## **Chemical Communications**

Number 23 1992

## Intermolecular C–F and Intramolecular C–H Activation Reaction of $[Re(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(CO)_3]$ with Hexafluorobenzene: Crystal and Molecular Structure of $[Re(\eta^6-C_5Me_4CH_2)(CO)_2(C_6F_5)]$

A. Hugo Klahn, Madeleine H. Moore and Robin N. Perutz\*

Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO1 5DD, UK

Photochemical reaction of  $[Re(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(CO)_3]$  with hexafluorobenzene yields  $[Re(\eta^6-C_5Me_4CH_2)(CO)_2(C_6F_5)]$  formed by insertion into a C–F bond of  $C_6F_6$  and concomitant insertion into a methyl C–H bond; the reactions of  $[Re(\eta^6-C_5Me_4CH_2)(CO_2)(C_6F_5)]$  with PMe<sub>3</sub> and with HCl show that the  $(\eta^6-C_5Me_4CH_2)$  ligand is subject to both nucleophilic and electrophilic attack.

The last three years have seen major advances in the coordination and intermolecular C-F activation of fluorinated organic molecules by transition metal and lanthanide complexes.<sup>1-8</sup> Recently, we have demonstrated that hexafluorobenzene can be bound to rhodium<sup>5</sup> and iridium<sup>6</sup> complexes in  $\eta^2$ - and  $\eta^4$ -coordination modes. We have observed the C–F activation of coordinated  $C_6F_6$  in rhodium and iridium half-sandwich complexes,<sup>7,8</sup> while others have made parallel observations for square-planar iridium and platinum complexes.<sup>1,2</sup> Examples of intermolecular C-F oxidative addition of hexafluorobenzene have been confined so far to d8 and d10 metal fragments.<sup>1,2,7,8</sup> Here, we show that the rhenium complex [ $\tilde{R}e(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(CO)_3$ ], can also be used to study the activation of  $\dot{C}$ -F bonds of  $\dot{C}_6F_6$  although it does not activate C-H bonds of benzene.9 Concomitantly, it becomes liable to intramolecular C-H activation.

Photolysis of  $[\text{Re}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_3]$  ( $\lambda > 285$  nm) in neat  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_6$  at room temp. produced one major dicarbonyl product. This material was isolated as air-stable orange crystals (8 h irradiation, 60% yield)<sup>†</sup>,<sup>‡</sup> by sublimation of unconverted  $[\text{Re}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_3]$  and subsequent recrystallization from hexane and was identified as  $[\text{Re}(\eta^6-\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{CH}_2)-(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)]$  1. The  $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$  ligand in 1 was characterized by <sup>19</sup>F NMR and IR spectroscopy.<sup>8</sup> Two extreme canonical forms,  $\eta^6$ -conjugated triene (tetramethylfulvene) 1a or  $\eta^5$ -tetramethylcyclopentadienyl  $\sigma$ -alkyl ('tucked-in') 1b can be considered for the bonding of the ( $\eta^6-\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{CH}_2$ ) ligand (Scheme 1).<sup>10,11</sup> The chemical shift of the methylene group in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum ( $\delta$  3.46) and the C–H coupling constant ( $\delta$  47.5,  $J_{CH}$  162 Hz) observed in the <sup>13</sup>C-gated spectrum, imply that the ligand is bound to Re in a  $\eta^6$ -triolefinic fashion

1a.§ Nevertheless, the detection of a minor isomer in the NMR spectra suggests restricted rotation about the metal-fulvene bond.¶

X-Ray crystallographic analysis of 1 (Fig. 1) confirms that the C-F bond of  $C_6F_6$  has been cleaved and that the  $C_5Me_4CH_2$  ligand is coordinated to rhenium through six carbon atoms, with the CH<sub>2</sub> group *trans* to the  $C_6F_5$  ligand.|| The relatively long C-C bond to the CH<sub>2</sub> group [C(2)-C(6) = 1.43(2) Å], the bending angle of this bond out of the  $C_5Me_4$ plane (39.6°) and the pattern of Re-C bond lengths are all indicative of the tucked-in structure type, **1b**.<sup>11</sup>

In a demonstration of the fulvene nature of the  $(\eta^{6}-C_{5}Me_{4}CH_{2})$  ligand,<sup>12</sup> complex 1 undergoes nucleophilic attack by PMe<sub>3</sub> at the methylene carbon leading quantitatively to the zwitterionic complex [Re( $\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}CH_{2}PMe_{3}$ )-

§ Values of J(CH) > 150 Hz for the methylene carbon have been taken to indicate sp<sup>2</sup>-hybridised carbon in several  $\eta^{6}$ -C<sub>5</sub>R<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> complexes, see ref. 10 and references cited therein.

