner filling solutions, (+)-ephedrinium hydrochloride **3** gave a nernstian response

Id (m/z FD) 3491 (M+2), 3490, 3489, δ_{H} 4.91 (1H, d, H-1), 4.09–3.31 (91H, m, CHO+CH₂O), 1.52 (42H, m, CH₂C), 1.34 (210H, m, CH₂C), 0.81 (63H, t, Me).



Fig. 1 Behaviour of the electrodes in solutions of varying enantiomeric purity [electroactive membrane peroctyl α -CD/BBPA or peroctyl α -CD/o-NPOE; 310 K; conditioned in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ (+) or (-) 3]. \Box : +/BBPA; \blacksquare : -/BBPA; \bigcirc : +/o-NPOE; \bigcirc : -/o-NPOE.

Table 1 Electrode characteristics of membrane electrodes at 310 K

Analyte	Plasticiser	Slope (mV/deca	Limit of detection de) -log[C]	Overall ^b selectivity –logK ^{POT}
(−) 3 ·HCl	BBPA	50.0	6.60	
(+) 3 ·HCl	BBPA	60.0	6.30	
$(+)3\cdot\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{l}^{a}$	BBPA	60.0	4.64	3.90
$(-)3\cdot\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{l}^{a}$	BBPA	_	4.40	3.51
$(+)3 \cdot HCl$	o-NPOE	56.0	5.25	
(−) 3 ·HCl	o-NPOE			
$(+)3 \cdot HCl^{a}$	o-NPOE	58.0	4.70	3.91
(−) 5 ·HCl	o-NPOE	58.0	4.73	_
(+) 5 ·HCl	o-NPOE	60.0	5.19	_
$(-)5 \cdot \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{l}^{a}$	o-NPOE	60.0	3.95	3.13
$(+)5\cdot\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{l}^{a}$	o-NPOE	59.0	4.14	3.32
$(+)5 \cdot HCl^{a}$	BBPA	58.0	5.05	_
(−)5·HCl	BBPA	46.0	3.80	_
$(+)5\cdot\mathbf{HCl}^{a}$	BBPA	58.0	2.90	2.1
$(-)4\cdot\mathbf{HCl}$	BBPA	59.0	5.90	_
(+) 4 .HCl	BBPA	59.0	6.10	

^a Background of serum levels of Na⁺ (150 mmol dm⁻³), K⁺ (4.3 mmol dm⁻³), Ca²⁺ (1.26 mmol dm⁻³). ^b The overall selectivity coefficient gives a measure of the interference from serum levels of Na⁺, K⁺ and Ca²⁺. $K_{ij}^{\text{pot}} = a_i/(a_j)^{z_i/z_j}$ where $a_i = \text{primary ion}$, $a_j = \text{interfering ion}$, z_i , z_j is the charge on ion.

(60 mV/decade change at 25 °C) with a limit of detection of $10^{-6.3}$ mol dm⁻³. This sensitivity was only slightly affected by the presence of serum levels of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ (150 mmol dm⁻³, 4.3 mmol dm⁻³ and 1.26 mmol dm⁻³, respectively) giving an overall selectivity coefficient, $-\log K_{ij}^{POT} = 3.9$. With (-)-ephedrinium hydrochloride, a reduced slope was observed (50 mV/decade, limit of detection $10^{-6.6}$). The 'bias' potential of the two electrodes—one conditioned in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ (+)-ephedrinium hydrochloride, the other with the (-)-enantiomer—was measured in a cell with no liquid junctions, giving a value of 24.5 (±0.5) mV, with BBPA as plasticiser, at room temperature, constant over 4 h. This emf (electromotive force) difference may be related to a free-energy difference of 2.4 (±0.05) kJ mol⁻¹ for formation of the diastereoisomeric cyclodextrin complexes in this sensor.

[†] NMR spectral assignments were confirmed by ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ and ${}^{1}H{}^{-1}H$ 2D-COSY experiments. *Selected data*: **1c** (*m*/*z* FD) 2706 (M+2), 2705, 2704, δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 0.85 (42H, t, Me), 1.14 (140H, mult, CH₂C), 1.55 (28H, mult, OCH₂CH₂), 3.31 (7H, dd, *J* 3.6, 9.6 Hz, H-2), 3.41 (14H, brt, CH₂O-C₂), 3.40, 3.89 (14H, m, H-4, H-3), 3.56, 3.68 (14H, m, C-6CH₂O), 3.58, 3.93 (14H, m, C-6-OCH₂) 3.70 (7H, m, H-5), 4.87 (7H, d, H-1, *J* 3.6 Hz), 5.50 (7H, brs, OH). δ_{C} (CDCl₃) 14.00 (Me), 22.58, 25.70, 26.08, 29.17, 29.27, 29.32, 29.43, 29.59, 29.65, 31.79 (CH₂C); 69.00 (C-6, CH₂O), 70.35 (C-5, CHO), 71.52 (C-2-O-CH₂R), 72.95 (C-6-O-CH₂R), 73.37 (CHOH), 80.34 (C-2, CHOR), 83.44 (C-4, CHO⁻), 101.79 (C-1, CHO).

