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# Novel Synthesis of a Chiral Cyclic Dienediyne System Related to the Neocarzinostatin Chromophore 

Kazuhiko Nakatani, Katsuko Arai and Shiro Terashima*<br>Sagami Chemical Research Center, Nishi-Ohnuma, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229, Japan

By successive treatment with lithium bistrimethylsilylamide and boron trifluoride-diethyl ether in tetrahydrofuran at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ optically active ( $Z$ )-dienediyne epoxide 20 prepared from o-xylose and ( $Z$ )-enol triflate $\mathbf{1 6}$ is found to undergo smooth cyclization to afford the title compound.

Neocarzinostatin chromophore 1 (NCS-Chr), 1,2 the active component of the antitumour antibiotic neocarzinostatin (NCS), ${ }^{3}$ is a structurally novel DNA cleaving agent, and has attracted much attention recently because of its biological properties ${ }^{4}$ and its interesting bicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadienediyne system. ${ }^{5}$ Since it was discovered that 1 is extremely unstable upon separation from the peptide residue of NCS (apo-NCS), the synthesis of more stable analogues retaining the functions of 1 has become a challenging problem. While several groups have reported synthetic schemes directed towards cyclic analogues of $\mathbf{1 , 6}$ Wender's procedure ingeniously employing a cyclization-dehydration sequence ${ }^{6 a}$ has only been employed for final installation of the dienediyne system. ${ }^{7}$

From the viewpoint of the synthesis of various analogues of 1 and of 1 itself, a synthetic scheme featuring formation of the dienediyne system prior to ring construction was expected to be a candidate. In our previous report, ${ }^{8}$ we demonstrated the synthesis of acyclic analogues of 1 with stereo-defined $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-dienediyne systems. ${ }^{9}$ We now report the first synthesis of the optically active 10 -membered dienediyne compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ from the ( $Z$ )-dienediyne epoxide 20 by a novel synthetic strategy. An attempt to obtain the corresponding 9 -membered carbocyclic analogue is also reported.

The key epoxide 20 was expected to be produced by Pd-catalysed coupling of the $(Z)$-enol triflate $\mathbf{1 6}^{8 a}$ and the acetylene 15 followed by epoxide formation and desilylation. Compound 13 bearing the required functional groups with correct stereochemistry ${ }^{10}$ could be derived from D-xylose in optically active form. Thus, according to the literature, ${ }^{11}$ D-xylose was converted to $1,2-O$-isopropylidene- $\alpha$-xylofuranose 4, the primary alcohol of which was protected as its pivaloate to give alcohol 5 . Swern oxidation of 5 followed by


1, NCS-Chr


2; $R=H$
addition of lithium trimethylsilylacetylide and desilylation with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) cleanly afforded the highly crystalline acetylene 8 . The resulting tertiary alcohol of $\mathbf{8}$ was protected as its methyl ether to give the


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: a, acetone, cat. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; b , $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{COCl}$, pyridine, room temp., $74 \%$ (2 steps); c, $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{LiC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; e, TBAF, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \%$; ( 3 steps); f, MeI, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, sealed tube, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \% ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5: 1)$, room temp., $88 \% ; \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{SiO}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}, 2,6$-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 11$ ( $62 \%$ ), ( $11 \%$ ); i, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), room temp.; j, $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, room temp., $82 \%$ (2 steps); k , acetone, $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$, room temp.; I, TBAF, THF, room temp., 63\% (2 steps)
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17; $R^{1}=R^{2}=H$
18; $R^{1}=T s, R^{2}=H$
19; $R^{1}=R^{2}=T_{s}$
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2; $R=H$
3; $R=A c$

$\mathrm{Ts}=p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: m, see text; $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{TsCl}, 4$-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 18(55 \%), 19(10 \%)$; о, TBAF, THF, room temp., $84 \% ; \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, DMAP
acetylene 9, which was subsequently hydrolysed to the hemiacetal 10. Treatment of 10 with tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate gave rise to a mixture of two ethers, which upon separation provided the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-glycosides 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}$. The minor $\beta$-glycoside $\mathbf{1 2}$ could be recycled to $\mathbf{1 0}$ by desilylation with TBAF. Reduction of the desired $\alpha$-glycoside 11 with sodium borohydride followed by deprotection of the pivaloate gave a mixture of triols $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ (ca. $4: 1$ ), t which were converted to acetylene $\mathbf{1 5}$ by sequential acetonide formation and desilylation (Scheme 1).

Next we focused on the coupling of 15 with the $(Z)$-enol triflate 16 and subsequent epoxide formation (Scheme 2). The coupling reaction of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ was attempted in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{CuI}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \ddagger$ and diethylamine ( 2 equiv.) in dimethylformamide (DMF) to give the ( $Z$ )-dienediyne diol 17 in $81 \%$ yield. Subsequent conversion of $\mathbf{1 7}$ to the $(Z)$-dienediyne epoxide 20 was accomplished in two steps. Thus, 17 was treated with an excess of tosyl chloride and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) to afford a mixture of monotosylate 18 and ditosylate 19 with recovery of 17.