¶ The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of 1 show a set of weak resonances in addition to those listed even when a single crystal is used to make up the solution. They are assigned to a minor isomer with the  $\eta^6$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> ligand rotated relative to the C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> group so that all methyl groups and the CH<sub>2</sub> protons are inequivalent. The proportion of the minor isomer in toluene is 12% at 296 K and 9% at 203 K.

|| Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from toluenehexane (1:10) at -20 °C. Crystal data for [Re( $\eta^6$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)-(CO)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)], 1: orange rod, 0.1 × 0.3 × 0.4 mm, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub>Re, M= 543.51, monoclinic, space group P2<sub>1</sub>/n, a = 9.1185 (8), b = 13.085 (3), c = 14.554 (2) Å,  $\beta$  = 92.420 (10)°, U = 1735.0 (5) Å<sup>3</sup>, F(000) = 1032,  $D_c$  = 2081 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\alpha$  (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 0.71069 Å,  $\mu$  = 70.67 cm<sup>-1</sup>, T = 296 K, 3204 unique reflections ( $R_{int}$  = 2.98), 2334 reflections [I > 3.5 $\sigma$ (I)]. Data were collected on a Rigaku AFC6S diffractometer for 5 < 2 $\theta$  < 50°; the structure was solved by direct methods, full-matrix least-squares refinement, R = 0.030,  $R_w$  = 0.036, number of parameters = 236, reflection : parameter ratio = 9.89. The hydrogen atoms were placed in idealised positions. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors Issue No. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Satisfactory elemental analyses have been obtained for 1, 2 and 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Spectral data for 1, [Re(η<sup>6</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>]: NMR (300 MHz). <sup>1</sup>H (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 3.46 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.41 (s, 6H, Me), and 1.07 (s, 6H, Me); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} gated (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 47.5 (t,  $J_{CH}$  162 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 9.9 (t,  $J_{CH}$  129 Hz, Me) and 9.8 (t,  $J_{CH}$  128 Hz, Me); <sup>19</sup>F (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ -103.6 (d,  $J_{FP}$  20 Hz, ortho), -159.0 (t,  $J_{FF}$  20 Hz, para) and -163.4 (t,  $J_{FF}$  20 Hz, meta). IR (hexane) (v/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2007vs and 1942vs [v(CO)]. MS-El based on <sup>187</sup>Re, m/z: 544 M<sup>+</sup>, 516 M<sup>+</sup> - CO, 488 M<sup>+</sup> - 2CO.



Scheme 1 Generation, reactions and canonical forms of 1

 $(CO)_2(C_6F_5)$ ] **2.\*\*** In contrast, the methylene group behaves as a nucleophile itself in the reaction of complex **1** with HCl gas [tetrahydrofuran (thf), 24 h, room temp.], regenerating the ( $\eta^{5-}C_5Me_5$ ) ligand, to give *cis*-[Re( $\eta^{5-}C_5Me_5$ )- $(CO)_2(C_6F_5)(Cl]$  **3.**†† The protonation occurs at the same position as in [Mo( $\eta^{6-}C_5H_4CMe_2$ )( $\eta^{6-}C_6H_6$ )], but at a different position from [Re( $\eta^{6-}C_5Me_4CH_2$ )( $\eta^{5-}C_5Me_5$ )].<sup>10,13</sup>

The first step in the formation of 1 should involve the reaction of the unsaturated 16-electron fragment '[ $\text{Re}(\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_2$ ]' with  $C_6F_6$  to give [ $\text{Re}(\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_2(\eta^2-C_6F_6)$ ] or a C-F oxidative addition product, [ $\text{Re}(\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_2(C_6F_5)F$ ]. However, we could not detect any intermediates in the reaction by IR spectroscopy, and attempts to use  $C_6F_6$  to displace the thf ligand thermally from [ $\text{Re}(\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_2(\text{thf})$ ] failed. The only product isolated was the known [ $\text{Re}_2(\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5)_2(\text{CO})_2(\eta^2-C_6F_6)$ ] as an intermediate is supported by the isolation and characterisation of the analogous [ $\text{Re}(\eta^5-C_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2(\eta^2-C_6F_6)$ ].<sup>15</sup>

The formation of 1 and its reactions are summarised in Scheme 1. Furthermore, these experiments have demonstrated that: (*i*) intermolecular C-F oxidative addition may occur with a d<sup>6</sup> metal carbonyl fragment, which is not subject to oxidative addition with C-H bonds; (*ii*) no clear distinction between fulvene and tucked-in bond types can be made. The



