A New Synthetic Approach to Unusually Electron Rich α-Amino Acids

Sambasivarao Kotha† and Atsuo Kuki*

Department of Chemistry, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-1301, USA

An electron rich dihydrobenzoxazine based α-amino acid has been synthesized using the Schollkopf chiral auxiliary giving a new adaptation of the standard C_α–C_β bond formation strategy, as required by the electron rich nature of the side chain.

The development of new methodologies enabling the synthesis of unusual amino acids plays a significant role in the interface between medicine, biology and chemistry. A steady increase in the literature[1] devoted to amino acid research demonstrates that the possible number of unusual amino acids is evidently unlimited. Surprisingly, none of the synthetic groups involved in amino acid synthesis report methods applicable to highly electron rich and electron deficient amino

acids. In connection with our ongoing project to study the electronic interactions of donor and acceptor moieties in conformationally restricted peptides, we needed to synthesize an electron rich α-amino acid 1 and its derivatives in optically active form. The most common methods of α-amino acid syntheses involve asymmetric derivatization of glycine 3. Although a number of chiral auxiliaries have become available in recent years which address this issue,[1] the Schollkopf bislactim ether 10 method has enjoyed unrivalled popularity owing to its simplicity and reliability.[2,3] In this communication we report a unique application of Schollkopf's technique for

---

† Also published as Kotha Sambasiva Rao and Kotha Sambasivarao in the past.

Fig. 1

the asymmetric derivatization of glycine, as applied to electron rich amino acid synthesis. The synthetic method adopted here paves an effective and potentially valuable way to the synthesis of unusual α-amino acids.

Bond disconnection analysis of 1 identifies four possible paths (Fig. 1a–d) for its assembly. Paths a and b generate predecessors whose synthesis require multistep sequences. Path c involves the alkylation of a glycine enolate equivalent with an appropriate electrophile 8. Alternatively, Williams' electrophilic glycine technique[4] can also be adopted. Path d implies, for example, the displacement of serine hydroxy with compound 4 as an aromatic nucleophile.[5]

Initially, compound 2‡ was prepared by modification of a known literature procedure,[6a] but failed to brominate at the benzylic position under different reaction conditions. Only a very low yield of a ring brominated compound was obtained under strong conditions. An alternative route to 8 via 6 or 7 appeared to be logical (Scheme 1). The required aldehyde 6 was made quickly in multigram quantities starting from commercially available benzoxazole by a reduction[6b] and alkylation sequence followed by Vilsmeier formylation[7] (55%). The regiochemistry of the aldehyde group in 6 was established by its conversion into 2 via 7,[6c] which was also obtained by an independent synthesis[6a] from 2-amino-5-methylphenol. All attempts to prepare 8 via 7 or 6 using boron, sulfur, silicon, selenium and phosphorus based reagents were unsuccessful.[8] The generation of 8 or its equivalents under exceptionally mild conditions also proved to be futile.[9] It is highly probable that the electron donating nature of the nitrogen induces a facile fragmentation leading to a highly reactive intermediate 9 as shown by arrows in Scheme 1. At this point it was decided to use aldehyde 6 instead of 8 to proceed directly towards 15 (via 12). The aldehyde 6 was treated with the mono-anion of bislactim ether 10 at −78 °C and quenched with water giving 12 in 100% yield as a mixture of epimeric alcohols (1:1). In an attempt to prepare 15 from 12 by hydrogenolysis, only retro-aldol or decomposed products were observed. Next, the hydroxy compound 12 was converted into xanthate 13 in 30% yield along with some unwanted side products. Under Barton's radical deoxygenation conditions[10] compound 15 was obtained from 13 in 60% yield as a single isomer. Acidic

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, NaBH₄–AcOH; ii, BrCH₂CH₂Br, K₂CO₃; iii, POCl₃–dimethylformamide; iv, NaBH₄; v, NaBH₄–trifluoroacetic acid; vi −78 °C, BuⁿLi; vii, compound 6; viii, H₂O; ix, NaH, CS₂, MeI; x, PhO(C=S)Cl; xi, (Buⁿ)₃SnH, azoisobutyronitrile; xii, 0.25 mol dm⁻³ HCl

hydrolysis of 15 gave 16. The overall yield of 16 via this xanthate route is 4.6%; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ −7.9 (c 0.11, CHCl₃). The two low yielding steps in the present synthesis are xanthate formation and its removal. In order to avoid xanthate 13 preparation, trapping of the intermediate 11 with carbon disulfide–iodomethane was attempted but gave no desired product. Robins' deoxygenation[11] proved to be a much more efficient variant than the xanthate method, but attempts to convert 12 into 14 were also not successful. Finally, direct trapping of the intermediate 11 with phenyl chlorothionoformate gave 14 from 6 and 10 in one pot. As expected, deoxygenation of 14 gave better yields. Starting from 6, compound 15 was thereby prepared in 50% overall yield in two steps. Using this thionoformate route, the dihydrobenzoxazine α-amino acid derivative 16 (68% from 15) was prepared in six steps with an overall yield of 18.6%.

In conclusion our successful synthesis of the highly electron rich amino acid derivative 16‡ demonstrates the utility of the two-step route developed here from readily available aromatic aldehydes to the amino acid adduct (e.g. 15). This strategy further augments the flexibility of the highly practical Schöllkopf method. Our progress using this amino acid in peptides of novel design will be reported in due course.

‡ $E_{1/2}$ for the oxidation of 2 was found to be 0.61 V vs. SCE in MeCN (0.1 mol dm⁻³ tetrabutylammonium perchlorate). Derivatives of 1 also have similar values.

Received, 16th December 1991; Com. 1/06313H

References

1 (a) R. M. Williams, *Synthesis of Optically Active α-Amino Acids*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1989; (b) G. C. Barret, *Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Amino Acids*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1985; (c) G. M. Coopola and H. F. Schuster, *Asymmetric Synthesis: Construction of Chiral Molecules Using Amino Acids*, Wiley, New York, 1987; (d) D. C. Roberts, in *The Peptides*, ed., E. Gross and J. Meienhofer, Academic Press, New York, 1983, vol. 5, pp. 341–447; (e) J. S. Davies, *Amino Acids and Peptides*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1985.

2 U. Schollkopf, D. Pettig and U. Busse, *Synthesis*, 1986, 737.
3 The bislactim ether used in this study is (2R)-(–)-2,5-dihydro-3,6-dimethoxy-2-isopropylpyrazine and was obtained from Merck Schuchardt, Dr Theodor Schuchardt + Co, 8011, Hohenbrunn, Germany.
4 See ref. 1(a), pp. 103–116.
5 S. V. Pansare, G. Huyer, L. D. Arnold and J. C. Verderas, *Org. Synth.*, 1991, **70**, 1.
6 (a) G. Coudert, G. Guillaumet and B. Loubinoux, *Synthesis* 1979, 541; (b) B. Yadagiri and J. W. Lown, *Synth. Commun.*, 1990, 175; G. W. Gribble and C. F. Nutaitis, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 1985, **17**, 317; (c) C. F. Nataitis and J. E. Bernardo, *Synth. Commun.*, 1990, 487.
7 J. March, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, Wiley, New York, 1985, pp. 487–488.
8 *Annual Reports in Organic Synthesis*, 1970–1991.
9 F. Camps, V. Gasol and A. Guerero, *Synthesis*, 1987, 511; A. I. Meyers and E. W. Collington, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1971, **36**, 3034.
10 D. H. R. Barton and W. M. McCombie, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1975, 1574.
11 M. J. Robins, J. S. Wilson and F. J. Hansske, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, 4059.