[^0]


21; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{CO}$
23; $R=H$
24; $R=T s$


25
Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: r, NaOMe, MeOH, room temp., $89 \% ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 75 \% ; \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{TsCl}$, pyridine, $94 \%$; u, TBAF, THF, $61 \%$

Subsequent desilylation of 18 separated from 19 and 17 with TBAF promoted epoxide formation to produce epoxide $\mathbf{2 0}$.§ With the key epoxide 20 in hand, cyclization to the cyclic dienediyne system was next examined. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with lithium bistrimethylsilylamide in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at -78 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in complete recovery of the starting material 20. However, upon addition of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{12}$ after base treatment at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a single product immediately appeared on TLC analysis. After usual work-up and silica gel column chromatography, compound $\mathbf{2}$ exhibiting a molecular ion mass spectral peak at $m / z 314$ could be obtained. Since the separation of the signals in the $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2 measured in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ was not sufficient for structure elucidation, $\mathbf{2}$ was acetylated to give the monoacetate $\mathbf{3}[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)\right]$. Decoupling and 2D NMR experiments firmly established the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ as a cyclic dienediyne from the

[^1]observation of the long-range couplings between $6 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}$ and $13-\mathrm{H}$. While the preparation of stable 10 -membered cyclic dienediynes had been reported by Hirama et al., ${ }^{6 c, d} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were found to be extremely labile on concentration and could be handled only in solution.

With the successful synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, we next looked at preparation of the corresponding nine-membered cyclic analogue of $\mathbf{1}$ by employing the same epoxide opening strategy. The requisite ( $Z$ )-dienediyne epoxide 25 could be synthesized similarly to 20 (Scheme 3). Thus, diol 22 prepared from pivaloate $21^{8 a}$ was coupled with $(Z)$-enol triflate 16 under the same conditions as employed for the preparation of 17 to afford diol 23. Sequential tosylation of 23 and desilylative epoxide formation of the resulting tosylate $\mathbf{2 4}$ gave 25 . It was found, however, that $\mathbf{2 5}$ did not react in the same way as $\mathbf{2 0}$. It is well known that epoxide opening involves $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ attack of the nucleophile colinear with the carbon-oxygen bond which is cleaved. ${ }^{13}$ Studies using molecular models suggested that such alignment could be easily adopted in the case of 18, but significant bending of the acetylenic bonds of $\mathbf{2 5}$ would be required for the backside attack of acetylide anion.
We have thus succeeded in the synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, the first examples of chiral cyclic dienediynes, by employing a novel dienediyne formation-cyclization strategy. The synthetic scheme explored might facilitate access to various types of cyclic compounds related to 1 .
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ The reduction of 11 presumably involves 1,2 -silyl migration prior to reduction and a further migration back to give the major triol 13. A similar silyl migration across the quaternary centre into the other side chain causing partial racemization was not observed however.
    $\ddagger$ Use of smaller proportions of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and CuI made the coupling reaction substantially slower and sometimes caused isomerization of the stereochemistry of the trisubstituted double bond.

[^1]:    § Satisfactory spectroscopic data were obtained for all new compounds.

    Selected spectroscopic data: 20: $[\alpha]_{D^{20}}+68.0\left(c 0.98\right.$, hexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 1.32,1.56\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.12-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 5.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \alpha-\mathrm{CH})$, $2.98(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 5.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \beta-\mathrm{CH}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{CH}), 3.46$ (dd, 1H, J3.8, 2.5 Hz, 5-CH), 3.49 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.15 (dd, 1H, J8.5, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15-\mathrm{CH}), 4.51(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $14-\mathrm{CH}), 5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{CH})$, and $6.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 13-\mathrm{CH})$; IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3300,3000,2950,2230,2100,1615,1450,1370,1215,1090$ and $855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 299\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Me})^{+}\right], 271,213,184,153,101$ and 43.

    3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 1.29,1.62\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OAc}), 1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 12-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $6 \alpha-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.43 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.45 (m, 1H, $6 \beta-\mathrm{CH}$, obscured by OMe ), $4.13(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15-\mathrm{CH}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $15-\mathrm{CH}), 4.59(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{CH}), 5.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $9.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{CH})$ and $6.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 13-\mathrm{CH})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3000$, $2950,2280,1750,1370,1090,1030$ and $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; m / z 356(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 341$ $\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Me})^{+}\right], 255,239,196,152,101$ and 43.

    25: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+63.8\left(c 1.86, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 1.25,1.46(2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right), 1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.64$, $2.88\left(2 \times \mathrm{d}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{CH})$, 3.88 (br t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.98 (br dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $14-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.20 (pair of dd, total 1 H , each $J 8.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.26 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{CH})$ and $6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12-\mathrm{CH})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3340$, 3010, 2950, 2240, 2110, 1370, 1215, 1070 and $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; m / z 270(\mathrm{M})^{+}$, $255\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Me})^{+}\right], 225,212,183,153,101$ and 43.