**Fig. 1** ORTEP view of the molecular structure of **1** (ellipsoids at the 50% level). Principal bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Re-C(1) 2.28(1), Re-C(2) 2.14(1), Re-C(3) 2.25(1), Re-C(4) 2.36(1), Re-C(5) 2.35(1), Re-C(6) 2.36(1), Re-C(13) 2.19(1), C(2)-C(6) 1.43(2); C(7)-Re-C(8) 92.1(5), C(8)-Re-C(13) 88.4(6), dihedral of C(1)-C(5) plane-C(2)-C(6) 39.6; dihedral of C(13)-C(18) plane-C(13)-Re 1.4.

geometry and protonation point towards one type, NMR evidence and reaction with PMe<sub>3</sub> point to the other. Competition between intermolecular C–F and C–H oxidative addition is under investigation with other fluoroarenes as substrates.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Spectral data for **2**,  $[\text{Re}(\eta^{5-}\text{C}_{5}\text{Me}_{4}\text{CH}_{2}\text{PMe}_{3})(\text{CO})_{2}(\text{C}_{6}\text{F}_{5})]$ : <sup>1</sup>H (CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  3.42 (d,  $J_{\text{PH}}$  12 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.21 (s, 6H, Me), 2.03 (d,  $J_{\text{PH}}$  4 Hz, 6H, Me), 1.76 (d,  $J_{\text{PH}}$  14 Hz, 9H, PMe<sub>3</sub>).<sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} (DEPT) (CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  22.5 (d,  $J_{\text{CP}}$  47 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 11.4 (s, Me), 10.3 (s, Me) and 7.5 (d,  $J_{\text{CP}}$  53 Hz, PMe). <sup>19</sup>F:  $\delta$  -98.5 (d,  $J_{\text{FF}}$  26 Hz,  $F_{ortho}$ ), -160.8 (t,  $J_{\text{FF}}$  20 Hz,  $F_{para}$ ) and -161.3 (t,  $J_{\text{FF}}$  26 Hz,  $F_{meta}$ ). <sup>31</sup>P <sup>{1</sup>H}:  $\delta$  29.1 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>-PMe<sub>3</sub>). IR (MeCN, v/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1868vs and 1795vs [v(CO)]. MS-FAB (FAB = fast atom bombardment) (NOPE matrix) m/z: 620 M<sup>+</sup>.

<sup>††</sup> Spectral data for **3**, cis [Re(η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)Cl]: <sup>1</sup>H (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 1.40 (s, C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>); <sup>19</sup>F (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ -85.0 (d,  $J_{FF}$  21 Hz,  $F_{ortho}$ ), -136.4 (t,  $J_{FF}$  20 Hz,  $F_{para}$ ) and -140.4 (t,  $J_{FF}$  20 Hz,  $F_{meta}$ ). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, v/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2030vs, 1960s [v(CO)]. MS-EI (based on <sup>187</sup>Re and <sup>35</sup>Cl), m/z: 580 M<sup>+</sup>, 552 M<sup>+</sup> - CO, 524 M<sup>+</sup> - 2CO.

We gratefully acknowledge support from EC for a research fellowship (A. H. K.) and Universidad Catolica de Valparaiso and FONDECYT-Chile for leave of absence and financial assistance to A. H. K., respectively. We also acknowledge the support of British Gas, The Royal Society and NATO. We appreciate the help of Mr S. Heaton in recording the NMR data.

Received, 26th August 1992; Com. 2/04606G

## References

- 1 O. Blum, F. Frolow and D. Milstein, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 258.
- 2 P. Hofmann and G. Unfried, Chem. Ber., 1992, 125, 629.
- 3 C. J. Burns and R. A. Andersen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 136.
- 4 P. L. Watson, T. H. Tulip and T. Williams, *Organometallics*, 1990, 9, 1999.

- 5 S. T. Belt, S. B. Duckett, M. Helliwell and R. N. Perutz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 928.
- 6 T. W. Bell, M. Helliwell, M. G. Partridge and R. N. Perutz, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 1911.
- 7 W. D. Jones, M. G. Partridge and R. N. Perutz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 264.
- 8 S. T. Belt, M. Helliwell, W. D. Jones, M. G. Partridge and R. N. Perutz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted for publication.
- 9 H. van der Heijden, A. G. Orpen and P. Pasman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1576.
- 10 F. G. N. Cloke, J. P. Day, J. C. Green, C. P. Morley and A. C. Swain, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 789.
- 11 J. A. Bandy, V. S. B. Mtetwa, K. Prout, J. C. Green, C. E. Davies, M. L. H. Green, N. J. Hazel, A. Izquierdo and J. J. Martin-Polo, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 2037.
- 12 O. Koch, F. Edelman and U. Behrens, Chem. Ber., 1982, 115, 1313.
- 13 P. D. Grebenik, M. L. H. Green, A. Izquierdo, V. S. B. Mtetwa and K. Prout, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 9.
- 14 J. K. Hoyano and W. A. G. Graham, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 27.
- 15 A. H. Klahn, M. H. Moore and R. N. Perutz, to be published.