

reaction of trimethylsilylalkynes with XeF_2 and $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}^\ddagger$ according to eqn. (2) (Scheme 1). The most intense signals in the ^{129}Xe NMR spectra in the region $\delta -1800$ to -1900 , which correspond to the organoxenonium species, are observed for the *tert*-butyl and trimethylsilyl derivatives **3a** and **3b** respectively; gradual warming of the samples usually causes evolution of Xe gas and disappearance of the signals at -20 – 0 °C. The ethyl and *n*-propyl derivatives **3c**, **d** are even less stable and decompose at -40 to -45 °C in 20–30 min. An attempt to prepare a xenonium salt from phenylacetylene according to this procedure resulted in an immediate formation of black tar even at -78 °C. Xe containing compounds were not observed, as monitored by ^{129}Xe NMR according to eqn. (2) (Scheme 1), with R = H, Me, CF_3 , *p*- $\text{MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_2$, $(\text{Pr}^i)_3\text{Si}$, PhMe_2Si presumably owing either to the low stability of the products or the low reactivity of the starting trimethylsilyl substituted acetylenes.

In conclusion, the spectroscopic data and the reaction with a nucleophile support the formation of alkynyl xenonium

tetrafluoroborates in a low temperature reaction between lithium or trimethylsilyl acetylides, XeF_2 and BF_3 . We believe that this simple *in situ* synthetic approach to alkynyl xenonium compounds will stimulate further investigation of these compounds and related Xe–C species.

Financial support by the NSF (CHE9101767) is gratefully acknowledged along with a supply of XeF_2 by PCR Inc. and Air Products and Chemicals Inc.

Received, 31st December 1991; Com. 1/06523H

References

- 1 J. H. Holloway, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1986, **33**, 149.
- 2 H. J. Frohn and S. Jakobs, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1989, 625; H. J. Frohn, S. Jakobs and G. Henkel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1989, **28**, 1506.
- 3 L. J. Turbini, R. E. Aikman and R. J. Lagow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 5833.
- 4 N. S. Zefirov, A. A. Gakh, V. V. Zhdankin and P. J. Stang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 1416.
- 5 G. Frenking, W. Koch, F. Reichel and D. Cremer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 4240.
- 6 G. Angelini, M. Hanack, J. Vermehren and M. Speranza, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 1298.
- 7 P. J. Stang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, in the press.
- 8 H. C. Brown, U. S. Racherla and S. M. Singh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 2411.
- 9 M. Ochiai, M. Kunishima, Y. Nagao, K. Fuji and E. Fugita, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1987, 708.

‡ Typical experiment: XeF_2 (85 mg, 0.5 mmol) is dissolved in CD_2Cl_2 (0.6 ml) in an NMR tube under stirring. The solution is cooled to -78 °C and the corresponding trimethylsilylacetylene **4** (0.5 mmol) and $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ (0.15 ml) are added. The solution is stirred for 10 min at -50 to -45 °C and analysed by ^{129}Xe NMR (standard XeF_2 , -45 °C, Varian XL-300, spectrometer frequency 83.1 MHz); δ for **3a**: -1818 ; **3b**: -1802 ; **3c**: -1810 ; **3d**: -1883 .