 $[\]begin{array}{l} \textbf{lb} \ \delta_{H}(\text{CDCl}_3) \ 0.83 \ (54\text{H}, t, \text{Me}), \ 1.33 \ (180\text{H}, m, \text{CH}_2\text{C}), \ 1.51 \ (36\text{H}, m, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}), \ 3.29 \ (6\text{H}, \text{dd}, \text{J} \ 3.3, \ 9.65 \ \text{Hz}, \text{H-2}), \ 3.32 \ (12\text{H}, t, \text{CH}_2\text{O}\text{C}H_2\text{C}H_2), \ 3.40 \ (189\text{H} \ \text{mult}, \text{H-4} + \text{CHOCH}_2), \ 3.57 - 3.59 \ (18\text{H}, \text{mult}, \text{CHC}H_2\text{O} + \text{CHOC}H_2), \ 3.80 \ (6\text{H}, \ \text{br}, \ \text{mult}, \text{H-5}), \ 3.87 \ (6\text{H}, \text{mult}, \text{CHOC}H_2), \ 4.01 \ (6\text{H}, \text{dd}, \text{H-3}), \ 4.83 \ (6\text{H}, \text{d}, \text{J} \ 3.3 \ \text{Hz}, \text{H-1}). \end{array}$

Using o-NPOE as plasticiser, the response to (+)-ephedrine hydrochloride was good (Table 1), but with (-)-ephedrine hydrochloride a reversal of slope was observed in solutions more dilute than $10^{-2.8}$ mol dm⁻³. Since orthonitrophenol is known to bind to α -cyclodextrin,⁵ a likely explanation of this effect is related to the competitive binding of the plasticiser by the peroctyl- α -cyclodextrin.

Using solutions of predetermined enantiomeric purity, the electrode response was measured, and the electrode could be calibrated (and used over a period of at least 3 months) to measure directly the enantiomeric purity of the ephedrinium salt (Fig. 1). Moreoever, since the diastereoisomeric (+)- or (-)-pseudoephedrines, **4**, responded in a nernstian manner like (+)-ephedrine (Table 1) the presence of varying amounts of (-)-ephedrine (one of the 4-stereoisomers in this series) may be selectively discerned with this sensor. The enantiomeric purity of the related β -aminoalcohol norephedrine, **5**, may also be measured with the (-)-enantiomer again giving a reduced slope (Table 1, slope difference of 12 mV).

Clearly, peroctylated cyclodextrin-based electrodes in which the aryl moiety is matched to the size of the hydrophobic binding pocket, show considerable promise as simple chiral sensors. Received, 11th October 1991; Com. 1/05175J

References

- W. Bussmann, J.-M. Lehn, U. Oesch, P. Plumere and W. Simon, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1981, **64**, 657; Y. Yasaka, T. Yamamoto, K. Kimura and T. Shono, *Chem. Lett.*, 1986, 769; D. J. Cram and J. M. Cram, *Science*, 1974, **183**, 803; V. Prelog and D. Bedekovic, *Helv. Chim. Acta.*, 1978, **61**, 2407.
- 2 P. Huszthy, J. S. Bradshaw, C. Y. Zhu, R. M. Izatt and S. Lifson, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 3330.
- J. Canceill, L. Lacombe and A. Collet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 6993; W. H. Pirkle and T. C. Pochapsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 5975; K.-S. Jeong, A. V. Muehldorf and J. Rebek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 6144; J.-I. Hang, S. K. Namgoong, A. Bernardi and W. C. Still, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5111.
- 4 W. A. König, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1989, 51; W. A. Konig, S. Lutz and G. Wenz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1989, **27**, 979.
- 5 E. A. Lewis and L. D. Hansen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1973, 2081; report a logK (H₂O) = 3.7 (1.1) for binding of *o*-nitrophenol by α -cyclodextrin.